```
STATE OF ILLINOIS
                   ) SS
COUNTY OF MCHENRY
     IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 22ND JUDICIAL
         CIRCUIT, MCHENRY COUNTY, ILLINOIS
JAMES M. SWEENEY, AND
INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING
ENGINEERS, LOCAL 150, AFL-CIO,
     Plaintiffs,
                                       17 CH 482
          vs.
ALGONQUIN TOWNSHIP ROAD DISTRICT,
     Defendant.
ANDREW GASSER, ALGONQUIN TOWNSHIP
ROAD COMMISSIONER, ALGONQUIN
TOWNSHIP ROAD DISTRICT,
     Third-Party Plaintiff,
          VS.
INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING
ENGINEERS, LOCAL 150,
```

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS of the above-entitled cause before the Honorable DANIEL JASICA, Judge of said Court, at the Lake County Courthouse, 18 N. County Street, Waukegan, Illinois, on Thursday, January 10, 2019, at the hour of 1:30 o'clock p.m.

Third-Party Defendant.

Q&A Reporting, Inc.
7115 Virginia Road, Ste. 105
Crystal Lake, IL 60014
(815)477-2230
www.qareportinginc.com

11019 January 18, 2019

		Page	2
1	APPEARANCES:		
2			
3	INTERNATIONAL UNION OF OPERATING ENGINEERS		
4	LOCAL 150 - LEGAL DEPARTMENT, by MR. ROBERT A. PASZTA and MR. BRYAN P. DIEMER,		
5	appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff and Third-Party Defendant;		
6	Third Party Boromanne,		
7	IAM OPETOES OF DODEDT HANION C ASSOCIATES by		
8	LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT HANLON & ASSOCIATES by MR. ROBERT HANLON,		
9	appeared on behalf of the Defendant and Third-Party Plaintiff.		
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

THE COURT: Good afternoon. This comes before the Court on Sweeney and Local 150 versus Andrew Gasser and the Algonquin Township Road District, 17 CH 482. I'm going to ask counsel to go ahead and announce themselves for the record.

MR. PASZTA: Rob Paszta on behalf of the plaintiffs.

2.3

MR. DIEMER: Brian Diemer, also on behalf of the plaintiffs.

MR. HANLON: Robert Hanlon on behalf of defendants.

THE COURT: So the case was set today for hearing on a number of pending motions. I'm going to go through them all, naming them to make sure I've got them all correct.

There is Plaintiff's Petition for Attorneys' Fees Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act, which is pursuant to an order I previously entered granting them leave to file their petition for attorneys' fees. There is Plaintiff's -- and by the way, I have the petition, the response and a reply to that motion.

There is the Plaintiff's Petition

for Attorneys' Fees under the Open Meetings Act.
Again I do have the motion, the response and the reply to that.

There's also the Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions, which is seeking as a sanction attorneys' fees under Supreme Court Rule 137. I have the motion, the response and the reply to that.

There's also the Algonquin Township
Road District and Mr. Gasser's Motion to Stay
Enforcement Pending Appeal of the Court's prior
orders. I have the motion. I didn't receive any
response, so I don't know if one was filed.

MR. HANLON: They filed a response, Judge.

MR. PASZTA: We sent it over with courtesy copies on --

THE COURT: Okay. Was there a reply filed as well then by Mr. Hanlon?

MR. HANLON: No, I did not file a reply to the response.

THE COURT: Well if you don't have an extra copy, I can go online and get an extra copy --

MR. DIEMER: I have one, your Honor.

THE COURT: -- and read it. And then I also

have a hearing on the Petition for Indirect Civil Contempt is up, where a Rule to Show Cause was previously issued and set for hearing today. I have what I'll call the response by Mr. Gasser to why he should not be held in contempt, and then Local 150's, they call it a response, but it's basically your reply brief in support of why I should hold Mr. Gasser in indirect civil contempt.

To me the most logical way to proceed is proceeding in the order in which I just called the cases, or excuse me, announced the motions that are pending, taking the attorney's fee petitions first, then the motion to stay, because obviously if the motion to stay were granted, it would probably moot the petition for the indirect contempt. So the contempt would be what I would hear last. Sounds like you do have a copy of that?

MR. PASZTA: May I approach?

THE COURT: Thank you. So what I propose is I'll hear the motions, the three attorney fees motions first, I'll take a break, I will read the response to the motion to stay enforcement, and

depending on how I feel after I've read that, we will continue the hearing on that, or if I need to continue that to another date I will do that. What I'd like to do -- anyone have a problem with the manner in which I'm proposing to proceed?

MR. HANLON: Sounds reasonable, Judge.

MR. PASZTA: I do have a file stamped copy, though, so I'll trade with you, if that's okay.

MR. HANLON: Judge, acknowledge they timely filed.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. I want to start with the Petition for Attorneys' Fees under the Freedom of Information Act. I don't know if Mr. Paszta, if you're preparing to argue that. I've read the motion, I've read the response, I've read the reply, I've looked at the time sheets that were attached, as well as the affidavits in support of the motion, but I'm certainly willing to entertain any supplemental argument you'd like to make.

MR. PASZTA: Your Honor, no supplemental argument. Just to summarize, we feel Local 150 is entitled to fees under the Illinois Freedom of Information Act. Section 11(i) uses the word

"shall". It's a mandatory provision, as Gasser has recognized in his brief.

I think that the biggest, the most significant argument that Gasser makes in his response is the citing the Uptown case, the proposition that in-house attorneys are not entitled to collect fees under the Freedom of Information Act. Those cases and the cases that Uptown relies upon speak in terms of when a party becomes pro se, or an attorney representing its own law firm, or the legal work is being performed gratuitously. That is not the case with Local 150.

Prior to 2002, Local 150 retained attorneys from several firms, most recently Baum Sigman, and three other partners. Those fees from the Baum Sigman attorneys were paid with members' union dues. In 2002, it was decided to bring attorneys on staff from that firm. The salaries of those attorneys were still paid and are still paid today with members' union dues.

This isn't a case where Local 150
Legal Department is a firm representing itself
pro se, it's representing its client, Operating

Engineer's Local 150 and is being paid by its members' union dues.

