STATE OF ILLINOIS ) ) SS.
COUNTY OF WILL )
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 12TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS
JOHN NORTON,
Plaintiff,)
) -vs- ) NO. 2019 L 943
)
LEONARD MC CUBBIN, JR., et al., )
Defendants.)
REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the hearing of the
above-entitled cause before the Honorable DOMENICA A.
OSTERBERGER, on the 26th day of July, A.D., 2021.
APPEARANCES:
MR. JOHN NORTON, Plaintiff Appeared as a self-represented litigant;
MR. ROBERT HANLON, Attorney At Law
Appeared on behalf of the Defendants.
STEVE VITHOULKAS, CSR, RPR, RMR
Will County Courthouse Joliet, IL 60432

THE COURT: All right. Mr. Norton, why don't you have
a seat at counsel table. Mr. Hanlon, good morning.

MR. HANLON: Good morning, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. So this is 19 L 943. Let me

THE COURT: All right. So this is 19 L 943. Let me see. Well, I certainly recall you as individuals, but the specifics I need to review, so give me a moment, okay?

MR. HANLON: Very well.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Brief pause.)

THE COURT: Okay. Well, there is a couple things going on. One is that we have scheduled for this afternoon the hearing on the purge. I recognize that on behalf of your clients, Mr. Hanlon, you intend to argue that there has been noncompliance with certain due dates preceding today's date. That's not what I'm addressing this morning, however, because we have our hearing at 1:30.

MR. HANLON: That's correct.

THE COURT: What I do have this morning is a motion by Mr. Norton asking me to take some action due to an alleged failure on your part to give notice of the subpoenaes that apparently you had caused to issue. Am I correct,

Mr. Norton, that that is your motion?

MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. So may I inquire, let's cut to

the chase here, did you send notice to Mr. Norton of the 1 subpoenaes? 2 MR. HANLON: Yes, your Honor. 3 4 THE COURT: Do you have proof of service? MR. HANLON: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: May I see it? 6 MR. HANLON: May I approach? 7 Yes. You can step back. Thank you. THE COURT: 8 Mr. Norton, may I inquire, sir, what is your address? 9 MR. NORTON: 1834 Roberts Street, Wilmington. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Hanlon has provided me with a 11 certification -- attorney certification that he mailed 12 copies of the subpoenaes to you on June 29th. I am going to 1.3 hand that back to Mr. Hanlon. 14 15 MR. HANLON: Approach, your Honor? THE COURT: Yes, please take them. Thank you. So may 16 I inquire, sir, he's indicated he mailed those to you. 17 18 what is your argument with regard to your motion? MR. NORTON: My argument is he's done this in the past. 19 He says he's done it and just by putting his signature on 20 it, but he produces no evidence whatsoever. Like, for 21

example, U.S. Postal receipts which I always bring with me

to court, your Honor, to show, yes, they were mailed. I

always get a receipt from the post office clerk because I

22

23

take them in and send them out. I got the one for this motion that I sent him. This is a tactic he's done not only just this case, but several other cases in the State of Illinois after talking with a couple of the other attorneys from District --

MR. HANLON: I object, your Honor.

THE COURT: Overruled.

MR. NORTON: -- 22.

1.3

1.6

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. Well, Mr. Norton, sir, I'm going to deny your motion. I will see you back here at 1:30 for further hearing. Thank you for your time, everyone.

MR. NORTON: Oh, also --

THE COURT: No, sir, I'm done. I've addressed your motion and I've denied it. I will see you at 1:30. Thank you.

(Matter passed and recalled.)

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Norton, why don't you have a seat at counsel table. So we're here for our hearing on 19 L 943. Please give me a moment, everybody. I need to log in, okay? And please show as well that Mr. Hanlon is here on behalf of the original defendants/petitioners in this contempt proceeding and citation proceeding. So hang on. Okay. So I've reviewed the file. Earlier this morning at our 10:30 hearing I had -- give me a second, everybody. Let

me get this up. There we go.

1.8

Earlier this morning at our 10:30 hearing I had denied Mr. Norton's motion to bar any result from the subpoenaed materials. In my review of the file with regard to that, I did note that Mr. Hanlon had filed a document that, frankly, doesn't have any legal effect, but I suspect he will make oral arguments with regard to that matter now. I'm about to inquire about that. That is on July 6th, he filed a document entitled report -- status report. I did not order a status report to be filed. That is not part of the contempt procedure, and I do not have any -- I am not going to give any reliance upon that written presentation.

If you wish to orally present and summarize what you have put in writing here in response to my questions that I will be asking in a few minutes, you certainly may do so. But this in and of itself is not --

MR. HANLON: I understand.

THE COURT: Please don't interrupt me.

MR. HANLON: I'm sorry, Judge.

THE COURT: -- is not a legal filing as part of the procedure. So as we all know, the original hearing at which I had found Mr. Norton in indirect civil contempt was his failure to comply with both appearing at the citation -- original citation response date and with completing

documents that were necessary to complete for purposes of that citation proceeding, and I had entered a purge order that I expressly had indicated was subject to modification with regard to his failure to comply with those proceedings.

To be clear to the parties, my purge order -- and I think I explained this to Mr. Norton last time -- was an effort to try and get compliance with the original citation proceedings; that is, to get him to fill out the paperwork and to actually have a hearing with regard to the questions about the available assets pursuant to the citation proceedings. And in that regard, I had said and ordered that Mr. Norton had a certain time period within which to provide the written documents to Counsel for preparation of today's hearing, and I had given him due dates for that. I had not indicated that those were final dates after which he would be punished in any way, and the reason why I had not done that is because this is not a punishment situation.

And so -- but I did indicate that he did need to comply with my order. So basically I had extended the due dates for him to comply. Before we begin with the matter we're set for today, and we will see whether or not we get that before, before we begin with the matter that was set for today, which was the actual under oath questioning of Mr. Norton with regard to the citation proceeding, it is my

understanding that Mr. Hanlon, on behalf of his clients, is about to maintain to me and about to ask that he has not received the documents that had originally been requested in the citation proceeding.

And so if that is going to be the case, and I will allow Mr. Hanlon to articulate whether or not he's received those documents, I will then place Mr. Norton under oath so that he can be questioned by Mr. Hanlon as to why it is that he has not provided those documents, and I will make a determination as to whether or not he had an ability to comply with those documents, and if I do find that he had an ability to comply with providing those documents but failed to do so, I will consider whether or not additional coercive orders are necessary in order to get him to complete the documents so that we can conduct the citation proceeding.

With that, my point to all of you is that this whole contempt aspect is intended to have a hearing completed on the original citation. So, Mr. Hanlon, may I inquire, have you received any of the affidavits or interrogatories that you had tendered to Mr. Norton that were retendered to Mr. Norton on the last court date with regard to the forms by the dates that I had ordered?

MR. HANLON: Not by the dates that you ordered.

THE COURT: Do you have them now, though?

MR. HANLON: I have a document that I have made a copy of for the Court. If you wish, I can present it to you.

1.9

THE COURT: No, I don't. What I am asking you is, is there anything outstanding that you believe you have not received in compliance with your request, and if you have not received something that is in compliance with the request, what is it and do you wish to inquire of Mr. Norton as to why it is you don't have that material as of today's date?

MR. HANLON: The first part of your question is what didn't he produce? He did not produce his tax returns, he did not produce his bank statements, did not provide proof of his income, did not provide anything other than, for the most part, a blank response to the citation document. So none of the things that were enumerated in your order were produced.

THE COURT: Okay. So do you wish to inquire of

Mr. Hanlon (sic) as to whether or not he had the ability to

provide those documents to you?

MR. HANLON: I believe that you meant inquire of Mr. Norton, Judge.

