STATE OF ILLINOIS )	
) SS. COUNTY OF WILL )	
IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 12TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT WILL COUNTY, ILLINOIS	
JOHN NORTON,	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
)	
LEONARD MC CUBBIN, JR., et al., )	
Defendant.)	
REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the hearing of the	
above-entitled cause before the Honorable DOMENICA A.	
OSTERBERGER, on the 27th day of May, A.D., 2021.	
APPEARANCES:	
MR. JOHN NORTON, Plaintiff Appeared pro se;	
MR. ROBERT T. HANLON, Attorney At Law Appeared on behalf of Connie H. Forsythe,	
Cynthia L. Brzana, Kirk Allen and Becky Becker.	
	STEVE VITHOULKAS, CSR, RPR, RMR
	Will County Courthouse Joliet, IL 60432

THE COURT: And let me turn to my individuals here in 1 the courtroom. Counsel, you can stay right where you are. 2 You are good where you are, okay? And what was the case 3 number again? 4 MR. HANLON: Case number is 2019 L 943, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you very much. 19 L 943, 6 Norton, McCubbin, Forsythe, Esposito and Brzana. 7 Mr. Norton, you are -- I think you're here, correct? 8 MR. NORTON: Yes, your honor. 9 THE COURT: Good morning, sir. May I ask you to have a 10 11 seat at this table over here? MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. I appreciate that. Thank you 13 very much. And let me pull up the file and see what's going 14 on, gentlemen, okay? Okay. I remember your faces and some 15 preliminary details about the issue before me today, but I 16 believe, having reviewed the file, that the matter is set 17 for a hearing on the petition for rule to show cause filed 18 by Counsel premised upon the allegation that Mr. Norton 19 20 failed to comply with a citation proceeding. Am I correct, Counsel? 21 MR. HANLON: Judge, you already issued the rule. 22

THE COURT: Yes, I'm so sorry. It's a hearing on the

contempt proceeding. But, yes, it is for a hearing on

23

contempt premised upon the rule with regard to the failure 1 to respond to the citation, is that right? 2 That is correct. MR. HANLON: 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. I appreciate that. Okay. Let me pull everything up here. And I have 5 admonished Mr. Norton of the process on our last court date 6 as is noted in the order of May 4th that he was admonished. 7 So good morning to you, Mr. Norton. 8 MR. NORTON: Good morning, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Mr. Norton, sir, I take it that you remain 10 11 self-represented? MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: And that's fine. I just wanted to verify 13 that you had not intended to have an attorney here today. 14 MR. NORTON: Could you say that again, your Honor? 15 THE COURT: Yes, sir. You appear to be self-16 represented. 17 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 18 I just wanted to verify that you had not 19 THE COURT: intended for an attorney to walk in the door right now and 20 represent you. 21 MR. NORTON: That is correct, your Honor. 2.2 THE COURT: Fair enough. I appreciate that. Let me do 23 a little bit of triage here, everybody, on somebody is back 24

from a breakout room here on my Zoom call, so give me a second, okay? Thank you.

2.

(Matter passed and recalled.)

THE COURT: So I will do your hearing. I'm now switching, however, and just so you all know, the reason why I'm switching is my hearing here on Zoom is a continuation from a hearing yesterday. Ordinarily I would put that at the end of my call to accommodate you, but I do need the assistance of my court interpreter for my Zoom hearing and her time is more important than anybody else's here in the Courthouse, okay? So please be patient with me while I address this matter. Thank you.

(Matter passed and recalled.)

THE COURT: All right. Usually Thursdays are not my busy days, but you're welcome. So it's Thursday. So let me focus on you guys. There are a couple more people here on my Zoom screen, but I think they were here on that other case. Teresa Bhoj, are you here on -- for a matter for which I have not addressed, ma'am?

MS. BHOJ: Yes, ma'am, I am.

THE COURT: What is the case number? Ma'am, if you can't give me a case number, I'm sorry. I need some help here. Thank you.

MS. BHOJ: I went off of it because I had been sitting

here on the cell phone.

2.0

THE COURT: What is the case number? I have two. What is the case number, please?

MS. BHOJ: John Norton versus Leonard McCubbin, Jr.