In Uptown and the other cases which deny pro se or attorneys themselves pursuing FOIA actions talk about the concerns where this may just be a fee generation matter, and that the attorneys' fees didn't intend to serve that purpose. It was intended to provide parties or relive parties from the burden of paying attorneys' fees, or the burden of attorneys' fees when attempting to get public records from a public body.

That's exactly what happened in this case, that Local 150's members sought records from the Algonquin Township Highway Commissioner, were denied those records, and after consultation with its legal department decided to pursue legal action. To deny Local 150 fees simply because it has salaried attorneys does not change the fact that those attorneys were paid by member dues, and those costs were incurred.

Had Local 150 attorneys not been representing the local in that matter or other

clients that it performs fee work for, it would not go to serve the purpose that was stated in the Uptown case to deny attorneys' fees, but would rather deter Local 150 from pursuing FOIA lawsuits, or I think to then feed into the turn affect by then farming out all of its FOIA and Open Meetings Act work to private attorneys, who would then run these cases down and incur costs, because they know they were getting those attorneys' fees back.

Local 150 doesn't pursue every FOIA matter that it comes across, doesn't pursue every Open Meetings Act violation that it comes across, but when it impacts the members like it did now, Local 150 makes a decision to pay its attorneys to pursue those cases.

If we are denied fees in this case Local 150 would just farm all of its FOIA work out, probably to some firm who would love to get it and collect fees at the market rate, and I think that's the concern that Uptown was trying to prevent, which doesn't exist in this case and could exist if Local 150 were denied reasonable fees for its attorneys on this work.

We cited the cases that stand for the proposition that in-house attorneys can still collect fees, and that the appropriate method to determine reasonable fees for either in-house or hire attorneys is the Lodestar method, reasonable hours times reasonable rate. We think we've demonstrated that our hours and rates are reasonable and request that this Court grant Local 150's fee petition.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Hanlon?

MR. HANLON: Judge, first thing I'd like to address is the issue of whether or not they're an in-house law department. Their letterhead says Law Department.

The LM-2 Report that's attached to the response is a sworn statement by the plaintiff in this case, Mr. Sweeney, that says that they're salaried employees of their organization.

If you look at the language of the Uptown case, they talk about incurring additional expenses beyond the salaries of their in-house counsel, and the reason they denied it is they weren't incurring any in-house counsel. But in

the LM-2 Report, here's something that's particularly of interest that I see is that Mr. Diemer is listed as a business agent. The argument that was presented in their motion is that he has a standard rate for his services. He's not in their law department, he's a business agent who happens to be an attorney.

2.3

Now I've known Mr. Diemer for many years. He's a very smart, competent attorney. I would never tell anyone that he's anything but that. However, in this particular case the law creates mandatory third-party attorneys' fees. It does not -- because if you read the Uptown case, it's very clear that the in-house attorneys don't create an additional expense. They're salaried.

We just heard an admission that they're salaried employees that are, you know, present, and we want to -- the argument that's presented is a fact of 2002 before they had a law department. My response then is generally who cares why they formed a law department. They formed a law department, it's in-house, it's a sum cost.

Within the body of the reply brief they talk about well, there's the office rent. There's no office rent paid, because the LM-2 report, the sworn statement from the plaintiff doesn't have any office rent being paid. Why is that? Because they're sitting in a building that's owned by the plaintiff, so they're not incurring any additional expenses in connection with this. A straw argument that says we're really -- we should get some fees here, use the Lodestar method, even though we're not actually incurring the fees.

Now many years ago I raised an argument which I lost in opposition to Local 150 because I referred to them as pro se attorneys. Now if you look at the Uptown case, the Court is clear that they recognize they are not pro se attorneys. They are in-house, legal department attorneys for the Uptown Law center, and that's the distinguishing factor here.

The reason that that is such a critical case here is because the fees are mandatory in the setting of actually paying some third party. But they didn't incur any expense.

They just had the sum cost they already had. Now they spent time on this as in-house people, but they didn't write a check to some third person.

Importantly, they claim that they have these standardized rates. Underneath the Lodestar method, a standardized rate is a presumptive hourly rate for an attorney, because it's obtained in the open free marketplace. You don't have an open free marketplace between an in-house law department and its in-house entity that it's representing.

So when Local 150 asserts that these are their rates, No. 1, Mr. Diemer's rates can't possibly have a rate, because he's not even in the law department. He's a business agent, according to the sworn statement of his president business manager. So where do these other rates come from? It's what they've represented to other people as reasonable for their services. Now because they're not paying it, they shouldn't be entitled to it.

One other point that I wanted to address -- one second, Judge.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. HANLON: Oh, in the LM-2 Report, I printed off the 2017 LM-2 report, which is where there's a substantial amount of one third-party attorney, who it's so important that he's not here today, whose entire work is predicated upon reading what anybody else had done, where he didn't actually do any independent briefing and filing of the work, and his billing records don't reflect that, and it all comes from a period of time when any objective observer of this case would know that in 2017, there was a complaint filed by Local 150 before they hired Mr. Gummerson, and we went through a long period of time where we litigated points and issues, and then we had a discussions about the Freedom of Information Act.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

There was no briefing on it, there was no discovery on it, no nothing on that. And they looked towards that as an additional basis for fees. It wouldn't have been necessary and proper underneath the Lodestar method, because those fees weren't incurred in connection with the actual FOIA issue.

So underneath the authority that I

cited, the admissions that are contained in the record at least at this point in time, they can't obtain attorney fees pursuant to the Uptown decision. That's it, Judge.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anything you want to say in reply?

MR. PASZTA: Just quickly, your Honor.

Again, we talked about it in our briefing, but
the Uptown case involves a not-for-profit legal
defense group who was represented by its own
attorneys for the records itself requested, which
isn't the case here.

As far as Mr. Diemer's title, title doesn't matter. He's still an attorney and can seek attorneys' fees for the work he's obviously done in this case and demonstrated by the affidavits and the billing records provided.