THE COURT: I'm sorry, Mr. Norton. Yes. I apologize, Mr. Hanlon. Do you wish to inquire of Mr. Hanlon (sic) as to whether or not he did have the ability to provide those

documents to you by the extended dates that I had given him? 1 MR. HANLON: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Norton, sir, I am going to 3 have you raise your right hand. I know you affirmed last 4 time, so if you could please raise your right hand. 5 MR. NORTON: I object under the --6 THE COURT: Huh? 7 MR. NORTON: -- Free Exercise Clause, First Amendment, 8 raising the right hand and that. It still falls under -- I 9 base it on no religious beliefs. And raising a right hand 10 is part of the religious belief that I disagree with. 11 THE COURT: I find that that's not the case. Could you 12 please raise your right hand, sir? Thank you. 13 (Witness affirmed.) 14 THE COURT: Thank you very much. Please inquire, 15 Counsel. 16 JOHN NORTON, 17 having been called as a witness; being duly sworn, was 18 examined and testified as follows: 19 EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. HANLON: 21 Mr. Norton --0 22 MR. NORTON: Your Honor, could you have him speak up. 23 He is quite aware I am deaf in my right ear. 24

THE COURT: Okay. If you could also assist him with 1 that and perhaps turn to face him a little better, it will 2 be easier. 3 MR. NORTON: I am going to. THE COURT: I appreciate that. If you could, as a 5 courtesy to your opponent, try and speak more clearly. 6 MR. HANLON: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Thank you. 8 BY MR. HANLON: 9 Mr. Norton, you did not produce any tax returns. 0 10 Can you explain why you did not produce them? 11 Because I don't have any. 1.2 THE COURT: Okay. I am going to interrupt. 13 realize that we don't have a lot of people here in the 14 courtroom, but this still is a legal proceeding at which 15 there are questions and answers. It's not a conversation. 16 17 So please allow your opponent to complete his question 18 before you give a response. MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 19 THE COURT: If you could inquire again to make our 20 record clear, sir? Thank you. 21 BY MR. HANLON: 22 Mr. Norton, you did not provide a tax return, is 23 that correct? 2.4

A Correct.
Q And is it your contention you have no income, is
that correct?
A Yes.
Q Is it your contention that you had no obligation
to file a tax return?
A Yes.
Q Do you recall being in court on June 10th of this
year in which you asked for time additional time because
it would be difficult to gather all the documents?
MR. NORTON: Objection. He's misstating the reason why
I asked for the additional time.
THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer his question if
you disagree with the premise.
MR. NORTON: I asked for the additional time because of
the problem with the mail service at that time, which the
Judge gave me 21 days and stated clearly on the record that
it is to be postmarked to you no later than June 1st.
BY MR. HANLON:
Q It's your testimony I'm sorry.
With respect to the date you meant July 1st,
right?
A I had to mail it to you with postmark no later

than June -- July 1st.

Q Thank you. Is it your contention that you did not receive any income in which that you would file a tax return?

A No income that is required by law to be filed in accordance with U.S. tax laws, state or U.S. tax laws.

Q Mr. Norton, you indicated -- I'm sorry.

You filed this response for income, property statement. Do you recall filling out what I previously marked as Exhibit A? Judge, I have a stack of all my exhibits.

THE COURT: If I may, Counsel? Right now what we're doing is having a hearing on whether or not there had been compliance with this pre hearing order of the turn-over of documents.

MR. HANLON: Sure.

THE COURT: So we're not actually conducting the citation proceeding quite yet. What I'm allowing you to do is inquire of Mr. Norton as to whether or not he had the ability to provide those documents to you, and if you are dissatisfied with what has been produced, whether or not you are asking me to enter any further orders with regard to his failure to provide those documents. So that is the limited purpose of this inquiry at the moment.

MR. HANLON: Very well.

BY MR. HANLON:

2.

Q Mr. Norton, with respect to your bank account records, you did not submit any statement with your citation response, is that correct?

A That is correct.

Q And on June 10th when you were present in this courtroom, did you not inform the Court that you had a bank account?

A I do not recall saying that.

Q So if you stated to the Court that, "temporarily, for about 18 hours, have it locked up that I was aware of. I didn't find out until late Sunday night, but it was resolved by Monday morning because they ruled on that their legal departments, there is no lawful written orders anywhere for their files, so they withdrew the hold on it, which there was only about \$10 in the account. It was just to keep it open in case I ever needed it for anything, which I have no use for it." Do you recall making that statement?

A I vaguely remember it. Yes, I do vaguely remember that and stated that I filed a motion thereafter, motion for discovery for documents of how you obtained any information about that account.

- Q Mr. Norton, I want you to answer my questions.
- A I did.

THE COURT: Mr. Norton and Mr. Hanlon. Mr. Hanlon, 1 please ask a question rather than initiating a conversation 2 with your opponent. And, Mr. Norton, please wait for the 3 question before there is an answer. Thank you. 4 5 BY MR. HANLON: On June 17, 2021, you filed a lawsuit in the 6 Circuit Court of Will County against Leonard McCubbin. 7 you recall doing that? 8 What was the date of it? Α 9 THE COURT: Counsel, may I inquire? What does this 10 have to do with whether or not he gave you the listed 11 documents that you believed were necessary to comply with 12 the citation? 13 MR. HANLON: He provided a sworn statement attached to 14 that complaint that said that he had a bank account. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Then you may inquire of that. 16 to the point. 17 BY MR. HANLON: 18 Mr. Norton, on June 17th did you file with the Q 19 Court a sworn statement that indicated that you had a bank 20 21 account? Yes, I did. Α 22 Okay. And that was on June 17th? Q 23

No, that was not the date it was filed.

24

Α

- Q Was it filed in June of this year?
- A Yes.

1.1

2.2

- Q Okay. So in June of this year you filed a sworn statement with this Court that you had a bank account, right?
  - A Yes.
- Q But that's not reflected in the documents that you gave to me, correct?
  - A No, it's not.
- Q Why did you not give me a copy of your bank statements?
- A Because I found no legal precedent of which you should have direct access to any spending habits, whether it's what I have that contains privacy act -- the Privacy Act of 1974, that you have no legal right -- recourse to seek. Now, the Judge can, but not you, and that's under U.S. banking laws. So I will not provide precise details of what day, what activity on that account. I will not do it, will not hand that over to you unless somebody can show me a state statute or federal law that says I absolutely have to break down those details. This falls under the Privacy Act of 1974.
  - MR. HANLON: Judge, do I need to continue?
- 24 THE COURT: Counsel, it's your case. Do you wish to

inquire whether or not you received any other documents? 1 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 2 BY MR. HANLON: 3 Q With respect to the other documents that you were 4 5 ordered to tender, is it your contention that you were not ordered to tender your bank statements? 6 I remember something about the bank account, but 7 the bank statements, I can't specifically say yes or no, 8 banking information, which bank, that much I do remember. 9 But as far as actual banking statements, I don't recall. 10 Mr. Norton, you have a bank account, right? 11 Α Yes. 12 And you didn't provide the statements for your 1.3 bank account, right? 14 Not for that one, no. 15 Α Why did you not tender your bank statements? 16 0 Because I see no legal -- no state statute or 17 anything that says you must have those, the breakdown of the 18 bank statements themselves. The fact that I have it and 19 maybe how much is in it, but as far as -- there is no law 20 that says I have to show you exactly what's -- money coming 21 in and going out of it. There is no law that I see that 2.2 somebody can quote saying I have to hand that to you. 23 Mr. Norton, you were also ordered to produce your 24 Q

insurance policies. Do you recall that part of the order? 1 Oh, yeah. 2 Okay. And did you tender any insurance policies 0 3 4 to me? 5 Α No. Is that because the vehicle that you drive is not 6 registered in your name? 7 It's not mine. Α 8 You drive a red Ford Escape, right? 9 Q Up until recently, yes. 10 Α And that red Ford Escape, you said it's not yours? 11 Q It's not mine. Α 12 Whose is it? 13 Q MR. NORTON: Objection; relevance, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Overruled. 15 MR. NORTON: It was registered to my parents. 16 BY MR. HANLON: 17 18 Q Okay. Your father Donald Louis Norton, correct? 19 Α Yes. And your mother, Therese Norton? 20 Q 21 Α Yes. They are both deceased, correct? 22 Q 23 Α Yes. So you have a vehicle registered in their name, 24 Q

1	correct?	
2	А	Yes.
3	Q	And there is no will on probate for either of
4	them, cor	rect?
5	А	No.
6	Q	So you have an interest in the vehicle, correct?
7	A	Not really, no.
8	Q	But you drive the vehicle, right?
9	А	Not any more, no.
10	Q	But prior to I'm sorry. Strike that.
11		During the month of June 2021, you drove the red
12	Ford Esca	pe, is that correct?
13	A	On which occasion?
14	Q	During the month of June 2021.
15	A	I think I drove it once.
16	Q	Okay. You would be required to have proof of
17	insurance	for the vehicle, correct?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Is the vehicle insured?
20	A	Yes. Correction. Was.
21	Q	Was the vehicle insured when you drove it?
22	A	Yes.
23	Q	Who did you have insurance from? Let me rephrase
24	the quest	ion.

