IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT SHELBY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

CHRISTOPHER J. BOEHM,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) No. 2024-CH-5
)
COUNTY OF SHELBY, SHELBY)
COUNTY BOARD, and ROBERT)
ORMAN, in his capacity)
as Shelby County Board)
Chairman,)
)
Defendants.)

MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS of the hearing before CIRCUIT JUDGE DOUGLAS L. JARMAN, on the 8th day of October, 2024.

APPEARANCES:

- MR. JERROLD H. STOCKS, and MR. EDWARD F. FLYNN, Attorneys at Law, for the Plaintiff;
- MS. RUTH A. WOOLERY, Shelby County State's Attorney, for Shelby County;
- MR. ROBERT T. HANLON, Attorney at Law, for Robert Orman.

Jaclyn K. Getz, CSR Official Court Reporter IL License No. 084-004781

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS 1 THE COURT: 24-CH-5, Christopher Boehm and County 2 3 of Shelby, Shelby County Board, and Robert Orman. MR. HANLON: Good morning, Your Honor. Robert 4 Hanlon on behalf of Robert Orman. 5 THE COURT: Good morning. 6 MS. WOOLERY: Good morning, Judge. Ruth Woolery on 7 behalf of Shelby County. 8 9 THE COURT: Good morning, Ms. Woolery. MR. STOCKS: Your Honor, Jerry Stocks and Ed Flynn 10 11 on behalf of the plaintiff, Chris Boehm. THE COURT: Okay. And are the parties here with 12 13 you? MR. STOCKS: No, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Set today for 15 hearing on your motion for TRO. And we've got some 16 17 motions to address prior to that. 18 Probably should first start with, Mr. Hanlon, 19 you filed a notice of removal. 20 MR. HANLON: Yes, Your Honor, I did. 21 THE COURT: And there's a motion to strike that 22 notice. 23 MR. HANLON: Judge, I don't believe that the Court 24 has jurisdiction to do that. If I may approach, Your

1	Honor, I have some authority on this point.
2	THE COURT: Thank you. The first problem, your
3	notice of removal doesn't have the attachments.
4	MR. HANLON: It doesn't have the attachment to the
5	U.S. District Court?
6	THE COURT: Right.
7	Unless you filed something today.
8	MR. HANLON: I did not file it today, Judge. I
9	thought that was attached as an exhibit to the notice.
10	I know that I provided copies electronically to
11	opposing counsel. Now that opposing counsel, on behalf
12	of Mr. Boehm, filed their appearance in the U.S.
13	District Court matter, also filed an emergency motion
14	to remand the matter from the district court. Because
15	the U.S. District Court, they've acquiesced the
16	jurisdiction of a court by filing that motion to remand
17	it. This Court doesn't have the jurisdiction to hear
18	the matter because of the effectiveness of the filing
19	becomes divested jurisdiction when it's filed in the
20	district court, not when it's filed here in the circuit
21	court.
22	THE COURT: Do you have a hearing set in federal
23	district court?
24	MR. HANLON: No, there's not a hearing set. It is

1	for there is a briefing schedule entered.
2	THE COURT: Okay. Counsel?
3	MR. STOCKS: Yes, Your Honor. The attack in
4	federal court and here in our motion to strike is that
5	Mr. Hanlon is not authorized to have made that filing.
6	It's called the nullity doctrine. So if a filing, be
7	whatever court it is in, is null, it is as if it never
8	existed. So if the federal pleading is a null act, it
9	does nothing to divest this Court of its subject matter
10	jurisdiction.
11	The issue of first impression is where is that
12	nullity to be adjudicated? We submit that it's to be
13	adjudicated here for this very reason. The Defendant
14	Orman is an official capacity defendant in his capacity
15	as county board chairman. Mr. Hanlon is an interloper
16	maintaining an action in federal court without lawful
17	authority. The county's code is very specific in that
18	he is not authorized to undertake any action. In fact,
19	not is is not even authorized to enter the
20	appearance he has made here today under the county code
21	without this Court first appointing him and authorizing
22	him to act on behalf of a county officer. So
23	everything that Mr. Hanlon has done is a nullity. If
24	it is a nullity, it hasn't occurred, it's as if it

1	never existed. So what we have ourselves trapped in is
2	gamesmanship where he intervenes without lawful
3	authority, makes a federal filing. We've attacked that
4	federal filing on the nullity doctrine, and then in the
5	alternative on other grounds as well. But it still
6	comes back to this Court is the only court that can
7	address whether his actions are null or not, because
8	it's this Court that has to appoint Mr. Hanlon or some
9	other attorney, after following all of the procedures
10	of the county's code to designate a special prosecutor
11	to defend the action against the county board chairman.
12	And none of that has occurred here. And the docket
13	sheet of this action establishes that.
14	So we respectfully submit that the Supremacy
15	Clause is not invoked because everything that's
16	happened in federal court is null and void. We find
17	ourselves whipsawed between a make-believe action in
18	federal court to keep me out of the real action here in
19	state court. It's for that reason we insist on our
20	motion to strike his notice of removal, which facially
21	is defective, at least to the extent it's incomplete.
22	But, more importantly, he has no authority to be
23	standing here in this court right now because he has
24	not been appointed as special prosecutor. The State's