In addition, the rent and the other overhead costs be provided or paid to a third party, and we've provided an affidavit accordingly in our reply to prove those expenses are in fact incurred.

We do other work for fees, and we do have rates, and we've been awarded fees in

other cases, and in addition to that, there's an opportunity clause for again for Local 150 taking on these types of cases, that it can't perform other work for its client or other clients for fees when it's doing this work.

And regarding the final point made by counsel, with the fees for the FOIA case and the fees for the Open Meetings Act, I think it's pretty obvious from the fee petitions that the work was and the billing was divided accordingly when it was the FOIA matter that was accounted for in the FOIA petition, and when it was an Opening Meetings Act, that was accounted for in the Open Meetings Act lawsuit.

And as a final point your Honor, the 7th Circuit has previously rejected the argument on both in-house counsel not being awarded fees and the actual costs versus market rate approach.

MR. HANLON: One more comment on that,

Judge. You mentioned the 7th Circuit Court of

Appeals.

THE COURT: I will let you respond just to that case, those two cases.

MR. HANLON: It's not binding on the authority of this Court, and binding authority is the Uptown case.

THE COURT: Thank you. All right. Starting position of course is the Freedom of Information Act itself under Section 11(i) does direct that the Court is to award attorneys' fees, at least the way I interpreted it, the prevailing party is entitled to recover their attorneys' fees. That is why I authorized the plaintiffs to file their petition.

I do think the Uptown case is distinguishable from this case, largely for the reasons that Mr. Paszta has argued, that it's not a pro bono organization, that these are not attorneys representing themselves, so they are entitled to recover their attorneys' fees.

I think they are also entitled to recover the reasonable market cost of those attorneys' fees. So I don't have a problem with the amount of \$350 an hour that they are charging. I think from a practical standpoint, as an employee there's salary, there's benefits, there's all sorts of other components that would

make it somewhat difficult to exactly identify. If I was going to allow them to be reimbursed for the actual cost that the Union incurred based on what it cost to have them as an employee, I think it would make -- I think it would be a very difficult road to go down and to try to come up with an appropriate number.

so I find the 350 an hour to be reasonable for attorneys with the experience and expertise that these attorneys had. I do note that in the affidavits and in the supporting time sheets, first I will recognize that I've gone through them, and it does appear to me that the plaintiffs have done a good job of identifying only those tasks that were related to the complaint and not to the counterclaim. So I acknowledge and recognize it would appear to be submitted are only those costs that relate directly to the FOIA claim.

I also noticed, however, that I'm seeing fairly extensive time from four different attorneys, and certainly during the course of this proceeding, at most of the court hearings I think the Local 150 had at least three attorneys

here, Mr. Paszta, Mr. Diemer and Mr. Gummerson were here. It's also time that's related to Mr. Pearson.

I certainly don't have any problem with law firms and companies staffing or using staffing however they want to staff a particular case, but when it comes to awarding attorneys' fees, I am going to look what I think is reasonable, and in my mind, you know, it appeared to be to me that Mr. Paszta took the lead on most of these items, and I wouldn't award attorneys' fees for multiple attorneys showing up for a hearing.

I also don't award attorneys' fees for time spent traveling for attorneys, because obviously you could always hire an attorney closer to the jurisdiction that you're litigating if you want to.

So what I have actually done is gone through Mr. Paszta's time, and I notice I think there is, it looks like three hearing dates, and it looks like I'm assuming that time was billed for travel. Some of it makes it explicit that travel time is being charged. The

others is based on the amount of time it appears to me implicit that travel time is being charged.

So for the dates of October 2nd,
2017, September 20th, 2018, and October 23rd,
2018, I'm subtracting a total of two hours from
each of those three days. So instead of 92 hours
of Mr. Paszta's time, I'm finding reasonable
86 hours at the rate of \$350 an hour, and by my
calculation that comes to \$30,100.

I'm not awarding attorneys' fees for any time spent by Mr. Pearson or Mr. Diemer. Again I understand they had a team working on this, but in awarding attorneys' fees I have to do what I think is necessary and reasonable, and so I will not be awarding their time.

As to Mr. Gummerson's time, I know that there are certain court appearances where he showed up, and I know this case got bounced around between McHenry and then Lake County and then several Lake County judges, so it appears he made several court appearances at points in time when other attorneys were not showing up. So these are not -- these are different dates, not dates when anybody else from the Local was

showing up, but obviously needed to be there for the purpose of figuring out who the judge was going to be on this case.

So in terms of Mr. Gummerson's time on August 1st, 2017 there's 1.25 hours for a court appearance, I will award that. On August 14, 2017, there's .5 hours for conversations with the Clerk's administrative office, where they're trying to figure out what judge is going to be hearing their case, I will award that.

On August 15, 2017 there was one hour spent for a court appearance by Mr. Gummerson. Does not appear that's duplicative or that there were any other attorneys billing to that time, so I will award that.

September 21st, 2017, telephone conversation with Mr. Gummerson had with the court clerk's administrative office concerning what judge was going to hear the case, .25 hours, I will award that.

September 25th, 2017, similar call to the clerk -- to the court administrator, .5

hours, I will award that.

On November 8th, 2017, there was another court appearance, and it appears some travel time and a conference call was involved in that, so instead of 1.75 hours, I will award 1.0 hours for the court appearance on that date.

And then on May 15, 2018, there was another court appearance, and I did not see any duplication of that, but it appears to include also some phone calls, also some travel time, so I will award 1.0 hours for May 15, 2018.

In total, I am awarding 5.0 hours of Mr. Gummerson's time at \$350 an hour for a total of \$1,750. So the total amount I'm awarding as reasonable attorneys' fees for violation of Freedom of Information Act --

MR. HANLON: 31,850, Judge.

THE COURT: 31,850, correct.

MR. HANLON: May I inquire, Judge, with respect, since you've allocated that, do you want it to be -- the order to reflect collectively or in the component parts?

THE COURT: I mean I think we'll probably have the transcript. If either party needs to

challenge that and figure out how I arrived at that, I would simply say that attorneys' fees in the total amount of \$31,850 are awarded to the plaintiffs.