Α Yes. 1 Who insured the vehicle, the red Ford Escape, 2 during the month of June 2021? 3 4 Α Who insured it? I don't remember the company's 5 name. The bill for that insurance policy came to your 6 0 house? 7 I don't own a house. Α Okay. You reside at 1834 Roberts Street in Q 9 Wilmington, isn't that correct? 10 Yes. Α 11 And the bill came to that property, correct? 12 Q Yes. 13 Α And you have an equitable interest in that 14 property, correct? 15 Not any more. 16 Α When did you get rid of the interest in that 17 property? 18 As soon as my brother showed up. 19 Α When did your brother show up? Q 20 Approximately three months ago. 21 Α And how did you get rid of your interest in the 2.2 Q property? 23 Him and I are just basically -- he's taking it 24 Α

over. I'm just going to waive any right to any claim on the 1 2 property. And is that why you didn't provide a deed to that 3 property? 4 That's not the reason why I didn't provide it. 5 Α Tell me the reason why you didn't provide the deed 6 7 to the property. Because I have no idea where it's at. Simple as Α 8 that. 9 Did you make any diligent inquiry to find a copy 10 0 of the deed for that property? 1.1 Α No. 12 Did you go to the recorder's office to get a copy 13 of the deed for that property? 14 Α No. 15 And you understand you have an equitable interest 16 0 in that property, correct? 17 Say again? Α 18 You understand you have an equitable interest in 19 that property, isn't that correct? 20 I have no equitable interest in it. I have no 21 desire for the property. 22 That's where you live, correct? 23 Q That's where I reside at the moment. Α 24

1	Q	So, Mr. Norton, you didn't provide the deed,
2	right?	
3	A	Correct.

- Q Was there some inability of you to provide the deed?
  - A Inability? No.

1.3

1.7

- Q With respect to the insurance policy, was there some inability of yours to provide me a copy of the insurance policy for the truck -- I'm sorry, the red Ford Escape?
  - A Yes, there is a reason why.
- Q Okay. I asked you if there was an inability. Did you have the ability to tender me a copy of the insurance policy, yes or no?
  - A No.
  - Q Why not?
- More. The vehicle is basically -- it's almost a dead vehicle. Until my brother and I can figure out who is going to actually put it under whose name, which it will probably be his, but the vehicle is not worth it. It's going to be junk. It's got over 250,000 miles on it and it's junk. It's probably -- going to let him take the information and go scrap the thing.

1	Q What does that have to do with your ability to
2	provide me with a copy of the insurance policy that covered
3	that vehicle in the month of June 2021?
4	A Because there was none.
5	Q Not in June?
6	A No.
7	Q Do you recall just a few minutes ago testifying
8	that it was insured in June 2021?
9	A I want to correct myself on that. It was the
10	first few days of it, it was. It expired around the 10th,
11	somewhere around there. I don't remember the exact specific
12	date. I know it was early June is when it expired.
13	Q So you have an insurance card at the beginning of
14	June 2021?
15	A Yes, I think there was one on the car on the
16	vehicle.
17	Q Was there something that prevented you from giving
18	me a copy of the insurance card?
19	A Yes, there was actually.
20	Q What?
21	A Because it's not mine to give you.
22	Q And that's because it's in the name of your
23	deceased father, right?
24	A Correct.

1	Q	is that the reason why you didn't tender the
2	insurance	policy for the house as well because it's in your
3	father's	name?
4	A	That's a totally different reason.
5	Q	And the house and the vehicle are both in your
6	father's	name, correct?
7	A	Yes. No.
8	Q	Okay. Are they both in the name of Donald Louis
9	Norton an	d Therese Norton?
10	А	Yes.
11	Q	Both of them, the car and the house, right?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And you drive the car, you live in the house,
14	right?	
15	A	I no longer drive the vehicle because it's
16	basically	a dead vehicle, and I'm staying there for the
17	moment.	
18	Q	You haven't gone to court over the possession of
19	the house	, correct?
20	A	Not yet.
21	Q	There is no probate on file over the house, right?
22	A	My brother and I haven't gotten that far yet.
23	Q	And there has nothing been transferred with
24	respect t	o the vehicle, correct?

1	A No.
2	Q You indicated that you have no income, right?
3	A Yes.
4	Q And that's why you didn't submit your tax returns,
5	right?
6	A Yes.
7	Q All right. But you recall that last year
8	Mr. Spinelli testified that he employed you. Do you recall
9	that?
10	A That was then, this is now.
11	Q Okay. But you would have filed tax returns for
12	that prior period, correct?
13	A No.
14	Q So you were employed but you didn't have a reason
15	to file a tax return, correct?
16	A Technically I'm not employed by him. And if you
17	recall, and we can pull up I can go get the court
18	transcript. I help him on occasion with things when his
19	normal worker is not available. He stated that, and that is
20	in the court transcripts that your partner right here
21	decided to get and I got a copy of it.
22	Q Mr. Norton, did you receive income in the month of
23	June 2021 from Mr. Spinelli, yes or no?
24	A No documentable income, no.

Okay. I didn't ask you if it was documentable. 1 asked you if you got income from Mr. Spinelli during the 2 month of June 2021. 3 4 Α Define income. MR. NORTON: Judge, can I ask for your intervention 5 here? The witness was ordered to cooperate in these 6 proceedings. He is asking me to define the word income. 7 THE COURT: That's a self-defining term, sir. Answer 8 the question. 9 MR. NORTON: I object because income can come in many 10 forms. 11 THE COURT: Overruled. Answer the question. 1.2 MR. NORTON: He's thrown me a few dollars here and 13 there to go buy cigarettes and a case of Pepsi. 14 BY MR. HANLON: 15 And he paid you no other funds? 16 No, none. 17 Α 18 Q He didn't pay you to mow lawns for him? I helped on occasion. So basically got me my 19 Α cigarettes, my case of Pepsi and that, yeah. If you want to 20 consider that income? 21 Mr. Norton, so you didn't provide any receipts or 22 0 evidence of what you get paid, is that correct? 23 Yes, I have provided nothing. Α 24

And it's your contention as you sit here today 1 that your only income from Mr. Spinelli is a case of Pepsi 2 and these other menial items? 3 4 Α Yes. Right now, yes. Is it going to change when I conduct your 5 examination on your responses? 6 Α Say again? 7 Is your testimony going to change when I conduct your examination on your citation responses? 9 Probably not. 10 Α And why do you say probably? 11 I cannot predict the future on what questions you 12 are going to ask. I am not a mind reader. There might be 13 something you know that I don't. 14 Judge, I think that I have adequately 1.5 MR. HANLON: addressed the issue of his improper non production of 16 17 documents. 1.8 THE COURT: So I have a question for you. MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 19 Obviously these are documents that you had THE COURT: 20 requested in the citation that you had filed. 21 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 22 THE COURT: So insofar as the specific documents that 2.3

you believe you have established that he could have tendered

but did not, let's go through them individually, each 1 category, so that I can make sure that I understand what you 2 believe should have been tendered to you. 3 4 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 5 THE COURT: So, category number one. MR. HANLON: Income tax returns. 6 THE COURT: For what year? 7 MR. HANLON: Last two years. That being tax year 2020 and tax year 2019? THE COURT: 9 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 10 THE COURT: Mr. Norton, sir, Counsel is arguing that 11 you have willfully failed to provide him with existing tax 12 returns filed by you for tax years 2019 and 2020, so I have 13 a question. My first question is, did you ever file a tax 14 return for tax year 2019? 15 MR. NORTON: 16 THE COURT: Okay. My next question is, did you ever 17 file a tax return for tax year 2020? 18 MR. NORTON: Actually, yes, I think I did, your Honor, 19 on that one, for one reason. 20 THE COURT: I don't need to know the reason. You filed 21 one for 2020, is that right? 2.2 23 MR. NORTON: Did I? I don't remember, your Honor.

