IN THE CIRCUIT COURT O COUNTY DEPARTMEN			202	
THE CITY OF CALUMET CITY, an Illinois municipality,		1000 1000 11 A Sh	10CT 29	a na
Plaintiff,			tos Tic	1 martine
ν.	Court No.:	~		Togat*
NYOTA FIGGS, individually and in her official capacity as the City Clerk of the City		e.	10	845 -
of Calumet City, Illinois, Defendant.		2021CH055 CALENDAR, TIME 00:0 Declarato	/R00 20	M 03 Jdant
VEDIFIED COMPLAIN			87 H	~r ~ ~ 2 110 99 8 111

<u>VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY</u> JUDGMENT AND PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

The Plaintiff, the City of Calumet City, an Illinois municipality, by and through its attorneys, Ancel Glink, P.C., pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/11-101, and for its Verified Complaint for Declaratory Judgment, a Preliminary Injunction and a Permanent Injunction to prevent the unlawful destruction of public records of the City of Calumet City, states as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. The residents of Calumet City, Illinois, ("the City") elected municipal officers to the City Council in April, 2021. These included Mayor Thaddeus Jones, ("Mayor Jones"), who was elected on an aggressive reform platform to eradicate the City's reputation of corruption and waste. The City's voters also re-elected incumbent City Clerk, Nyota Figgs, who was a holdover from the past administration.

2. To identify potential areas of concern and to gain knowledge about the City's finances and financial practices with an eye towards improving them, the City embarked upon a forensic audit. The City hired an outside auditor, Alyssia Benford, to review the City's financial records. Ms. Benford directed City staff and officials, including Defendant Figgs, to retain and

preserve the City's public records and not to destroy any documents, especially documents from the previous administration that could contain evidence of wrongdoing or criminal activity. Notwithstanding this explicit direction, and further notwithstanding that the Defendant does not have the discretion to determine that the City's records should be destroyed, Clerk Figgs surreptitiously and without approval from the Mayor or City Council disposed of and destroyed City a large quantity of the City's records without any legal authority to do so whatsoever.

3. The City has filed this lawsuit seeking a declaration that the City Clerk has no authority to destroy City records without approval of the City, to enjoin her from the further destruction of City records.

PARTIES

4. Plaintiff City of Calumet City (the "City") is an Illinois home rule municipality located in Cook County, Illinois.

5. Defendant Nyota Figgs ("Figgs") is a resident of Calumet City, Illinois and the elected City Clerk. She is sued in her official capacity as the City Clerk of Calumet City.

6. To the extent that Defendant Figgs has destroyed public record, such acts are beyond the scope of her official duties and she is, therefore, also sued in her individual capacity.

ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL COUNTS

Voters in Calumet City elected municipal officers to the City Council in April,
 2021.

8. These included Mayor Thaddeus Jones, ("Mayor Jones"), who was elected on an aggressive reform platform to eradicate the City's reputation of corruption and waste.

9. The City's voters also re-elected incumbent City Clerk, Nyota Figgs, who was a holdover from the past administration.

10. To identify potential areas of concern and to gain knowledge about the City's finances and financial practices with an eye towards improving them, the City embarked upon a forensic audit.

11. The City hired an outside auditor, Alyssia Benford, to review the City's financial records.

12. Ms. Benford directed City staff and officials, including Defendant Figgs, to retain and preserve the City's public records and not to destroy any documents, especially documents from the previous administration that could contain evidence of wrongdoing or criminal activity.

13. In June 2021, Defendant Figgs was given explicit direction by the auditor to refrain from destroying any city records while the audit was pending.

14. Notwithstanding this explicit direction, and further notwithstanding that the Defendant does not have the discretion to determine that the City's records should be destroyed, Defendant Figgs surreptitiously and without approval from the Mayor or City Council disposed of and destroyed City approximately two truckloads of the City's records without any legal authority to do so whatsoever. Upon information and belief, this action was undertaken to destroy evidence of wrongdoing by the previous administration.

15. On August 10, 2021 and August 11, 2021, Defendant Figgs ordered a shredding truck from ProShred Security requesting a document purge using thirteen 96-gallon totes.

16. The City has been billed for such services and the invoices indicate the requestor was the Clerk's Office. See invoices attached **Exhibits A** and **B**.

17. The service call was not a regularly scheduled service call and was specifically a service call for a larger shred by the Clerk's office.

18. ProShred Security provided a document destruction certificate for August 11, 2021 confirming destruction of over one ton of documents.

19. Defendant Figgs used her assigned alarm code to access the records building on the dates in question.

20. Defendant Figgs explicitly admitted that she knowingly destroyed city documents while an audit was in progress.

21. The Illinois Local Records Act, 50 ILCS 205/1, et seq., gives the authority to the Mayor to determine which records should be maintained or submitted for destruction. 50 ILCS 205/10. Mayor Jones did not seek to dispose of City records, nor did he authorize Defendant Figgs to do so.

22. Said Act also requires the Illinois Local Records Commission to approve the Mayor's request. The Illinois Local Records Commission did not authorize the destruction of the records that Defendant Figgs destroyed because the Mayor never submitted such a request.

23. Section 4(a) of the Act provides that public records shall not be destroyed except as provided by law. 50 ILCS 205/4(a).

24. Section 10 of the Act specifies, "No public record shall be destroyed or otherwise disposed of by any Local Records Commission on its own initiative, nor contrary to law."