MR. PASZTA: Your Honor, if I could quickly make a motion to have this court reporter be the official court reporter for today's hearing.

THE COURT: Any objection to that?

MR. HANLON: Yes, your Honor. The reason I have an objection to that is one of the prior hearings in which she was selected to be the official court reporter, and there was no transcript ordered. Opposing counsel when I asked him for a copy of the transcript, he didn't have a copy of the transcript, and I couldn't get a copy of the transcript before I filed my response. And based upon that, Judge, I have —that's my objection.

Also, if the Court were to conclude that the private court reporter be the official reporter, I would ask for a copy of the transcript.

THE COURT: All right. In the absence of an agreement on that issue, I'll simply say that for

the reasons with the details stated on the record, that is the basis for my findings today. If either side therefore determines that they need to challenge the ruling, they can always order and get a copy of the transcript.

1.8

So I will deny the motion with respect to having this court reporter -- and again in Lake County we don't have the distinction between an official court reporter -- the court reporter being the official recordation of what's transpiring in a hearing or not. So I don't think my denial of that motion prejudices either party in any way. If it does, speak up. Okay.

Next I would like to consider the Plaintiff's Petition for Attorneys' Fees under the Open Meetings Act. Again I have all three briefs, I have read them and reviewed them, but I will entertain any supplemental arguments that either side wishes to make starting with the plaintiffs.

MR. PASZTA: Your Honor, Local 150 should be awarded attorneys' fees pursuant to its petition for fees under the Illinois Open Meetings Act.

Section 3(d) of the Illinois Open Meetings Act makes it permissible for this Court to award attorneys' fees to the prevailing party and that includes any party.

So with respect to Mr. Gasser's argument that it's a public body, and this isn't the way that these are intended to work I think maybe speaks more to the motion for sanctions, that this isn't the way Open Meetings Act claims are supposed to be brought, but because Local 150 has prevailed, every step of the way has had to defend the TRO, three separate counterclaims, full briefing, oral arguments on each, that it is entitled to fees in this case.

Again the Uptown case is cited. We believe it's applicable because it's limited to the FOIA cases where pro se attorneys are representing, whether the individual party or entity, which is not the case here. And for reasons previously stated we think we are entitled to market rate.

THE COURT: Mr. Hanlon?

MR. HANLON: I'll stand on the response I already filed, Judge.

THE COURT: All right. 5 ILCS 120/3(d), unlike FOIA, provides that the Court may assess against any party the reasonable attorneys' fees. So the Court does have discretion in an Open Meetings Act context as to whether to award fees or not.

I'm not going to be awarding attorneys' fees under the Open Meetings Act for several reasons. While I ruled against the defendant on the counterclaim, I don't believe that the counterclaim was frivolous or brought in bad faith. As a practical concern, the Open Meetings Act was one of I think five counts in the counterclaim. The other four counts obviously are not -- do not have the fee shifting provisions that the Open Meetings Act, the discretionary fee shifting provisions that the Open Meetings Act does.

From a practical standpoint, I
don't really see how I could go about in
determining which of those time spent by the
plaintiff's attorneys was in response to the Open
Meetings Act issue versus the other four
counterclaims that were brought. So in my

discretion, I'm not going to be awarding attorneys' fees under the Open Meetings Act to the plaintiffs.

That leads to the Plaintiff's

Motion for Sanctions under Rule 137. Again I

have all three briefs, I have read them, but I

will let the parties make any supplemental

arguments that they wish to make, starting with
the plaintiffs.

MR. PASZTA: Your Honor, this Court should award Local 150 attorneys' fees in conjunction with its motion for sanctions, because Mr. Gasser's claims on the Open Meetings Act were frivolous both at the time of filing and to this day. The claims have always been frivolous that Local 150 was never a public body. The Algonquin --

THE COURT: To be clear, I've already ruled on the Open Meetings Act, I'm going to your Motion for Sanctions under Rule 137. I don't know, I just want to make that clear.

MR. PASZTA: Correct, your Honor, and maybe I'll save my time, but the Motion for Sanctions did include the Open Meetings Act claims. There

were arguments regarding the Algonquin Municipal Code being the basis for the Open Meetings Act claim. Arguments that there was a two-year statute of limitations under the Illinois Open Meetings Act which appear to come from thin air. And throughout the filings, Mr. Gasser claimed that they sought separate invalidation of the collective bargaining agreement under the Illinois Open Meeting Act claim.

There was never any legitimate basis or good faith argument to change the law, and the statutory language is clear, if there was going to be a change in law, it would be with the General Assembly, not through this Court as the application of the Illinois Open Meetings Act. Therefore, Local 150 requests that this Court grant its motion for sanctions.

THE COURT: Mr. Hanlon?

MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. When this case originally started, I had a co-counsel. His name was Michael Avakian. Mr. Avakian was a labor attorney for over 40 years before accepting an appointment to the U.S. Department of Labor. Both he and I have had extensive experience in

dealing with Local 150.

I don't believe that I've been in a case where I didn't get a motion for sanctions. And motions for sanctions are supposed to be for things that are patently frivolous, and at this point in time we have a set of facts and circumstances that are so unique and so different than anything I can find in the judicial records of the appellate court, that we brought our claims in good faith.

In fact, the Court in articulating its order as the Open Meetings Act just made a finding that the case was not frivolous. If the case was not frivolous, then the plaintiffs are not entitled to sanctions. But to have two attorneys look at a particular problem, and of course Mr. Avakian is no longer here in this case, there has to be a remedy for an issue, and if the law isn't clear enough, that's where the good faith basis that comes to seek a remedy for it.

My argument may not have prevailed with your Honor, but it would seem to me that it didn't cross the threshold of doing something

just to harass another party. And the threshold for sanctions, which should be reserved for egregious conduct, has not been done in this case. I don't believe they should be entitled to sanctions, especially in light of your earlier finding.

THE COURT: Thank you. Mr. Paszta?

MR. PASZTA: Your Honor, in the response brief and in the argument we just heard, there was never an explanation as to why the Open Meetings Act claims were brought in good faith or to change the law. It's a unique set of facts that doesn't give parties the right to plead off the wall claims that clearly don't apply and force us to waste our resources responding to them.