THE COURT: I don't believe you, Mr. Norton.

certainly know whether you filed --1 MR. NORTON: Well, I have no income. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Norton, sir, please do not interrupt 3 Mr. Norton, I do not find credible your response that you don't recall whether you filed a tax return for tax year 5 2020. It is July of 2021. Did you file a tax return for 6 7 2020 yet, yes or no? MR. NORTON: No, I don't believe I filed one, your 8 There is no reason to file because I have no income. 9 Honor. 10 THE COURT: Did you file a tax return for tax year 2020 as of today's date? 11. MR. NORTON: No. 12 THE COURT: Okay. What's your next category, sir? 13 MR. HANLON: Insurance policies. 14 THE COURT: With regard to what specifically? 15 MR. HANLON: Vehicle and the house. 16 THE COURT: Which vehicle? 17 MR. HANLON: The 2002 Ford Escape. 18 THE COURT: 2002 Ford Escape. You are looking for a 19 copy of an insurance policy for the 2002 Ford Escape that 20 was in existence in June of 2021, am I correct? 21 MR. HANLON: Yes, your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: Okay. Now, Mr. Norton, we are going to 23

move on to that. With regard to the 2002 Ford Escape, is it

my understanding that that is a vehicle that is titled in 1 the names of your deceased parents Donald and Therese? 2 3 MR. NORTON: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. Was that vehicle insured at any time during June of 2021? 5 Actually, no, it wasn't. MR. NORTON: No. 6 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Norton, you have now contradicted 7 yourself because just a few moments ago you testified that 8 it was insured through June 10th, so I'm going to give you 9 an opportunity to explain to me the contradiction here. Was 10 the 2002 Ford Escape insured at any time during June of 11 2021? 12 MR. NORTON: I believe the policy ran out in the first 13 part of June. I don't have the documents here in front of 14 15 me. THE COURT: Well, you should have provided them. 16 That's the problem. Okay. 17 18 MR. NORTON: Your Honor --THE COURT: May I inquire, who was the named 19 policyholder for the policy for the vehicle? 20 MR. NORTON: It's under both my parents. 21 THE COURT: I see. Who paid the premium? 22 MR. NORTON: During what time period, your Honor? 23 THE COURT: June of 2021 and May of 2021. Up to any 24

point --1 MR. NORTON: Nobody paid it. 2 THE COURT: Hang on. Okay. Have you ever paid the 3 premium for the vehicle insurance policy under the names of 4 Donald and Therese? 5 MR. NORTON: No. 6 THE COURT: Never? 7 MR. NORTON: No, I never did. 8 THE COURT: Who paid the premium? 9 That was paid -- premium was paid up MR. NORTON: 10 before my dad died. 11 THE COURT: When did your dad die? 12 MR. NORTON: June of last year. 13 THE COURT: Your dad paid the premium for the insurance 14 policy for a year past his death? 15 MR. NORTON: He didn't expect to die. He didn't expect 16 to die in the middle of June either. 17 THE COURT: I see. So but he paid a whole year in 18 advance? 19 MR. NORTON: That was typical of him. 20 THE COURT: I see. 21 MR. NORTON: My mother did that before she died. 22 I see. So do you have those documents? 23 THE COURT: You certainly seem to know --24

MR. NORTON: I probably have the old registration card. 1 THE COURT: That's not my question. Let's focus. You 2 indicated to me that the insurance policy expired sometime 3 4 in early June of 2021, so I would like to know whether or not you have any documents with regard to the payment on 5 that policy. 6 MR. NORTON: No, I don't have them. 7 THE COURT: Okay. How do you know then that your dad 8 paid them in advance? 9 MR. NORTON: That's what he always did. I know what my 10 dad -- what my parents used to do. They always did that. 11 THE COURT: When did your mother die? 12 MR. NORTON: Eight years ago. 13 THE COURT: Okay. I see. Okay. And am I correct that 14 you cannot tell me as you sit here today the name of the 15 company that provided the automobile insurance for the 2002 16 17 Ford Escape? 1.8 MR. NORTON: I don't remember what it is, your Honor. THE COURT: I see. 19 MR. NORTON: I didn't handle the documents, so I don't 20 know. 21 THE COURT: Oh, I see. Okay. What's your next issue? 22 MR. HANLON: There was the insurance policy for the 23 house, Judge. 24

THE COURT: Okay. What is the address of the house 1 again? 2 MR. HANLON: 1834 Roberts Street, Wilmington, Illinois. 3 THE COURT: Is there a homeowner's policy in existence 4 at the address 1834 Roberts Street in Wilmington, Illinois? 5 MR. NORTON: As far as insurance policy? 6 THE COURT: Yes, a homeowner's insurance policy, sir. 7 MR. NORTON: No. 8 THE COURT: Is there a mortgage on the home? 9 MR. NORTON: No. 10 When was the last time that you are aware THE COURT: 11 of that there was a homeowner's insurance policy on that 12 13 property? MR. NORTON: June of last year. 14 THE COURT: Have you ever paid a premium for the 15 homeowner's insurance -- any kind of homeowner's insurance 16 in anyone's name for the property at 1834 Roberts Street in 17 18 Wilmington, Illinois? MR. NORTON: Paid for out of my own pocket, no. 19 THE COURT: That's not my question. Have you actually 20 made a payment -- I didn't ask the source of that income. 21 Have you actually ever made a payment for a homeowner's 22 2.3 insurance policy on 1834 Roberts Street, Wilmington, Illinois? 24

```
MR. NORTON: I've -- yes, I have.
1
 2
            THE COURT:
                        When?
            MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor, I have made payments.
 3
            THE COURT:
                        When?
 4
            MR. NORTON: What was that?
 5
            THE COURT:
                        When?
 6
            MR. NORTON: Let's see. Back up three months from June
 7
       of last year.
 8
 9
            THE COURT:
                        Okay.
            MR. NORTON:
                         I went and made a payment -- took care of
10
       a payment for my dad because his health was plummeting and
11
       he couldn't really do anything any more.
12
            THE COURT: I thought your dad died in June of last
13
14
       year.
            MR. NORTON: He did.
15
            THE COURT: Three months later is September.
16
            MR. NORTON: Three months before his death I said, your
17
       Honor.
18
            THE COURT: Oh, I see. What insurance company was
19
20
       that?
            MR. NORTON: Same as the automobile.
21
            THE COURT: Who else lives in the house?
22
            MR. NORTON: Currently?
23
            THE COURT: Yes.
24
```

MR. NORTON: My brother is there. 1 I see. How long has your brother lived in 2 the house? 3 MR. NORTON: A couple months. About three months now. 4 THE COURT: So now is July, so when did your brother 5 start living in the house? 6 MR. NORTON: About three months ago. 7 THE COURT: When was that? MR. NORTON: Back up three months from today. 9 THE COURT: You can do the math. When was that? 10 MR. NORTON: Let's see, July, June. Around mid May is 11 when he showed up. I do remember. It was right around --12 it was approximately around the time of his birthday is when 13 he showed up. I remember now. 14 THE COURT: Great. When? I wasn't invited to his 15 birthday party. You are going to have to help me now. 16 MR. NORTON: I do not want to have to make that -- the 17 18 detail -- I object because my brother is not a party to this 19 case. THE COURT: Overruled. 20 MR. NORTON: And I don't want to bring --21 THE COURT: Overruled. You are the one that brought up 22 your brother's birthday as triggering your memory as to when 23 he moved in, so tell me when his birthday was. 24

MR. NORTON: He showed up around May 20th. 1 THE COURT: When is your brother's birthday? 2 MR. NORTON: Objection. 3 THE COURT: Overruled. MR. NORTON: I am not at liberty of giving out his 5 private information. 6 THE COURT: Overruled. When is your brother's 7 birthday? 8 MR. NORTON: The 18th. 9 10 THE COURT: Of May? MR. NORTON: Yes. 11 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you have any other 12 categories of information that you are seeking as well, sir? 13 MR. HANLON: Bank statements. 14 THE COURT: With regard to what specifically, sir? 15 The bank -- the statements that were MR. HANLON: 1.6 referenced in his petition of June 17th. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. So if I may ask, you haven't been specific in your questioning of Mr. Norton. Perhaps you 19 don't know and that's the purpose of your questioning, but 20 perhaps you do know. Is there a particular bank that you 21 are inquiring about? 22 23 MR. HANLON: I don't know the bank, that's why I needed the information. 2.4

THE COURT: I understand. Do you have a reasonable 1 belief that there are multiple bank statements that you are 2. inquiring about? 3 4 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. THE COURT: What is the reasonable belief that you have 5 that? 6 MR. HANLON: He's used a debit card in his name at 7 Angelo's Liquor Store that identifies two separate debit 8 cards, two separate numbers, both listed in his name as the 9 membership and debit cards that are associated with bank 10 accounts, and it appears to me that there is at least two. 11 MR. NORTON: Objection. There was no subpoena for him 12 to go to these --13 THE COURT: Overruled. Are they both at the same bank 14 to your knowledge or not? 15 MR. HANLON: It doesn't identify the bank on it, Judge. 16 THE COURT: Okay. To recap just so I understand, your 17 reasonable belief that there are at least two bank accounts 18 stems from what you believe to be your opponent's use of two 19 debit cards with different numbers at a particular retail 20 location, correct? 21 22 MR. HANLON: That coupled with other facts, Judge. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Such as what? The sworn statement of Mr. Norton in June MR. HANLON: 24

of this year indicating that he had a bank account. 1 THE COURT: Okay. Hang on. Let's talk about that. 2. What specific information are you referring to? 3 MR. HANLON: He filed a sworn statement with the Court 4 on June 17, 2021. 5 THE COURT: In which case number? 6 MR. HANLON: In case number 2021 AR 549. 7 THE COURT: Okay. And what is the statement that you are referring to? What does it say? 9 MR. HANLON: That indicates that he has a bank account 10 and says that it is totaling \$10 in cash. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any other information 12 with regard to a bank account upon which you believe that 1.3 there are bank -- that there was a bank account in June of 14 2021? 15 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge, I have the transcript from the 16 June 10, 2021 hearing in which Mr. Norton acknowledged that 17 he had a bank account. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Norton, sir, do you have a bank 19 account -- excuse me. 20 Did you ever have a bank account in your name, 21 even if it's held with other people, in June of 2021? 22 23 MR. NORTON: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. With what bank? 24