THE COURT: Oh, I see. They are here in the courtroom so I am going to mute you for now. Miss Grimenga, are you here on another matter besides the Norton matter?

MS. GRIMENGA: I am here for the Norton matter.

THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel, who are these people?

MR. HANLON: I have no intention of calling them as witnesses today, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Norton, had you intended to call these people as witnesses?

MR. HANLON: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Well, you are free to stay on-line. I was going to log off, ladies, but I am not going to log off. I am happy to have you remain on the call here, but my litigants are here in my courtroom, so thank you. Okay. And I apologize. I did not intend to keep everyone waiting this long. It is 19 L 943.

Mr. Norton, as I had indicated to you on our last court date, today is a hearing on whether I should hold you in indirect civil contempt for your failure to appear on the citation proceeding. The whole purpose of the indirect

civil contempt proceeding is for me to ultimately decide, 1 number one, whether or not you wilfully violated the order 2 to appear, and, number two, if you did, to hold you in 3 contempt so I can issue a series of orders that would be intended to force you to comply with the original citation 5 order. So that's what we're doing here today. 6 So it is your burden to show me by a preponderance of the evidence why I shouldn't hold you in contempt. 8 you intend to testify on your own behalf? 9 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to have you raise your 11 12 right hand, please. MR. NORTON: Objection. Free exercise clause of the 13 First Amendment, your Honor. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. NORTON: Raising the right hand and that. 16 THE COURT: All right. Well, raising your right hand 17 18 It dives right into how they used to using 19 MR. NORTON: under God. 20 THE COURT: Well, again, raising your right hand does 21 not necessarily have to have a religious affiliation. 22 going to ask you if you would prefer to affirm your 23

testimony. Do you prefer to affirm your testimony?

MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor.

2.2

THE COURT: Can you raise your right hand?

(Witness affirmed.)

THE COURT: Thank you very much. You can put your hand down. Now, tell me what it is you would like to tell me.

MR. NORTON: The notice that I was sent and the last time I was in here -- they asked me a question if I had any questions. The notices I was sent are not stamped by the clerk. This was just dropped off on my door back in February. There is no clerk stamp on any of these documents, none whatsoever. So if it's not stamped, according to your clerk's office, this is not a valid document to be served upon me. And in Will County, only a sheriff's deputy can serve these on me.

That was not a sheriff's deputy showed up on my door. It was stuck in an envelope. The woman that attempted to hand it to me refused to show an identification of whatsoever. I made her leave and lay it on the ground because during the Covid things, I don't know if this is contaminated. And if you would like to examine these documents, there is no court stamp on these.

He also tried to do one against -- there was another document in here because it also mentions -- oh, never mind, it's not in this one. That's a total separate

case. I apologize, your Honor. 1 2. THE COURT: Okay. MR. NORTON: It was two different dockets, though, on 3 the same day. 4 5 THE COURT: That's okay. MR. NORTON: Went for somebody else. 6 THE COURT: Go on. What else do you want to tell me? 7 MR. NORTON: As you know, I indicated last time I was 8 in here I filed for electronic exemption. I don't get his 9 10 e-mails, and that was also because I am involved in case which in the motion I have before you today --11. THE COURT: I'm so sorry, you have a motion before me 12 13 today? MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 1.4 THE COURT: Okay. Hang on. You had a motion for 15 discovery --16 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: -- that I found not to be an emergency. 18 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 19 I did not set that for hearing today. THE COURT: 20 set it for status, so it is not -- today's hearing is not on 21 your motion. 22 MR. NORTON: All right. I misunderstood, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: That's okay. Today's hearing is on the 24

contempt. What else do you want to tell me?

1.3

2.2

MR. NORTON: This actually goes back to just before that, your Honor, and the other envelope I was referring to. This is being brought for two other cases and which -- three other cases of which I am a witness in that attorney Hanlon and his little group, which some are present here today, are attempting to harass -- stalk and harass me, and I have my affidavit here. I did have my affidavit that I filed. That they couldn't get to me, so they went to my daughter, the former township clerk of Wesley Township, which I have a copy of her affidavit right here, your Honor. They have been trying to stop us from testifying.