Attorney has indicated that she believes there is a 1 potential conflict, but it does not end with her filing 2 3 of that motion. In fact, as our second filing of this morning would indicate, with all due respect to the 4 5 State's Attorney, we -- we believe that a conflict in opinion as to what can be done or not be done is not an 6 actual conflict of interest. And in the case that 7 we've cited in our objections, that's the very point 8 that is made in that case, is that the State's Attorney 9 is the counsel for all of our defendants here. 10 All 11 existing as the County of Shelby or under the umbrella of the County of Shelby. And I guess in a more 12 specific sense, Orman and the county board probably are 13 surplus parties. But the resolution at issue expressly 14 attempts to delegate the authority to decide what bids 15 16 to accept or not accept to the county board chairman 17 that, out of abundance of caution, we included in the 18 injunctive relief. But if the County of Shelby 19 confesses the injunction, he would be bound by it 20 anyway in his official capacity.

So I'd like to say that this is a case that we can find authority where the nullity doctrine would preempt the attachment of federal jurisdiction and therefore the Supremacy Clause; because if it's null,

1	it can't do that. So that's where we find ourselves is
2	and this Court's docket for this matter will show as
3	we've lined out that there's not been a hearing on the
4	motion of conflict. There's not been an appointment.
5	There's not been the exhaustion of other public
6	attorneys to act, and the county's code says that must
7	be strictly construed before an appointment is made.
8	And so that brings us to where we are on these
9	preliminary matters. And I wish I could find a case on
10	all fours. I recognize the significance of a notice of
11	removal, but here if we recognize that to have legal
12	effect, we have circumvented all of the statutory
13	procedures regarding replacing the elected or the
14	appointed legal authority of the county. And we are
15	giving the powerless, by law, the power to frustrate
16	proceedings in state court.
17	He stands here today, and I respectfully
18	submit that he should not be heard in this court today
19	because he does not have a lawful appointment to

19 because he does not have a lawful appointment to 20 represent this party. And in so doing, is he 21 committing the act of maintenance or is he in contempt 22 of court that he persists in representing a party, that 23 we know by statute, he has no lawful authority to do 24 so? And so as to that preliminary matter, that

exhausts my remarks, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Hold on just a second, Mr. Hanlon.
Ms. Woolery, do you want to address the
removal issue?
MS. WOOLERY: Your Honor, I would join in the
arguments of counsel for Mr. Boehm in this argument.
THE COURT: Okay. And, Mr. Hanlon, I'll let you
address the issue of whether or not you've got
authority to enter your appearance.
MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge
THE COURT: Which I'll add also, you've not entered
your appearance.
MR. HANLON: Judge, I had my appearance here.
Because of the nature of having filed the notice of
removal, I prepared an appearance to file here today.
But with respect to the claim that my
appearance is improper, on October 3rd of this year,
Mr. Orman dispatched an e-mail to Ms. Woolery that due
to the conflict in the matter of Boehm TRO case, I
that I am individually named in my capacity as a board
member, I've elected to retain my own counsel. In
response to that, Ms. Woolery has stated, You are free
to do that, however, I've already filed a motion
requesting the Court appoint you and the board separate

1	counsel. It's up to you on how you would like to
2	proceed.
3	If I may, Your Honor?
4	THE COURT: Sure.
5	MR. HANLON: There was a matter involving this
6	county that went up to the appellate court that
7	involved the law firm of Featherstun, Gaumer, Stocks,
8	Flynn and Eck, in which they were hired by the board.
9	In contrast to all these arguments that they're making
10	here today, went up to the appellate court. Appellate
11	court sided with Mr. Flynn's law firm, ordering the
12	county board to pay him money on an appointment for his
13	representation there. And so it seemed to me, Your
14	Honor, that they can't come to this court and having
15	acted and obtained judgment in the appellate court for
16	their own law firm to do the very thing they're now
17	complaining that I'm doing after the appellate court
18	has already ruled in that case.
19	THE COURT: Ms. Woolery?
20	MS. WOOLERY: Thank you, Your Honor.
21	Your Honor, my only response would be this.
22	While I do believe that obviously I believe there is
23	a conflict, which is why I filed my motion in this
24	matter to have counsel appointed for both Mr. Orman and