MR. NORTON: It was U.S. Bank. 1 THE COURT: Okay. How many accounts do you have with 2 U.S. Bank? 3 4 MR. NORTON: Just the one. THE COURT: Okay. Do you have two debit cards? 5 MR. NORTON: No. 6 THE COURT: Do you have a debit card? 7 MR. NORTON: Yes. Do you have more than one debit card? 9 THE COURT: MR. NORTON: No, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. Have you ever used more than one 11 debit card? 12 1.3 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Is there a reason why you might have 14 used debit cards with different numbers at the same time? 15 MR. NORTON: Yes, there was, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: What is that? What is that? 17 18 MR. NORTON: I had full power of attorney over my 19 father and I would just use his to go like pay his utility bills, like his gas, electric. I would just use his coming 20 out of his account. 21 THE COURT: Well, Counsel says you used two different 22 23 debit cards at the liquor store. Did you? MR. NORTON: There was a moment in time in which there 2.4

was a break from one card to the break of the other, and 1 that was when I filed my motion for discovery back a couple 2 of months ago because of --3 4 THE COURT: Okay. Hang on. MR. NORTON: I have --THE COURT: Let's refocus. So are you telling me that 6 you did use two different debit card numbers at the liquor 7 store? 8 MR. NORTON: If I may clarify, your Honor? 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. NORTON: When I found out he accessed --11 THE COURT: No, you may not qualify. Tell me, did you 12 ever use two different credit card -- or debit card numbers, 13 I should say, at the liquor store? 14 MR. NORTON: Not simultaneously, your Honor. 15 THE COURT: Okay. But did you ever use two different 16 debit card numbers at the liquor store? 17 18 MR. NORTON: When I had the -- the first one switched off because of theft, I got another one. 19 THE COURT: Okay. So the answer is yes, correct? 20 MR. NORTON: I have two separate ones. They were 21 22 consecutive, not concurrent. 23 THE COURT: I understand. So the answer is yes, is 24 that correct?

MR. NORTON: Yes. 1 2 THE COURT: Okay. Was that related to different 3 accounts or the same account? MR. NORTON: Same account. 5 THE COURT: Okay. So you had -- though not simultaneously, you have had two different debit cards 6 associated with the same account, is that what you are 7 telling me? 8 MR. NORTON: Three actually, your Honor. 9 10 THE COURT: Okay. MR. NORTON: The very first one, my wallet fell out of 11 12 my pocket and I ran over it with a lawn mower so I had to 13 get another one. 14 THE COURT: Okay. So you have told me so far that you have one bank account with U.S. Bank. 15 MR. NORTON: Yes. 16 17 THE COURT: Do you have any other accounts with your 1.8 name on it? 19 MR. NORTON: Not in the jurisdiction of the United 20 States, no. 21 THE COURT: Okay. That wasn't my question. Do you 22 have any other bank accounts with your name on it? 23 MR. NORTON: Yes. THE COURT: Okay. With what entities are those 24

```
accounts, how many and with what entities are those
 1
       accounts?
 2
            MR. NORTON: I can't pronounce the name of the bank.
 3
            THE COURT: That's okay. You can tell me anyway.
 4
            MR. NORTON: It's a Swiss bank account.
 5
            THE COURT: Okay. So let's start with my first
 6
 7
       question. How many other accounts do you have with your
       name on it other than the one at U.S. Bank?
 8
            MR. NORTON: Did you clarify as primary or just access
 9
       to it?
10
            THE COURT: I didn't ask any clarification, sir. I
11
       have asked you to tell me how many other accounts do you
12
       have with your name on it other than the one at U.S. Bank?
13
            MR. NORTON: One.
14
            THE COURT: One. And with what bank is that account
15
       held?
16
17
            MR. NORTON: I don't know how to pronounce that Swiss
1.8
       name.
            THE COURT: I don't care. Try.
19
            MR. NORTON: It's one of the two in Switzerland, and it
20
       was not my account actually.
21
22
            THE COURT: Okay. Tell me the name of the bank.
            MR. NORTON: I don't know what the name of it is. It's
23
       like --
24
```

THE COURT: Frankly, I don't believe you. You just 1 told me you can't pronounce it, now you tell me you can't 2 3 remember it. That is inconsistent. Tell me the name of the 4 bank. MR. NORTON: Well, I know it's not Royal Bank of 5 Switzerland. I know it's not that one. 6 THE COURT: Tell me the name of the bank. 7 MR. NORTON: I can't. I can't think of the name of the 8 9 bank. THE COURT: I'll wait. 1.0 MR. NORTON: I don't know what the name -- I can't -- I 11 12 never could pronounce the name. It was some big, long Swiss name that my wife set up 30 years or 25 years ago. 13 THE COURT: I'll wait. 14 15 MR. NORTON: And, your Honor, you are going to be 16 waiting a long time because I do not remember the name of this bank. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. Try. MR. NORTON: It starts with an H. 19 There you go. It starts with an H. 20 THE COURT: 21 MR. NORTON: I don't remember what it is -- what the 22 name of it is. 23 THE COURT: Maybe you can think of it a little more.

MR. NORTON: What's that, your Honor?

THE COURT: Why don't you think a little more. 1 I'm thinking because I have -- I haven't 2 MR. NORTON: touched that bank account in years, not since my wife died. 3 4 THE COURT: What's the name of the bank? MR. NORTON: I don't know what the name of it is. 5 THE COURT: I don't believe you. 6 MR. NORTON: Whether you believe me or not is irrelevant, your Honor, because if I can't recall it, I 8 9 can't, because I have not used the bank. THE COURT: It's not irrelevant. 10 MR. NORTON: It was not my bank account originally. 11 THE COURT: What you don't understand, Mr. Norton, is 12 that it is not irrelevant because it is my job to judge your 13 credibility. And so the fact that I don't believe you means 14 that I find you not to be credible in answering this 15 question. So I need you to focus on what the name of the 16 bank account is. 17 MR. NORTON: Can I ask for a continuance so I can go 18 19 get this name because I don't know what it is. 20 THE COURT: No, because you were supposed to have brought that already, Mr. Norton, and I found you to be 21 evasive. Now, what is the name of the bank? 22 23 MR. NORTON: It starts with an H, but I don't remember.

THE COURT: Think again.

MR. NORTON: It's the offshoot, if I remember right, 1 the American version of that, but they call it something 2 completely different here, but I don't have access to the 3 4 one here. It's an offshoot of HSBC. I think HSBC in the 5 United States is actually an offshoot of that bank, but they are actually -- I can't walk into an HSBC and use it. 6 THE COURT: I see. Okay. 7 MR. NORTON: I do not have a debit card to use it any 8 9 more. 10 THE COURT: I see. So you have an account with a Swiss 11 bank, is that correct? MR. NORTON: I am on as a person that has access to it. 12 THE COURT: I don't know what that means. 13 MR. NORTON: It was originally my late wife's, your 14 15 Honor, because she was not a U.S. Citizen. 16 THE COURT: Okay. When did she die? MR. NORTON: June 3, 2003. 17 THE COURT: I see. Okay. Do you have any other 18 accounts at any other bank besides the Swiss bank and U.S. 19 2.0 Bank? MR. NORTON: No. 21 No. THE COURT: Okay. What else do you want, Counsel? 22 MR. HANLON: Listed on the citation was deeds to 23 24 property.