THE COURT: What is this -- sir, so I realize that there is a whole history of litigation here that I have not been part of.

MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I get that. I realize that there may be animosity here. I get that, too. The narrow issue before me today is whether or not you should have been here on the citation hearing and whether or not you wilfully absented yourself from that proceeding. That's the only issue before me.

MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: So let's focus on that.

MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

1.3

2.2

MR. NORTON: And continuing on, like I said, it was not properly noticed. I do not receive e-mails from him. As a matter of fact, he's blocked because of the taunting, harassing e-mails he was sending me. So he's blocked on e-mail. I do not have Internet at home. I have it -- I can go use it on occasion.

THE COURT: What does that have to do with whether or not you appeared for a hearing on your citation?

MR. NORTON: I never received this notice.

THE COURT: You've already told me that. Here's what I think you've told me so far, just to make sure that you understand what I've heard from you.

MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: I say that because you are selfrepresented. I want to -- I think what you are telling me
is that while a process server and not a sheriff, but a
process server attempted to serve you with that envelope,
that because of Covid you declined to take it in person so
it was left in your presence on the ground. And I heard you
to tell me that you did not appear -- perhaps I'm stretching
too far here so you tell me if this is wrong. I think I
further heard you to tell me that you didn't appear because

you did not believe that the paperwork you had been served 1 with was properly issued by the clerk. Is that all true? 2 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. NORTON: And I have the paperwork right here, your 5 Honor. 6 THE COURT: Okay. And, again, I am not trying to cut 7 you off, but is there anything else relevant with regard to 8 whether and why you didn't appear that you wish to let me 9 know today, because today is the hearing on whether I should 10 hold you in contempt for that. 11 Other than I was not aware that there was MR. NORTON: 12 a hearing because this did not even show up on your on-line 13 computer system. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. NORTON: And it was handed to me -- it took a 16 couple days before I was able to get to the Internet. I 17 brought it up on your Circuit Court on-line thing. This was 18 19 not entered in the system. You did receive the envelope with what you THE COURT: 20 say is the unstamped document, correct? 21 MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor. 22

23

24

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. NORTON: But it's not stamped.

THE COURT: Okay. 1 I was told by your clerk's office unless 2 MR. NORTON: it's stamped, this is not -- this is not valid. 3 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Do you have any 4 questions? 5 MR. HANLON: Judge, he has failed entirely to meet his 6 7 burden in any way, shape or form. THE COURT: Sir, that wasn't my question. 8 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 9 10 THE COURT: Perhaps you can answer my question. MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 11 THE COURT: My question is whether or not you have any 12 cross examination. 13 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 14 THE COURT: Okay. Well, then, go ahead. 15 MR. HANLON: I will be brief. 16 JOHN NORTON, 17 having been called as a witness; being duly affirmed, was 18 examined and testified as follows: 19 EXAMINATION 20 BY MR. HANLON: 21 Now, Mr. Norton, you filed this complaint against 2.2 0 Mr. McCubbin and Mr. Allen and Miss Forsythe and others, 23 correct? 24

Yes. 1 Α And at the time that you filed that complaint, you 2 had agreed to receive notice via e-mail, is that correct? 3 At that time, yes. Α 4 Okay. And at that time it was for the duration of 5 this lawsuit, isn't that correct? 6 THE COURT: May I ask you -- may I interrupt? 7 been a long morning and I just want to make sure I 8 understand where you are going with this. So is your 9 10 argument, Counsel, going to be that because the original 11 appearance by the defendant purported to accept e-mail notification, that that obviates the necessity of the 12 service pursuant to Supreme Court Rule and the statute with 13 regard to citation proceedings? 14 15 MR. HANLON: No, Judge. THE COURT: Then why are you asking this question? 16 MR. HANLON: I was asking that question because he said 17 that he had blocked me on e-mail. 18 THE COURT: Sir, that's, frankly, irrelevant. 19 20 issue today is whether or not he was properly served with the citation and why he didn't show up, so let's focus on 21 the issue, shall we? 22 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. 23

THE COURT: Thank you.