There was no good faith basis articulated. There was never an explanation that, you know, your Honor here's the current state of the law. We get that and we're trying to change it. These were argued as if the law currently applied and required Local 150 to conduct all of its meetings in the light of day, as I think was repeated in multiple

counterclaims.

Even after this original claim was denied, we had to deal with shifting arguments, new theories under the Open Meetings Act, argued repeatedly that Mr. Gasser wasn't a State's Attorney, that the two-year statute of limitations that was argued came from nowhere, and there was at the very least 20 paragraphs in each of the counterclaims, and one of the counts in each of the counterclaims, even though the first counterclaim was just sort of one count.

We cite authority in our reply brief that says that this Court can unravel or distinguish time between the frivolous or fee shift cases between counts in the counterclaim, that it's appropriate to award attorneys' fees for the entire amount. That's what we would request, and again, request this Court grant Local 150's motion for sanctions.

THE COURT: All right. Well, for many of the reasons that I articulated for why I wasn't going to award attorneys' fees under the Open Meetings Act, I will also decline to award attorneys' fees under the Rule 137.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 32

Again as Mr. Hanlon said, while his claims may not have prevailed, I don't believe that they were frivolous or brought in bad faith. And again the Open Meetings Act claim was one of I think five counterclaims that were brought. for those reasons respectively I will decline to award -- or decline the motion -- deny the motion for sanctions that was filed by plaintiffs. That brings me to the Motion to Stay, and I apologize for not having read the response from the plaintiffs on the motion to stay, so what I'd like to do now is just take a few minutes and read it, and then hear argument on it. Judge, do you mind if I step MR. HANLON: out? You're relieved for at least 10 THE COURT: or 15 minutes. MR. HANLON: Thank you. THE COURT: Off the record. (Off the record at 2:04.)

(On the record at 2:27.)

THE COURT: Back on the record. So the next motion to consider is the Defendant's Motion to Stay Enforcement Pending Appeal. It is your motion. I have read the motion and the response. Mr. Hanlon, if you'd like to make any supplemental arguments you may.

1.5

2.3

MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. You just ordered monetary damages that goes to the heart of the position the defendants took. I stand on my pleadings.

THE COURT: Anything you'd like to say in response?

MR. PASZTA: Yes, your Honor. Not to rehash the brief that you just read, but I want to make a point that Gasser's had his day in court. He's had a chance to fully brief all his arguments and has not been successful.

Prior to Mr. Gasser's conduct, the status quo was a valid collective bargaining agreement which governs the terms and conditions of employment for the employees of the Road District. That is the status quo that has to preserve or that should be preserved with the effect of the stay. The stay that's requested

here today doesn't preserve that status quo, it preserves the status quo of Mr. Gasser unlawfully repudiating a valid collective bargaining agreement.

If the stay is granted, these employees are going to be forced to work under terms and conditions that weren't negotiated for by their exclusive bargaining representative, and will have to deal with that until Mr. Gasser's crusade ends at whatever point, whether it be the Appellate Court or the Illinois Supreme Court.

In addition, there's four employees that have been terminated by Mr. Gasser that remain employed elsewhere or underemployed or unemployed. Those employees deserve their day in court. There is no reason why we can't proceed on parallel tracks here. That Mr. Gasser can continue his crusade up to the Supreme Court if that's what he chooses, but at the same time we can go to arbitration, we can select arbitrators, we can have these employees have their day in court, which isn't a process that happens overnight.

The longer that the appellate

process is delayed, the longer it's going to be until these employees are going to have their day in court, and at the same time the possible exposure for the Road District and the taxpayers is going to continue to increase. These employees --

THE COURT: I'm sorry.

MR. PASZTA: These employees will be entitled to back pay. We think that there's no way that they could have been terminated for just cause. They were fired the minute they arrived to work the first day Mr. Gasser took office.

Now that's not an issue that's presented before this Court, but I think considering the harm faced by the employees who are represented by plaintiffs, the increasing cost to the taxpayers, and the fact as we've argued that there's no likelihood of success in the merits of any of their five counts or the FOIA matter, we don't think there's any reason to stay this case, stay the judgment pending appeal, that they continue on parallel tracts, and these employees can have their day in court while Mr. Gasser continues to have his.

THE COURT: Since I do have two experienced labor attorneys on either side of this matter, how long would you anticipate the arbitration proceeding would take before some conclusion would be reached, wherein your clients, if they prevailed, and if this wasn't -- my decision wasn't reversed on appeal, would be ordered back to work or allowed to go back to work? I mean, it's a process that's going to take some time, I assume.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

Yes, your Honor. It's tough to MR. PASZTA: say. I mean assuming Mr. Gasser was cooperative in selecting arbitrator scheduling dates, then you know, you're sort of at the mercy of the arbitrator. It depends. Typically, correct me if I'm wrong, but from the time you select an arbitrator until the day it's scheduled is maybe one to three months, and then a day, probably less than a day hearing based on the facts that we have here. The turnaround time for the decision is typically one to four months, but again given the, I think, simple matter that's going to be presented before an arbitrator, it will probably be on the shorter spectrum of that.

So you're probably going to be looking at like a three to six-month, three to eight-month process, is that fair?

MR. DIEMER: Yes. I mean I think there's some variables in terms of arbitrator, you know, the availability of dates, but typically once the hearing is conducted, there's generally a two-week turnaround on a transcript. The parties typically take 30 days to submit post-hearing briefs, again if people aren't playing games and are trying to move the case along.

I will say that in our experience, especially in a discharge case, the employer is just as anxious to get to the decision as the employee, because the longer the time lingers that the employee is out of work, the back pay mounts.

So there's always -- Now obviously the Union wants to progress the case as quick as possible to get the member back to work, but a savvy employer recognizes that there's a risk the longer it goes out. So we don't typically experience much push back from employer in terms of scheduling.

THE COURT: And I was just really trying to get an idea of the impact of whether, if I didn't grant a stay, the employer would have to participate in the arbitration proceeding, but it's not like next Monday these employees are coming back to work and he has to take them back.