1	the Shelby County Board. The law is clear that there
2	is a procedure for doing that, which is why I informed
3	Mr. Orman that the motion had already been filed.
4	Essentially what my response was, that if he felt the
5	need to hire private counsel at his own expense, he was
6	free to do so. I do not believe that this is a
7	situation where Mr. Hanlon would be appointed. I
8	believe that there is there are other conflicts at
9	issue with his prior governmental position in this
10	office and now representing someone an individual
11	member of the county board. Again, the implication was
12	that if he wanted to privately hire him at his own
13	cost, he was free to do so; however, I had already
14	filed that motion requesting the Court to appoint a
15	special prosecutor in this case.
16	THE COURT: Mr. Stock, did you want to respond?
17	MR. STOCKS: Your Honor, the prior circumstances
18	referenced, I would have to see the case, but as I
19	understand Mr. Flynn can speak directly because he
20	was involved occurred or arose in an entirely
21	different circumstance where the board and the State's
22	Attorney hired on a private civil matter with board and
23	court approval. So what we're lacking here is none of
24	that. And I don't know that every claim was official

1	capacity where he were you representing the County
2	of Shelby in that?
3	MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, if I may
4	THE COURT: Mr. Flynn, sure.
5	MR. FLYNN: The case that Mr. Hanlon is referring
6	to was a case in which I was hired to handle some labor
7	matters for the county. Those actually went to an
8	arbitration. We had a two-day trial, and then the
9	treasurer refused to pay my fee. So consequently
10	and I didn't know that for about six months my
11	services had been completed. I filed a complaint; and
12	then on a motion for summary judgment, my complaint and
13	my fee was granted. It did go up on appeal. It did
14	not go up on appeal that that Mr. Hanlon referenced
15	to this Court. It went up on appeal simply on the
16	merits of the case, and the appellate court summarily
17	dismissed the appeal and my fee was paid.
18	THE COURT: Okay.
19	MR. HANLON: If I may address that last point?
20	THE COURT: Just that one point.
21	MR. HANLON: First of all, Mr. Flynn has testified
22	as to the facts of something. He's a witness and he
23	can't serve as counsel in a matter. But the matter
24	that was appeared with respect to the appellate

1	court in Ms. Woolery's claim that I have some kind of
2	conflict, at no point in time did I represent Mr.
3	Christopher J. Boehm, who would be the opposition party
4	to my representation. And the analogy to the said
5	circumstances for which Mr. Flynn's firm was hired are
б	analogous to this because there was no appointment by
7	the Court, and it was exactly the same thing in the
8	defense in that case was the lack of exhausting all of
9	those remedies.
10	And notwithstanding that, Judge, to make a
11	decision at this point, given the fact that they
12	acquiesce to the jurisdiction of the federal court by
13	filing a motion in the federal court, they can't ask
14	this Court then to circumvent their motion practice in
15	the federal court.
16	THE COURT: Well, several problems here. First of
17	all, as I as I mentioned, you did not attach the
18	notice to the federal court with your notice. So that
19	was incomplete. But I agree with Mr. Stock that you
20	didn't have the authority to file that. You were not
21	not appointed to represent any of the parties in
22	this case. And the procedure does have to be followed
23	in order for that to be done. I suspect we'll get to
24	that, but not today. But that's not been done yet so

1 you don't have the authority to enter your appearance. Again, which you have not done. 2 3 So I'm going to -- and I think maybe more important, I think you're going to need to get all of 4 5 the parties to acquiesce to the removal. And that's not been done, and doesn't sound like that's going to 6 be done. So I'm going to strike the notice of removal, 7 and we'll proceed with the hearing on the TRO. And, 8 Mr. Hanlon, you're welcome to sit there, but I won't be 9 10 expecting any participation. 11 Okay. Mr. Stock? 12 MR. STOCKS: Yes, Your Honor. It's my understanding that the County of Shelby was prepared to 13 confess the TRO pending preliminary hearing to preserve 14 15 the status quo. 16 THE COURT: Ms. Woolery? 17 MS. WOOLERY: Yes, Judge. That is the county's 18 position. 19 MR. STOCKS: And we have a proposed order for that, 20 Judge. 21 THE COURT: So, just so that I'm clear, what specific remedy are you asking for in the TRO? 22 MR. STOCKS: In the TRO is that the County of 23 24 Shelby, County Board Chairman Robert Orman, and the