## BY MR. HANLON: 1 2 So, Mr. Norton, you were served with a citation to discover your assets, isn't that correct? 3 A true and proper, correct citation, no. 4 Α Just a yes or no, Mr. Norton. You were served 5 0 with a citation to discover assets, correct? 6 7 MR. NORTON: Objection that it's too vague. THE COURT: Overruled. 8 MR. NORTON: It's ambiguous. 9 THE COURT: Overruled. You can say yes, no, or I don't 10 11 know. I don't know what your answer is going to be. MR. NORTON: I don't know. 12 BY MR. HANLON: 13 You're here on a return for the rule that was 14 0 issued on the citation, isn't that correct? 15 16 THE COURT: Okay. MR. NORTON: Yes. 17 THE COURT: Counsel, if I may? 18 MR. HANLON: Yes. 19 THE COURT: Of course he is here on that. We already 20 established that. Ask something relevant. 21 MR. HANLON: No further questions. 2.2 THE COURT: You don't have any further questions? 23 MR. HANLON: No, Judge. 24

THE COURT: Do you have any evidence that you wish to 1 2 present? MR. HANLON: No, Judge. 3 THE COURT: No? Okay. Then I will hear argument. Mr. McCubbin -- well, actually -- I'm sorry, Mr. Norton, my 5 apologies, I am going to step back for a minute. He did ask 6 you a few questions. Is there anything else you wanted to 7 tell me or show me or any other witness or evidence that you 8 wish to present? 9 MR. NORTON: One other thing. The question I answered 10 I do not know --11 THE COURT: Uh-huh. 12 MR. NORTON: I'm sorry, two things. I have to stop and 13 think what I was going to say. At the time when I received 14 these unfiled documents, I didn't know if they were valid or 15 I asked around. I asked the clerk's office and they 16 basically said throw them in the trash, they are worthless. 17 Second, the other question he tried to bring up 18 about when I acknowledged to accept --19 20 THE COURT: It's irrelevant. MR. NORTON: Okay. All right. I'm done, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: Okay. Give me a second here, okay? So you 22 had indicated that you wanted to show me a copy of the 23

paperwork that had been contained in that envelope, is that

right, Mr. Norton?

Q

MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: May I see that? And do you have an objection to me seeing that, Counsel?

MR. HANLON: I don't know what it is, Judge. I'd like to see it.

THE COURT: Well, let's show it to you first.

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, I have an objection to several of the documents. The third party citations that are not the citation that was personally served upon Mr. Norton.

THE COURT: Okay. So hang on. I'm still a little confused. Your objection is that that stack of documents does not contain -- contains documents other than the citation at issue today, is that your objection?

MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Mr. Norton, I am only going to consider, perhaps, the actual citation that's at issue today that was contained in the envelope, so I am going to ask you to limit those documents that are in Nate's hand to whatever citation documents that you claim were in that envelope that the process server left with you, okay? Because I guess Counsel's objection is that there are more. If you maintain to me that all of those documents were in the same envelope, that's a different issue, but I'm not sure that's what

you're telling me.

1.6

MR. NORTON: Yes, your Honor, this was everything that was in this envelope with --

THE COURT: All of those documents were in the envelope?

MR. NORTON: Every one of them, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Over your objection, I am going to review those documents, sir. I'm not so sure how relevant the others may be, but let me take a look. So over your objection, Counsel, let me review those. Thank you. Thank you, Nate. All right. Thank you. Mr. Hanlon, I have a question for you as an officer of the Court.

MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: So my file does show that on March 17th of 2021, you caused the citation at issue here to be issued, and that is the citation against Mr. Norton personally, okay? My file has -- my file has the electronic signature, electronic seal and date on page three of the document of Andrea Lynn Chasteen.

MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: So that's what's in my file. Your affidavit of service was filed by your process server, Richard Walden. Your affidavit of service purports to state that on March 18th, so that would be the day after what was

in my file was filed, that the citation to discover assets was, in fact, served on Mr. Norton. Now, whether or not the purported lack of a signature of Miss Chasteen is a defense here, what is attached to the affidavit of service is the March 17th -- the March 17th citation with Miss Chasteen's signature and seal on it, so -- and the Zoom information and what not.

What did you give your process server to serve?