THE COURT: Okay. What specific property? If you have 1 a particular --2 MR. HANLON: 1834 Roberts Street, Wilmington. 3 THE COURT: Are you looking for a deed to any other 4 5 property? 6 MR. HANLON: No, your Honor. I see. May I inquire, have you yourself 7 THE COURT: ascertained whether or not there has been anything filed 8 with the recorder's office in Mr. Norton's name? 9 10 MR. HANLON: No, I have not found anything in Mr. Norton's name. 11 THE COURT: What is the last deed that you on a good 12 faith belief understand to be issued on that property? 13 MR. HANLON: My recollection is either '71 or '73 to 14 Donald and Therese Norton. 15 THE COURT: I see. Okay. Mr. Norton, sir --16 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: -- is there a deed to the property in the 18 name of anyone other than Donald and Therese Norton? 19 MR. NORTON: No, there isn't, your Honor. 20 THE COURT: Do you have a copy of the deed? 21 MR. NORTON: No, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: I see. Did your father have a copy of the 23 deed? 24

MR. NORTON: Yeah, he had one, yeah. 1 THE COURT: What happened to all your dad's paperwork? 2 MR. NORTON: I think it's all stuck in a safe. 3 THE COURT: What safe? 4 MR. NORTON: The one that's still sitting in the living 5 6 room. THE COURT: Where you live? 7 MR. NORTON: It's there right in front of me, yes. It's where I reside, your Honor. I don't live there. 9 THE COURT: Yeah, okay. What's the next thing you 10 11 want? MR. HANLON: Judge, I think that's the full list that 12 was on the original citation. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I need to know specifically, 14 Counsel, because you are about to, I think, address these 15 issues with me and I would like to know specifically what 16 your good faith belief is as to anything else that we are 17 18 looking for here. MR. HANLON: Well, Judge, he's just articulated that he 19 has a safe and contents. He has not disclosed any firearms, 20 and with respect to the firearms --21 THE COURT: That's not the subject of the order -- the 22 original order, so, no. 23

MR. HANLON: It was not, Judge.

THE COURT: That's it? 1 MR. HANLON: Yes. 2 THE COURT: So let me ask you a question. 3 obviously have not -- oh, you haven't mentioned anything 4 about pay stubs or proof of income. Are you looking for 5 that? 6 MR. HANLON: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Okay. What specifically are you looking 8 for? 9 MR. HANLON: Any proof of his income from his work with 10 Mr. Spinelli. 11 THE COURT: Okay. Do you have any reasons to believe 12 that there is anything in writing that hasn't been provided 13 to you? 14 MR. HANLON: What I know is Mr. Norton has on numerous 15 occasions been working for Mr. Spinelli. 16 17 THE COURT: So what time frame is it that you are 18 looking for? MR. HANLON: The last six months, Judge. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Norton, sir, have you been paid 20 by Mr. Spinelli in any form in any way for any work you've 21 done for him in the last six months? 22 23 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Let's start with the types of income 24

```
that you have received from Mr. Spinelli.
 1
            MR. NORTON: He bought me a carton of cigarettes once,
 2
       a couple cases of Pepsi. He's given me some cash to go --
 3
 4
       to run over and just go get it myself.
            THE COURT: Okay. Cash? How much?
 5
            MR. NORTON: Yeah. Say again?
 6
            THE COURT: How much?
 7
            MR. NORTON: 10 to $20 range.
 8
            THE COURT: How often?
 9
            MR. NORTON: I can make a carton of Pepsi stretch out
10
       about two weeks.
11
            THE COURT: Pardon me?
12
            MR. NORTON: I can make a carton of Pepsi stretch out
13
       two weeks.
14
                       That wasn't my question. How often have
            THE COURT:
15
       you been paid cash by Mr. Spinelli in the last --
16
            MR. NORTON: Sometimes it was --
17
            THE COURT: Please let me finish my question,
18
19
       Mr. Norton.
            MR. NORTON: Sorry, your Honor.
20
            THE COURT: How often have you been paid in cash in the
21
       last six months by Mr. Spinelli?
22
23
            MR. NORTON: Right around about every ten to 12 days.
            THE COURT: So how often would that be?
24
```

MR. NORTON: I said on occasion, intervals of about ten 1 to 12 days. 2 THE COURT: Okay. So over the last six months, how 3 4 many times do you estimate you have been paid in cash by Mr. Spinelli? 5 MR. NORTON: Six months, that would probably be about 6 four or five times. If a month is four weeks -- actually, 7 no, you're right. Six, seven -- maybe about 12 times. 8 had the math reversed in my head. 9 THE COURT: Okay. At any time that you received 10 payment from Mr. Spinelli, whether that be in a monetary 11 fashion or payment by giving you anything in particular, 12 have you received anything in writing to commemorate, 13 acknowledge or a receipt for that type of payment? 14 15 MR. NORTON: No. THE COURT: Have you ever received any kind of pay stub 16 17 from Mr. Spinelli? 18 MR. NORTON: No. THE COURT: Have you ever received any kind of check 19 from Mr. Spinelli? 20 MR. NORTON: No. 21 22 THE COURT: Have you ever received any kind of 23 withdrawal slip or any deposits to your account that were made by Mr. Spinelli? 24

MR. NORTON: No.

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. What else?

MR. HANLON: That would be it, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. So here we are. I had extended the time period for Mr. Norton to comply with the original order for documents, and I had set today's date for you to conduct your examination under oath. My question for you, Counsel, is whether you wish to begin your examination today or whether you still need any documents in order to conduct that examination? And that is a decision that only you can make. I don't make that for you.

MR. HANLON: I need the documents, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. So insofar as you need the documents, I have to make a determination as to what documents I believe, based upon the testimony that has been presented today, could have been provided by Mr. Norton but that he did not do so, and then I will make a decision as to whether or not I am going to modify any purge order to increase the coercive effect of the Court's orders for you to obtain those documents. So with regard to tax returns, what is your argument, if you have any?

MR. HANLON: He's acknowledged on one hand that he filed a tax return and then retracted that. He has an employer. Tax returns are an obligation, you know, to be

filed.

2.2

THE COURT: Okay. Can you explain to me your good faith basis to believe that his employer may have given him pay stubs or anything that would have led to any kind of withholding, that would have led to any kind of tax returns? I don't know much about you or your opponent here, so on what basis do you have that there was, in fact, some tax documents that were initiated?

MR. HANLON: Mr. Norton's prior -- or his employer,
Mr. Spinelli, testified in a prior court hearing, that he
was his employer.

MR. NORTON: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled. And so in what capacity?

Again, I don't know anything about you.

MR. HANLON: I appreciate that, Judge. Judge, I am here obviously just as Counsel here.

THE COURT: I understand that. I don't know anything about you, meaning both of you. That's what I meant. I don't know anything about Mr. Spinelli's testimony or what he may or may not have employed allegedly Mr. Norton to do.

MR. HANLON: Yes.

THE COURT: I understand that you have what you believe to be a good faith belief that Mr. Norton was, in fact, employed by Mr. Spinelli, but in what capacity? In what

way? Can you explain to me? 1 Judge, on October 24, 2019, in the matter 2 MR. HANLON: of Forsythe versus John Norton, case number 2019 OP 1740, 3 Mr. Norton acknowledged that he had a pension, also 4. acknowledged that he receives income from the federal 5 government, and also acknowledged that if called as a 6 witness in that case, his employer, Mr. Spinelli --7 I'm sorry, the last part was what? THE COURT: 8 MR. HANLON: That Mr. Norton called his employer, 9 Mr. Spinelli, to testify that -- and he actually 10 contradicted his own testimony --11 THE COURT: Again, Mr. Hanlon, please don't make this 12 more complicated than it already is. 13 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 14 THE COURT: So Mr. Norton called Mr. Spinelli as a 15 witness in that proceeding? 16 MR. HANLON: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Because Mr. Spinelli was his employer? 18 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 19 And so inform me again in what capacity was 20 THE COURT: Mr. Norton employed with Mr. Spinelli? What does 21 Mr. Spinelli do? Can you tell me? 2.2 MR. HANLON: At the time he was full time but did 23

comment that he previously had him as a part time employee.

MR. NORTON: Again, lack of evidence, your Honor. 1 THE COURT: Overruled. Again, what does the man do for 2 a living? 3 MR. HANLON: Oh, Mr. Norton is employed by a landscape 4 company that is run by Mr. Spinelli. 5 What's the name of the landscape company? 6 THE COURT: I don't know, Judge. He articulated that MR. HANLON: 7 he works for him doing lawn mowing for Mr. Spinelli. 8 THE COURT: Okay. And that was in the 2019 proceeding, 9 is that correct? 10 That's correct, Judge. MR. HANLON: 11 THE COURT: I see. And what is your good faith belief 12 as to where this landscaping business is located? 13 MR. HANLON: Because I have photographic evidence of 14 Mr. Norton --15 THE COURT: Where is it located? 16 MR. HANLON: Wilmington, Illinois. 17 18 THE COURT: Thank you. Have you ever looked into whether or not Mr. Spinelli has a named business either as a 19 d/b/a or a corporation? 20 MR. HANLON: I have found nothing. 21 THE COURT: Okay. And getting back to the tax return 22 issue here. So your argument is is that there is an 23 existing tax return that has not been provided to you for 24

which years?