25. Defendant Figgs was not authorized by the Mayor or City Council to destroy the City's records.

26. Upon information and belief, Mayor Jones believes that Defendant Figgs intends to destroy additional public records.

COUNT I – DECLARATORY JUDGMENT THAT DEFENDANT FIGGS DOES NOT HAVE INDEPENDENT AUTHORITY TO DESTROY THE CITY'S PUBLIC RECORDS

27. The City realleges paragraphs 1 through 26 and incorporates the same as though fully restated herein.

28. 735 ILCS 5/2-701 provides for declaratory judgment actions which, in cases of actual controversy may make binding declarations of rights having the force of final judgments, including the determination at the instance of anyone interested in the controversy, of the construction of any contract and a declaration of the rights of the interested parties.

29. There is no basis in Illinois law which permits a municipal clerk or individuals to destroy public records without approval of the mayor or public body or in a manner inconsistent with Illinois law.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully prays for this Court to enter an order:

A. Declaring Defendant Figgs has no authority as City Clerk or otherwise to destroy the City's public records without approval of the Mayor or contrary to law.
For such other and further or different relief as the court may deem equitable and just.

COUNT II – PRELIMINARY AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION ENJOINING DEFENDANT NYOTA FIGGS FROM DESTROYING PUBLIC RECORDS OF THE CITY OF CALUMET CITY

30. The City realleges paragraphs 1 through 29 and incorporates the same as though fully restated herein.

31. The City has a clearly ascertainable right to the preservation of its public records. In fact, the City is required, by law, to maintain its public records. 50 ILCS 205/3a.

32. The City has a clearly ascertainable right to the strict compliance with the Illinois Local Records Act.

33. Defendant Figgs unlawful destruction of public records, including the City's financial records, will cause irreparable harm to the City, as well as to the public.

34. The City has no legal remedy available to prevent Defendant Figgs from destroying its records.

35. The balancing of the equities favors the City because the Defendants has no right whatsoever to destroy the City's public records or to destroy possible evidence of wrongdoing by the previous administration.

36. For the reasons described herein, the City has a likelihood of success on the merits because Defendant Figgs is destroying public property in a manner not permitted by law.

WHEREFORE, the City respectfully pray this Court enters an order granting a preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendant Nyota Figgs from destroying public records without the authority of the Mayor.

Respectfully submitted,

CITY OF CALUMET CITY, ILLINOIS

By: <u>Amber M. Samuelson</u> One of the Attorneys for Plaintiff

Keri-Lyn J. Krafthefer (kkrafthefer@ancelglink.com) Amber M. Samuelson (asamuelson@ancelglink.com) ANCEL GLINK, P.C. Attorneys for Plaintiff, City of Calumet City 140 South Dearborn Street, 6th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60603 P: 312-782-7606 Attorney Code: 42783

VERIFICATION BY CERTIFICATION

Under penalties provided by Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil Procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statements set forth in the attached instruments are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief and as to such matters the undersigned certifies as aforesaid that he verily believes the same to be true.

June Sone

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES: 7/12/2025

Subscribed and sworn to before me this *B*Day of October, 2021. OFFICIAL SEAL DEANNE JAFFREY NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF ILLINOIS

City of Calumet City v. Nyota Figgs

EXHIBIT A

Redishred Chicago Inc. For Assistance Call · 708.487.1660 Select: 3 for Sales Quote, 4 for Scheduling, 5 for Billing www.proshred.com/chicago/



INVOICE 990093171

Invoice Date 8/10/2021

Terms: Due Upon Receipt

Ca 204	cts Payable lumet City 4 Pulaski Rd. lumet City, IL 6	0409				PO#: 181343
Cost Center	Building/Room	Description	Tkt	Date	Qty	Price
	Clerk's Office	Purge 96 Gallon	9901347	07 08/10/21	15	\$750.00
				TO	DTAL	\$750.00
		Certificate	of Destruction			
		tifies that all materials s		ove date hav	ve been co	onfidentially
		nd the materials will be r				
Dear Pros		tomer: Please note, you m				as part of an
	account / mai	ket review process. Thank	k you for trusting us as y	our security p	partner!	
≻						
		Please detach and return	this portion with your payment			
I	002171 00/10/21	1000020	20 C 1 C'i		A	Due: \$750.00
Invoice# 9900	093171 08/10/21	1000038	30 Calumet City		Amount	Jue: \$750.00
Dor	nit to:			Bill to:		
-						
	shred Security			Accts Paya		
	0 Graphics Dr.			Calumet C	•	
Tin	ley Park, IL 604	77		204 Pulask		
				Calumet C	ity, IL 6	0409

City of Calumet City v. Nyota Figgs

EXHIBIT B

Redishred Chicago Inc. For Assistance Call · 708.487.1660 Select: 3 for Sales Quote, 4 for Scheduling, 5 for Billing www.proshred.com/chicago/



INVOICE 990093297

Invoice Date 8/11/2021

Terms: Due Upon Receipt

Ca 204	ccts Payable Ilumet City 4 Pulaski Rd. Ilumet City, IL 6	50409				U pon Receipt PO#: 181343 ue: \$650.00
Cost Center	Building/Room	Description	Tkt	Date	Qty	Price
	Clerk's Office	Purge 96 Gallon	990134878	8 08/11/21	13	\$650.00
				тот	TAL	\$650.00
		Certificate of				
	· ·	tifies that all materials supp nd the materials will be recy		ve date have	been con	fidentially
⊁	account / mai	tomer: Please note, you may rket review process. Thank you rket review process. Thank you may rket review process. Thank you may rket review process. Thank you may rket review process. Thank you	portion with your payment	ur security pa	rtner!	
Rer	093297 08/11/21 nit to: shred Security	100003830		Sill to:		ıe: \$650.00