Did you give your process server to serve a copy of the citation with her signature, seal and date on it with the Zoom instructions?

MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. Did you ever cause to be printed or issued a citation that did not have the signature on it?

MR. HANLON: I did not.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Let me see your documents again. Okay. I have heard enough.

Mr. McCubbin -- I'm sorry, Mr. Norton. My apologies.

apparently, according to you, in 19 L 943.

Mr. Norton, I do not find your testimony to be credible.

What you've handed to me that you claim was the citation
that was served on you in this case is a citation that
purportedly requires you to appear in Courtroom 236 at 57

North Ottawa Street on February 22nd of 2021 that was filed

I said that because it wasn't filed according to you because you complained that it has no stamps on it.

And, in fact, that's true, because there never was a citation issued under my court file. I'm looking very carefully here. I am going to have my clerk verify. Was there ever -- can you look and see in your filing system, ma'am? I have one citation issued in 2021, and that would be on March 17th. I do not show on iAttorney that there are any more citations that have been issued, is that correct?

THE CLERK: Let me look.

THE COURT: Thank you. In fact, I show nothing that was filed in February or in January. I show a filing on 10-27 of 2020, and the next thing I see in the court file is an interrogatory filed on March 21st of 2021.

THE CLERK: I don't see anything.

THE COURT: Okay. So you're absolutely right. This document that you have handed to me does not have Andrea Chasteen's stamp, her signature or a date on it. It also isn't an interrogatory -- excuse me, it also isn't a citation that was ever filed in the court system. Where you came upon this, I don't know. Who created it, I don't know. But I do not find credible your testimony that this was the one that was delivered to you in this proceeding. I do have an affidavit that shows that you were served

properly with a citation and it was certified by the clerk.

1.3

That was issued by the clerk on March 17th for which you were served, again, by the proof of service here on March 18th. I find you in indirect civil contempt of court. I am going to continue this matter for a one-week date hearing on the purge. That is, now that I have found you in indirect civil contempt of court because I find your testimony to be not credible, now that I have found you to be in indirect civil contempt of court, the next step in this proceeding is for me to determine what, if any, order I should enter that is intended to force you to comply with the citation proceeding.

So we will have further hearing on that matter two weeks from today at 10:30 in the morning here in person in my courtroom on June 10th of 2021. Please prepare a written order, Counsel. And I thank you for your testimony.

MR. HANLON: Judge, if I may, with respect to the date, I have a separate matter with Mr. Norton on June 3rd. Is it possible the Court would consider doing it then or is it just --

THE COURT: No, I do not intend to have you shifting back and forth to courtrooms. I don't know how long that's all going to take. So June 10th it is for a hearing on the purge at 10:30. Please show that I find that the --

1	Mr. Norton shall be held in indirect civil contempt of court
2	for his failure to appear on the citation to discover assets
3	that was issued and served on him in March of 2021. Thank
4	you.
5	MR. HANLON: Very well.
6	THE COURT: All right.
7	MR. NORTON: Your Honor, what was the date? Where does
8	this document come from?
9	THE COURT: Sir, you are the one who gave that to me.
10	That was in your stack of documents. That's why I gave it
11	back to you.
12	MR. NORTON: All right. Can I get it wrote down just
13	like you did last time, your Honor?
14	THE COURT: Yes.
15	MR. NORTON: Thank you, your Honor.
16	THE COURT: You're welcome. Counsel is going to send
17	in an order to Odyssey.
18	MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge.
19	THE COURT: And then please mail a copy to Mr. Norton.
20	MR. HANLON: Absolutely.
21	THE COURT: I appreciate your time this morning.
22	MR. HANLON: Very well, Judge.
23	THE COURT: Thank you.
24	(AND THOSE WERE ALL THE PROCEEDINGS HAD.)

STATE OF ILLINOIS SS. COUNTY OF W I L L I, STEVE VITHOULKAS, Official Court Reporter for the 12th Judicial Circuit, Will County, Illinois, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a true and accurate transcript of the electronic recording of the proceedings of the above-entitled cause, which recording contained a certification in accordance with rule or administrative order. STEVE VITHOULKAS Official Court Reporter.