1	County Board of Shelby and the respective officers,
2	agencies, boards, bodies, agents, representatives, and
3	employees, being 11-101, are prohibited from opening
4	any bids submitted with respect to the sale of the
5	County Farm from any act or transaction related to
6	contracting to sell, selling, or otherwise conveying
7	any portion of the County Farm until further order of
8	Court after hearing on preliminary or a permanent
9	injunction.
10	And two is movant is excused from posting bond
11	as the Court finds that the public interest is served
12	by the issuance of the TRO.
13	THE COURT: And securing the bids?
14	MR. STOCKS: Opening.
15	THE COURT: Your the order prohibits the bids
16	from being opened.
17	MR. STOCKS: Right. And the reason for that, Your
18	Honor, is that we are concerned that that could
19	corrupt, as this is a preliminary proceeding. Let's
20	assume we even prevail at permanent, this does not
21	
21	enjoin the legislative actions of the county board.
22	enjoin the legislative actions of the county board. It's the execution of the legislative action, so they
22	It's the execution of the legislative action, so they

concern is that if those bids are opened now, it would 1 2 prejudice those bidders as they come in the next round 3 of bidding because they would lose their anonymity competitively if this is to come in a new procedure 4 down the road. 5 THE COURT: Well, I want to make sure we maintain 6 7 the status quo and --MR. STOCKS: They haven't been opened is my 8 understanding. They weren't to be open until Thursday. 9 10 THE COURT: But they were -- they've all been 11 received. The deadline for receiving them has passed. MS. WOOLERY: Correct, Judge. 12 13 THE COURT: So they need to be secured. MR. STOCKS: Yes. 14 15 MS. WOOLERY: Yes, Judge. 16 THE COURT: Until such time as they are opened. 17 But I will enter the order prohibiting them from being 18 opened, and I'll excuse posting of bond. 19 Ms. Woolery, anything else or any questions? 20 MS. WOOLERY: No, Your Honor. It was my intent 21 today simply again to maintain that status quo until 22 counsel can be heard. 23 THE COURT: And then hearing on the injunction. 24 MR. STOCKS: Your Honor, what my concern would be

1	is that if there's going to be the process for the
2	appointment of counsel, that that probably first must
3	be exhausted. And then when we know who that counsel
4	is, we determine a hearing date.
5	THE COURT: And, Ms. Woolery, have you done any of
б	the preliminary steps? Contacted AG? Special
7	prosecutor?
8	MS. WOOLERY: I have not, Your Honor. I know that
9	previously this Court, in a similar conflict situation,
10	had appointed counsel from Fayette and Effingham. I
11	knew that they were available. I was hoping for the
12	Court's guidance as to whether or not you'd like me to
13	reach out to the appellate prosecutor or the AG's
14	office prior to contacting those attorneys.
15	THE COURT: I would.
16	MS. WOOLERY: Okay.
17	THE COURT: And, Mr. Stock, you don't have any
18	objection to Ms. Woolery
19	MR. STOCKS: No, Your Honor
20	THE COURT: contacting
21	MR. STOCKS: No objection.
22	THE COURT: And, of course, the purpose behind that
23	is they would be representing the county without cost.
24	MR. FLYNN: Uh-huh.

1 MS. WOOLERY: Yes, Judge. THE COURT: The county board and board chairman. 2 3 MS. WOOLERY: Yes, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. So that is going to take a 4 little bit of time, but shouldn't take an extended 5 period of time. 6 7 You want to do a status in maybe a week? 8 MS. WOOLERY: I think that would be appropriate. MR. STOCKS: That's appropriate, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Yeah. And we can do that remotely if 10 11 you'd like. 12 MR. STOCKS: Great. THE CLERK: We could do October 17 at 9, 10 or 11. 13 MR. STOCKS: We'll make ourselves available. Put 14 15 whatever time. 16 THE CLERK: You said it's just a status? 17 THE COURT: Yeah, status. THE CLERK: We could do 9:00. October 17 at 9:00. 18 19 THE COURT: October 17, 9:00, for a status on 20 appointing counsel. 21 MR. FLYNN: Your Honor, will that be by Zoom or 22 would you like a personal appearance? 23 THE COURT: No, we can do that by Zoom. 24 MR. FLYNN: Okay.

1	THE COURT: Either one. That's your option.
2	MR. FLYNN: All right.
3	THE COURT: You can appear in person or by Zoom,
4	either one.
5	MR. FLYNN: Thank you.
6	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
7	MR. STOCKS: Thank you, Your Honor.
8	MS. WOOLERY: Thank you, Judge.
9	(End of proceedings.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE FOURTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT SHELBY COUNTY, ILLINOIS

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Jaclyn K. Getz, an Official Court Reporter for the Fourth Judicial Circuit of Illinois, do hereby certify that the foregoing Report of Proceedings was reported in machine shorthand by me and is a true, correct, and complete transcript of my machine shorthand notes so taken at the time and place hereinabove set forth to the best of my ability.

Jaclyn K. Getz Official Court Reporter IL License No. 084-004781