MR. DIEMER: I think when I counsel a member, my rule of thumb is eight to twelve months on a discharge, from discharge to decision, and shorter or longer depending on variables, you know, but that's a good rule of thumb.

MR. HANLON: May I address it as well?

THE COURT: Yes, anything else you wanted to say in opposition, and then I'll give Mr. Hanlon the last word.

MR. PASZTA: Just that -- No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

2.

1.0

MR. HANLON: Your Honor, I appreciate the arguments about some third parties not involved in this lawsuit, and what may happen with respect to those employees or not with those employees, but they're not parties to this lawsuit. And the precedent which I cited speaks to the presence

of, you know, the parties' interest, the interest of the Union and the interest of the Road District here.

But if what they say is true, that they're going to win, and at the end of the day their employees are entitled to all the back pay, if we take that and accept that as true, they have a public body with the power to tax, you know, to ultimately make whole any of those employees. So whatever inconvenience they have is trumped by the fact that there's a substantive question that the Road District wants a ruling from the Appellate Court to be heard upon.

And the time frames that my opposing counsel has suggested is substantially longer than my experience in doing arbitrations with them. I can think of one in particular that we went to arbitration and we were over and done in 35 days.

So upon the conclusion of what is likely to take place, and the burden upon any former employee, the questions of the validity of their claims is not before the Court. It's not before the Court how they may have an impact.

They could have intervened in the case if they wanted to. They didn't. They're not here. And what's here is a simple question. Is this contract, you know, lawful or not.

The Court has said it is a contract, we're going to have to have enforcement with respect to the thing. The Road District on the other hand is saying we wish to have the Appellate Court render an opinion about this particular issue. And I believe that the arguments that, you know, can be made on an appellate level could go either way, and because of that, that's why we're asking for it to be stayed. That's it, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. So on a motion to stay pending appeal, one of the factors the Court is to consider is whether the stay is necessary to secure to the appellant the fruits of the appeal in the event the appellant is successful, the hardship that will befall the nonmovant in this case if I was to grant the stay, and then the movant's likelihood of success on the merits.

As to the first issue, I think it

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 41

weighs against the issuance of a stay. don't stay it, and if the Township Road District participates in the arbitration proceeding, I do think there's -- it's possible the decision will come out before the Appellate Court will rule on any appeal, but I think it makes more sense for those two proceedings to go forward on a parallel track, rather than staying it all, and then a year from now, you know, not having advanced it. It's not like an employee is going to be directed to come back to work for your client within the next week, month, several months. And if and when you do prevail, you will be able to take whatever steps you wish to take outside of the collective bargaining agreement, so I don't think anything is lost from your client's standpoint if you went down the road on appeal, even if I don't stay the enforcement of my decision.

In terms of likelihood of success on the merits, I don't think at this point it's likely to succeed. Hardships that will suffered. Obviously each side has some hardships, but I think the hardship on the Union and on the employees outweighs the hardship that will, if I

Page 42 was to grant the stay, would outweigh the 1 2 hardship on the Road District if I deny the stay. 3 So for those reasons I'm denying the motion to 4 stay the enforcement pending appeal. That leads to the hearing on the 5 Petition for Indirect Civil Contempt. 6 7 gotten the answer, what I'll call it, from 8 Mr. Gasser and the Township Road District as well 9 as the response from Local 150. I've read those. 10 So at this point, Mr. Hanlon, do you wish to call 11 any witnesses? 12 MR. HANLON: With respect to the cause, 13 simply Mr. Gasser. Mr. Gasser is the one who is 14 subject to this. Certainly --15 THE COURT: So you want to call him as a 16 witness? 17 MR. HANLON: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: That's fine. Come on up to the witness chair. 19 20 21 (Witness duly sworn.) 22 23 24

٠		Page	43
1	ANDREW GASSER,		
2			
3	having been first duly sworn, was examined and		
4	testified as follows:		
5			
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION		
7	BY MR. HANLON		
8			
9	Q Mr. Gasser Judge, may I approach?		
10	THE COURT: You may.		
11	BY MR. HANLON:		
12	Q Mr. Gasser		
13	THE COURT: Sir, can you just state your		
14	full name for the Court?		
15	THE WITNESS: Andrew Gasser.		
16	THE COURT: Thank you.		
17	BY MR. HANLON:		
18	Q Can you spell your last name for the		
19	court reporter?		
20	A G-a-s-s-e-r.		
21	Q And are you the Algonquin Township		
22	Highway Commissioner?		
23	A I am.		
24	Q Okay. And how long have you held that		

position?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

24

- A Since May 15, 2017.
- Q Okay. When you received a copy of the Court's order compelling arbitration, what did you believe that your obligation was with respect to that order?
- A When I read the order, it just said that -- it didn't have any dates or any times or when we were to start any process, start anything like that.
- Q And are there any dates or times that are set forth within the collective bargaining agreement referenced in the court order?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Okay. And did that agreement, the document referenced in the court order, did that document indicate what you were supposed to do first versus what Local 150 was supposed to do first?
 - A No, sir.
- Q So did you believe you had an obligation to do anything at that point in time?
- 23 A No, I did not.
 - Q Okay. And as you sit here today, do you

Page 45 understand any clear order of the Court of what 1 2 it is that you're supposed to do? 3 No, sir. Α 4 MR. HANLON: No other questions, Judge. 5 THE COURT: Cross-examination? Yes, your Honor. 6 MR. DIEMER: 7 8 CROSS EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. DIEMER 10 11 Good afternoon, Mr. Gasser. Q 12 Good afternoon, sir. A 13 Your term of office began on May 17, Q 14 2017? 1.5 May 15, sir. Α 16 May 15, I'm sorry. And you have Q 17 authorized litigation in this case? 18 Α I have. You've monitored the litigation of this 19 20 case? 21 Objection, beyond the scope. MR. HANLON: 22 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 THE WITNESS: As best I can, yes. 24

Page 46 1 BY MR. DIEMER: 2 You've reviewed the filings in this 0 3 case? Α Yes, I have. 4 5 You've verified content of the temporary restraining order that was filed in this case? 6 7 I've reviewed files. I'd have to look at each individual file to see. 8 9 MR. DIEMER: May I approach, your Honor? 10 THE COURT: You may. 11 MR. HANLON: Judge, before he asks the question, could I take a moment to look at the 12 13 document? 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 BY MR. DIEMER: 16 Are you familiar with this document, 0 17 sir? 18 I've seen it, yes. Α 19 Okay. And the last page contains a Q 20 verification? Objection, Judge, relevance. 21 MR. HANLON: 22 We're not here on enforcement of the TRO that 23 wasn't granted. 24 THE COURT: Overruled. Cross-examination.