1.4

MR. HANLON: 2019, 2020.

THE COURT: Okay. And your belief that there was one for 2019 is based upon the fact that he had done some landscaping work -- that Mr. Norton had done some landscaping work for Mr. Spinelli?

MR. HANLON: Mr. Spinelli testified that he was an employer.

THE COURT: I see.

MR. HANLON: And him being an employer would have had to have maintained records as to his employment.

THE COURT: Well, that doesn't necessarily mean that Mr. Norton filed a tax return. Mr. Norton testified under oath today that he didn't file a tax return for 2019, so why should I not accept that to be true?

MR. HANLON: Judge, the only thing I can say is that Mr. Norton's testimony is incredible in any way, shape or form, ostensibly because he's taken the position that he has no income, you know, of any sort, then he testifies that he filed a tax return, then he said he didn't file a tax return.

THE COURT: Well, I will say that Mr. Norton's testimony is quite evasive and at times not believable. He was not inconsistent with regard to whether he filed a tax

return for 2019. He emphatically said no. His wavering occurred with regard to whether or not there was a tax return filed for tax year 2020. So, again, it may be -- you know, he's testified under oath and under penalty of perjury, I would point out, that he did not file a tax return for 2019.

MR. HANLON: Judge, if I may, I believe --

THE COURT: So the point here is that I have to make a determination as to whether or not he has those documents to give you, and he said no, and other than the fact that he may have had employment in tax year 2019, I'm not so certain that that shows me that he actually filed the tax return. So what else do you got?

MR. HANLON: Judge, I'm looking at the transcript from June 10, 2020 -- I'm sorry, 2021 in this courtroom. I believe he made a statement. I'm looking for the statement.

THE COURT: Okay.

1.4

MR. HANLON: Judge, I was mistaken. Nothing else.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. So moving on to the next topic. With regard to the Ford Escape, what documents do you believe that Mr. Norton has the ability to provide you but has failed to do?

MR. HANLON: The insurance card for the vehicle and the title and registration for the vehicle.

THE COURT: Okay. With regard to the home at 1834 1 2 Roberts Street, what documents do you believe that Mr. Norton has the ability to provide to you but has not 3 done so? 4 MR. HANLON: The insurance policy and the deed. 5 THE COURT: With regard to the bank statements, what 6 information do you believe that Mr. Norton has the ability 7 to provide to you and has not done so? 8 MR. HANLON: All the bank statements for the accounts 9 10 that he referenced upon examination. THE COURT: You mean the U.S. Bank account and the 11 Swiss bank account? 12 MR. HANLON: That's correct, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: With regard to any proof of income or pay 14 stubs, what information do you believe that Mr. Norton has 15 the ability to provide you but has not done so? 16 MR. HANLON: Other than what I have already mentioned, 17 nothing, Judge. 18 THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Norton, sir, the question 19 here for me to determine is whether or not you had the 20 ability to provide these documents at issue, and if you did 21 have the ability to provide them, to modify your purge for 22 the contempt to enter further coercive order intended to 23

force you to provide the documents. With regard to your

income tax returns for 2019 and 2020, do you have any argument or evidence or testimony that you wish to present on that issue?

1.6

MR. NORTON: Your Honor, I do not have a 2020 because -- or a 2020 because I got confused, because we're in 2021, I was going to put in for 2021, which I haven't done it yet, because this year hasn't run out and go back and get the Covid payments which they said you can file on the 2021. We are not through 2021 yet. So for 2019, 2020 there is none because I had nothing to list for income. So there is no 2019, 2020 because the Covid payments didn't come out until late 2020.

THE COURT: Mr. Norton, either you filed a tax return for 2019 and 2020, that is tax years 2019 or 2020, or you didn't.

MR. NORTON: I didn't. I didn't.

THE COURT: You've already testified that you didn't file one for 2019, so if you perjured yourself, you've got some issues, but you testified to that. You equivocated with regard to whether or not you filed a tax return for tax year 2020. What are you telling me now?

MR. NORTON: I hadn't filed one. I had not filed one.

I got my years confused. Because of this --

THE COURT: Mr. Norton, let' be clear. You remain

under oath. 1 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Did you file a tax return for tax year 3 2020? No, your Honor. MR. NORTON: No. THE COURT: With regard to your ability to provide the 6 insurance card and the registration and title for the 2002 Ford Escape, do you have anything else you wish to tell me 8 or arque with regard to that? Remember, you are under oath. 9 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. That is not my documents 10 to provide because they are not in my name, so I am under no 11 obligation to give somebody else's evidence who is not a 12 party to this case. 13 THE COURT: Okay. What about the deed to the home and 14 15 the insurance policy for the home? MR. NORTON: Same as the vehicle, your Honor. It's not 16 in my name and it's not my documents to hand over to anybody 17 18 else. THE COURT: I see. 19 MR. NORTON: There are laws to prevent that from me 20 going out -- it's like stealing attorney Hanlon's ID and 21 then handing it over to you. That would be the same thing. 22

THE COURT: I see. What about the bank records for the

23

24

It's not mine to hand over.

U.S. Bank account and the Swiss bank account?

MR. NORTON: Swiss bank account hasn't been used in about 18 years roughly.

THE COURT: You told me --

MR. NORTON: Because that was my wife's. That was my wife's. It was not mine.

THE COURT: I see. So why is it that you can't provide documents for it?

MR. NORTON: Because I don't know where they are. I haven't seen anything from that bank in probably almost 18 years.

THE COURT: I see.

MR. NORTON: I don't --

THE COURT: I see. What about your U.S. Bank account?

MR. NORTON: That I objected to because I am not going
to hand over personal financial information to him. You,
your Honor, yes. If you want me to file it as a
confidential briefing, yes, I will hand it over, let you
look at it, but not him because there is no law that says he
has to see my detailed things. Like I said, that falls
under the Privacy Act of 1974, plus there is U.S. banking
laws that prevent just anybody can go in and see what my
banking details is. If I went to a liquor store and bought
a bottle of rum, there is no need for him to have that

information on what my personal spending habits are.

THE COURT: Okay. Show that I find that you have the ability but that you have refused to provide the insurance card, title and registration for the 2002 Ford Escape. Show that I find that you had the ability but you have refused to provide the homeowner's insurance policy and the deed for 1834 Roberts Street in Wilmington. Show that I further find that you have the ability but you have refused to provide the bank statements for U.S. Bank account and your Swiss bank account.

Let me be clear that I am specifically ordering

Counsel that upon his receipt of those bank statements, that
he is not to disclose those to anyone other than himself for
purposes of the litigation here in this case. I find that
you have failed to provide that information and you had the
ability to do that, so I am going to impose a jail sanction
on you. The jail sanction is for an indeterminate term.

That means that I am going to impose the jail sanction in
order to force you to comply with the documents. I don't
want to put you in jail to force you to comply with
providing these documents, but I find that you have
obstructive behavior and that you have failed to do so.

The indeterminate jail sanction will be issued today as a modification to the purge, however, I will stay

the mittimus; that is, I will stay the imposition of that jail sanction for a one-week date. So one week from today -- actually, let me check my calendar and make sure that one week is good. One week is fine. So I am going to stay that jail sanction until Monday, August 2nd at 1:30 p.m. You are to report here to this courtroom for purposes of the execution of the mittimus on that jail sanction.

MR. NORTON: What day was that, your Honor?

2.3

THE COURT: If you have provided those documents by that date, then there will be no further need for me to have any coercive action in this case, however, if you do not have those documents on hand by that date, I will impose the mittimus; that is, I will have the mittimus executed and you will remain in the Will County Adult Detention Facility until you provide those documents. So I am not imposing a specific sanction for purposes of punishment, but I am finding that it is necessary to impose a jail sanction in order to force you to come up with these documents that are necessary for this proceeding.

So I am, again, staying the jail sanction until Monday. If you have those documents by then, there won't be a need for me to further have any jail sanction in this case. If you come in on Monday and you don't have the documents nor a reasonable, believable reason why not, then

I am going to have to execute the mittimus and then I will continue the matter until you comply and have those documents provided. So, again, the coercive sanction in this case is a jail sanction for an indeterminate period of time until those documents are provided.

Mr. Hanlon, I need you to hand write an order for me this afternoon indicating that the Court finds that Mr. Norton has had the ability to but has refused to provide the insurance card title and registration for the vehicle, the homeowner's insurance policy and deed for the vehicle, and the bank records for the U.S. Bank and Swiss bank accounts, and that as a result, the purge is modified to require a jail sanction of an indeterminate period in order to force him to provide those documents for purposes of the citation proceeding, and that the mittimus is stayed on that jail sanction until Monday.