Page 47 That's my signature. 1 THE WITNESS: 2 BY MR. DIEMER: 3 Q And you verified this document? Ά I have. 4 That the statements therein are true and 5 Q 6 correct? 7 I do. Α 8 You also verified --0 9 THE COURT: Are you moving to admit? 10 Please. MR. DIEMER: 11 THE COURT: Any objection to Plaintiff's 1? 12 MR. HANLON: No, Judge. THE COURT: Plaintiff's 1 is admitted. 13 14 BY MR. DIEMER: You verified the content of the 15 complaints filed or the counterclaims filed by 16 Mr. Hanlon in this case? 17 18 I have. A Upon taking office, you immediately 19 Q 20 fired three employees? 21 Ά That's correct. And you immediately notified Local 150 22 0 23 that you were repudiating the CBA entered into 24 previously by Local 150 and the Road District, is

1 | that correct?

MR. HANLON: Objection. This isn't a discovery deposition for some subsequent proceeding and arbitration. We're here on whether or not Mr. Gasser --

THE COURT: All right. The objection, a one-word objection would be?

MR. HANLON: Overly broad and irrelevant.

THE COURT: How is what he verified in his counterclaim relevant to whether he's willfully and contumaciously violated the order compelling arbitration?

MR. DIEMER: I'm trying to get to his understanding of the case generally, the issues that are before the Court. I think the case law says that when you're interpreting an ambiguous order, which Mr. Gasser believes it is, it's appropriate to take into consideration the pleadings and the context of the case.

THE COURT: All right. Objection will be overruled.

MR. DIEMER: Thank you, your Honor.

23 BY MR. DIEMER:

Q So last question. You immediately

Page 49 notified Local 150 that you were repudiating the 1 2. collective bargaining agreement, is that correct? 3 Ά That's correct. You understand that Local 150 filed 4 0 5 grievances challenging those initial terminations and other contract violations? 6 7 That's correct. Α 8 None of the grievances were resolved 0 9 pursuant to the grievance procedure? 10 Α None. 11 You understand that Local 150 demanded arbitrations in order to resolve those pending 12 13 grievances? 14 Ά I do. 15 0 And you refused to arbitrate those 16 grievances? 17 Α No, I challenged them in court. 18 You refused to arbitrate those 0 19 grievances? 20 No, I chose to take them to court. Α 21 The question before you, sir, is you 22 refused to arbitrate those grievances? 23 MR. HANLON: Asked and answered. 24 THE COURT: Are you asking him to answer a

Page 50 question yes or no? 1 2 MR. DIEMER: Correct. 3 THE COURT: All right. Then you can phrase 4 it as yes or no, ask the question, and then you 5 have the option of answering yes, no, or I cannot 6 answer that question yes or no. 7 BY MR. DIEMER: Yes or no, you refused to arbitrate the 8 0 9 grievances? 10 I cannot answer that question as yes or Α 11 no. 12 Okay. No arbitrations occurred in those 0 13 cases? 14 Α None. 15 And in fact, you tried to enjoin the 0 16 arbitration process, correct? 17 Α I believe I have. Pardon me? 18 0 I believe we did. 19 Α 20 0 You tried to stop the arbitrations? 21 I didn't try to stop them. Again I 22 can't answer that yes or no. I contacted with my 23 attorney, and we moved forward. 24 And you directed him to file the Q

Page 51 1 document that's in front of you that's entitled 2 Verified Petition for Temporary Restraining Order 3 enjoining all arbitration pursuant to the purported collective bargaining agreement until 4 5 the invalidity of the purported agreement is 6 determined with notice. That's the document you 7 directed your counsel to file? That's correct. 8 Α And you understand that you were filing 9 0 10 this document to stop the arbitrations from 11 proceeding? 12 That is not how I understand it. 13 What did you understand the document to Q 14 be trying to accomplish? 15 MR. HANLON: Objection, calls for a legal 16 conclusion. 17 THE COURT: Overruled. 18 It was meaning we were going THE WITNESS: 19 to court, that's what it meant. 20 BY MR. DIEMER: 21 What was the result you wanted to 22 accomplish by authorizing that document? 23 I wanted to have my day in court, and Α

make sure that our arguments were heard.

24

Page 52 And what arguments were those? 1 0 2 Α The arguments that have been already put 3 forth to the Court. What was the relief you were requesting? 4 Q 5 Α We've always had an open agreement to 6 renegotiate the contract. It's open and 7 standing, we've put it out several times, and 8 that it's always been denied. 9 So isn't it true that the relief sought Q 10 included a request to enjoin or stop Local 150 11 from taking any further steps to enforce the 12 purported collective bargaining agreement? 13 That's correct. We wanted to Α 14 renegotiate the contract. 15 Q And you did not want arbitrations to 16 proceed? We wanted to take that before the court 17 Α 18 before --19 Yes or no, sir? You did not --Q 20 Α I cannot answer that question yes or no. You understand that Local 150 had 21 22 requested panels of arbitrators from the Federal 23 Mediation and Conciliation Service in this case? 24 Α Yes, I have.