Should the Court determine that it is necessary to execute the mittimus on Monday, Mr. Norton will remain incarcerated until there is compliance with the underlying order that has been violated. We will enter and continue the hearing on the citation as well. Thank you.

MR. HANLON: Very well.

MR. NORTON: What was the date of this, your Honor?

THE COURT: Monday.

2.1

MR. NORTON: What's Monday? 1 THE COURT: The 2nd. 2 MR. NORTON: Oh. At what time, your Honor? 3 4 THE COURT: 1:30. MR. NORTON: I have to be in another courtroom on that 5 same day and I think around that same time, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: What courtroom is that, sir? 7 MR. NORTON: That will be -- one second. 8 THE COURT: Do you have a case number? 9 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MR. NORTON: 21 AR 549. 12 THE COURT: Well, that will be convenient for you then, 1.3 sir. That hearing is at 9:00 a.m. and my hearing is at 14 15 1:30. MR. NORTON: Okay. I wasn't sure of the exact -- I 16 couldn't remember which time it was. 17 18 THE COURT: That's okay. So please wait. Counsel is going to prepare a written order with regard to this 19 20 matter. Thank you. (Brief pause.) 21 THE COURT: Thank you. 22 23 MR. HANLON: Your Honor. 24 THE COURT: Yes.

MR. HANLON: With respect to the specific language, I just wanted to make sure that I have it correct. Could I ask the Court to repeat for me what you had specifically instructed me to include in the order?

2.4

THE COURT: Please include in the order that the Court finds that Mr. Norton has the ability to but has failed to provide the following list of information and documents in response to the citation proceeding, even after an extension of the time period following the finding of indirect civil contempt, and the Court modifies its purge order to provide that the following documents be tendered in order to purge himself from contempt.

They are, number one, the insurance card, title and registration for the vehicle. Number two -- and specify the vehicle, please. Number two, the insurance policy and deed for the house, and specify the address. And number three, bank statements with regard to the U.S. Bank and Swiss bank accounts. That those documents, in order to purge Mr. Norton from the contempt finding, those documents must be provided to you. That the Court finds that a jail sanction -- an indeterminate jail sanction is necessary to force compliance with the Court's order to provide said documents, and that the Court imposes the indeterminate jail sanction but stays the issuance of the mittimus until August

2nd at 1:30 p.m. 1 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, as I drafted the order, I 2 broke out the insurance policy and then the title into 3 4 different specific line items so that each line item would match to your order. 5 THE COURT: Okay. 6 MR. HANLON: So as opposed to the insurance policy and 7 title and registration of the Ford, I put insurance policy 8 on the Ford, title and registration on the Ford. 9 THE COURT: That's okay. 10 MR. HANLON: Okay. 11 MR. NORTON: Your Honor, I just remembered the name of 12 the --13 THE COURT: Sir, if I may? The hearing is done. 14 15 once you are done getting the order, we will see you back on Monday. Thank you. 16 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 17 18 MR. HANLON: Here is the order. MR. NORTON: What's this word here? I can venture a 19 quess, but what is the word? 20 The ability to provide, colon. MR. HANLON: 21 MR. NORTON: Colon. 22 23 MR. HANLON: Would you like me to read the whole thing

24

out loud?

No. I will tell you right now you MR. NORTON: No. 1 can't get that, because that bank account is not even two 2 3 years old. THE COURT: Mr. Norton. Mr. Norton, if you have an objection to the document, you should address me. 5 MR. NORTON: My apologies, your Honor. I object to 6 That U.S. Bank account I got there is only less 7 than a year old. 8 THE COURT: Mr. Norton, I note your objection. I will 9 review the order and if I find it sufficient, I will sign 10 Do you have any other objections? 11 MR. NORTON: I am still going through this, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Let me know. 13 MR. NORTON: Your Honor, line F. 14 Okay. What is your objection? 15 THE COURT: The Swiss bank account. MR. NORTON: 16 THE COURT: Hang on. Line F. What is your objection? 17 18 MR. NORTON: Like I said, I have not seen anything on this account in over 15 years. I can't give you something I 19 don't have. It was not my account, as I stated. 20 THE COURT: What's your next objection? 2.1 MR. NORTON: Your Honor, I object to the order of the 22 23 page numbers is not correct. He's just got page one of and then just page two of and then just page three. 24

THE COURT: Okay. I note your --1 MR. NORTON: He doesn't have page one of three. 2 THE COURT: I note your objection. Do you have any 3 4 further objections? MR. NORTON: Yes. Paragraph two, your Honor. I 5 clearly stated -- I object. It says willfully failed but 6 could have provided. I can't produce something that does 7 not exist, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: Do you have any further objections? 9 MR. NORTON: No, your Honor. 1.0 THE COURT: Thank you. May I have the orders? May I 11 review that, please? 12 MR. HANLON: Judge, because of the way that I drafted 13 it, paragraph three contains your language with respect to 14 modification and it's in reference to the above paragraphs. 15 THE COURT: Let me take a look. Thank you. 16 MR. NORTON: Your Honor, I could not hear what was 17 18 being said. THE COURT: Counsel, if you could repeat that, please? 19 MR. HANLON: Yes, your Honor. I informed the Court 20 that the modification language the Court requested is found 21 in paragraph three because I had already started drafting 22 and I incorporated by reference the other paragraphs. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. Okay. I'm going to read it 24

into the record. It's a three-page order. Page one. This cause comes on to be heard for hearing on purge of John Norton's contempt of this Court. I'm going to add to it indirect civil contempt on the finding of -- okay. This cause comes on to be heard for hearing on purge of the finding of John Norton's indirect civil contempt of this Court. The Court fully -- I can't read this word.

MR. HANLON: Fully advised in the premises, Judge.

THE COURT: Advised. Okay. Thank you. The Court fully advised in the premises, after sworn testimony of John Norton, hereby orders and finds as follows: Number one, this Court finds that John Norton had the ability to provide, A, insurance policy on red 2002 Ford Escape; B, title and registration to the 2002 red Ford Escape; C, homeowner's insurance policy for 1834 Roberts Street, Wilmington, Illinois; D, the deed to the property located at 1834 Roberts Street, Wilmington, Illinois. I am going to add current.

MR. NORTON: On which part, your Honor?

THE COURT: Current deed to the property. E, bank statements for John Norton's U.S. Bank account up to two years -- up to the preceding two years. So anything within the last two years. Bank statements for John Norton's Swiss bank account up to the preceding two years. Also, up to the

preceding two years.

Paragraph two. The Court finds that John Norton willfully failed but could have provided the documents listed in paragraph one above, subsections A, B, C, D, E and F.

Number three, in order to coerce John Norton to comply with this Court's order, it modifies the conditions to purge to include an indeterminate jail sanction until John Norton provides the records shown in paragraph one above as to items A, B, C, D and E and F to attorney Robert Hanlon and this Court.

Number four, the jail sanction set forth herein is stayed until August 2, 2021 at 1:30 p.m. I added the sanction shall be lifted upon compliance with the production order.

Number five, the citation proceeding is continued for hearing to August 2, 2021, 1:30 p.m., Room 1002. John Norton is ordered, as a condition of the purge, to comply with the citation hearing. And I have signed that three-page document.

MR. NORTON: Your Honor, could I make one more objection?

THE COURT: No, you may not. The hearing is over.

Okay. I'm going to give you, Mr. Hanlon, the pink copies.

I'm going to ask you to tender the yellow copies to your opponent, and I will see both of you gentlemen here one week from today at 1:30 p.m. MR. HANLON: Judge, can I put in the record that I am tendering it to him now? THE COURT: Show that I note that it is tendered now to Mr. Norton. Thank you for your time, gentlemen. And if you may exit the courtroom, please, so that my bailiff may lock up the room. MR. NORTON: Very well. THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Norton, sir, please exit the courtroom. My bailiff needs to lock up the courtroom. Thank you. (AND THOSE WERE ALL THE PROCEEDINGS HAD.) 

1	STATE OF ILLINOIS )
2	) SS. COUNTY OF W I L L )
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	I, STEVE VITHOULKAS, Official Court Reporter for
8	the 12th Judicial Circuit, Will County, Illinois, do hereby
9	certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript
10	of the electronic recording of the proceedings of the
11	above-entitled cause, which recording contained a
12	certification in accordance with rule or administrative
13	order.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Mol Judalla
20	STEVE VITHOULKAS
21	Official Court Reporter.
22	
23	