- Q As a matter of fact, in your counterclaim you indicated that the FMCS panels that are at issue here, you were expecting to receive them within a month of filing that counterclaim, isn't that the case?
 - A No, it is not.
- 7 Q Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- A Actually, Judge, can I reanswer that?
- 9 THE COURT: There's no question pending.
- 10 MR. DIEMER: May I approach, your Honor?
- 11 THE COURT: You may.
- 12 BY MR. DIEMER:
 - Q Showing you, sir, what I've marked as Union Exhibit 2 for identification. Are you familiar with that document?
 - A Not at the moment, no.
 - Q Would you take a moment to familiarize yourself with that? Actually I'm going to go back to the first document I handed you for the next question. I apologize. So I'd ask you to look at the Union Exhibit No. 1.
- 22 A Okay.
- Q So you just told us that you were not expecting panels from the FMCS when you filed the

Page 54 1 initial pleadings? 2 Α No. Actually what I wanted to say is I 3 don't remember. 4 Okay. So on Page 2 of your Verified 0 5 Petition for TRO, Paragraph 3 states, "On or 6 about June 9, 2017, Local 150 filed a request for 7 arbitration with the Federal Mediation and 8 Conciliation Service. Said request typically 9 result in appointment of arbitration panel within 10 30 days." Do you see that? 11 I do. Α 12 And that's accurate, because you 0 13 verified this? 14 Α It says appoint a panel. It didn't say 15 anything about when I was supposed to start 16 striking or who was going to do what. 17 There's no question pending. So you 18 were expecting a panel within 30 days of 19 June 9th, isn't that correct? 20 Α No, I was expecting, reading that, that 21 there would be some process in place to start 22 that. 23 You were not -- so your testimony is you 0 24 were not expecting a panel within 30 days of

Page 55 1 June 9th? 2 I was expecting that there would be a Α 3 process in place that would be taken by that 4 point. 5 Okav. So just so the record is clear, 6 you were not expecting FMCS panels within 30 days 7 of June 9th, that's your testimony? 8 No, I'm not saying that at all. Α 9 I'll ask it one more time. Yes or no, 0 10 you were expecting FMCS panels within 30 days of 11 June 9, 2017? 12 Α I cannot answer that question in a yes 13 or no answer, Judge. 14 Q Fair enough. 15 Α Respectfully. 16 Q The response to Local 150's Petition for 17 Rule to Show Cause, it was -- your counsel 18 provided import -- I'll get the date for the 19 record. I believe that was October 23rd. 20 MR. DIEMER: Your Honor, my co-counsel just 21 advised me that Mr. Hanlon is signaling answers 22 to the witness. 23 MR. HANLON: Judge, I'm not signaling.

did make a hand gesture when I didn't have the

24

Page 56 exhibit or whatever he was talking about. 1 2 wasn't a signal, and there was no question 3 pending to the client. 4 MR. PASZTA: Your Honor, it's been done 5 while questions have been pending. I apologize, I was not focused 6 THE COURT: 7 on that. I haven't noticed it. I'm not saying 8 it occurred. Mr. Hanlon, obviously you're not to 9 be signaling in any fashion or telling your 10 client how to answer these questions. 11 understand that, right? 12 Yes, Judge. MR. HANLON: 13 All right. Go ahead. THE COURT: 14 MR. DIEMER: May I approach? 15 THE COURT: You may. 16 BY MR. DIEMER: 17 Mr. Gasser, I'm showing you what I've marked as Union Exhibit No. 3 for identification. 18 19 Have you seen this document before? 20 Α I have. 21 This is a document that was filed on 22 your behalf by Mr. Hanlon on October 23rd? 23 Α It was. 24 Okay. Now I'd ask you to look at Page 3 0

Page 57 1 of the document. Unfortunately, the document 2 itself is not paginated, so you'll have to hand 3 count to Page 3. I'm there, sir. 4 Α 5 Q Are you on Page 3? Yes, sir. 6 Α 7 Okay. And I'd ask you to look at the Q 8 second or the first full paragraph, the third It says, "On October 17, 2018 for the 9 sentence. 10 first time the FMCS served a request for 11 striking -- for selection/striking of an 12 arbitrator upon the Road District." Do you see 13 that. 14 Α I do. 15 Is that accurate? 0 16 It is. Α It is accurate? 17 0 18 Α It is. Okay. So it's your position that 19 Q 20 neither you nor the Road District received any 21 arbitration panels prior to October 17, 2018, is that correct? 22 23 Can you please repeat the question? Α 24 Is it your position that neither you nor Q.

Page 58 the Road District received any arbitration panels 1 2 from the FMCS prior to October 17, 2018? 3 Α No, I may have. I honestly don't remember right now. 4 5 Okay. So the pleading says that October 17 was the first time you received a 6 7 panel. That's what I'm -- that's what's showing 8 Α right here, yes, sir. 9 10 And I'm asking you to confirm the 11 accuracy of that for us. 12 I'm confirming that it's accurate. Α That's accurate. So before that, you 13 0 14 had never seen a panel? 15 Ά No. sir. 16 Okay. And you're aware generally of the lawsuit Local 150 filed under the Freedom of 17 18 Information Act? 19 Α Yes, sir. 20 And you're aware that Local 150 21 prevailed in the FOIA lawsuit, isn't that 22 correct? 23 Α I'm aware, yes, sir. 24 You're aware that on Q Okay.

Page 59 October 23rd, 2018 this Court ordered you to 1 2 produce documents responsive to the request for the period May 15, 2017 through June 12, 2017, 3 are you aware of that? 4 5 It was my understanding that the original FOIA request was for before May 15th, 6 7 and then judgment was entered for May 15th moving 8 forward. To June 12th? 9 Q 10 Α Correct. 11 Q Okay. Which was -- we responded to within --12 Α There's no question pending right now. 13 Q 14 So you were aware of the order? 15 Α Yes, sir. 16 Okay. You understood it was important to comply with your Honor's order? 17 18 Α I understood it was important to comply with FOIA law. 19 20 And once the Court issued the order, you 21 understood it was important to comply with that 22 order, correct? 23 Absolutely. The order said Α 24 specifically --

Page 60 1 Q Okay. 2 Α Can I answer the question, sir. 3 The answer was -- called for a yes or 0 4 no. Your attorney will have a chance 5 THE COURT: to ask you any follow-up questions he wants. 6 7 BY MR. DIEMER: So you gathered documents in order to 8 0 9 comply with the order, sir? 10 Α Yes, sir. 11 Okay. And you reviewed all the 12 documents prior to disclosing them? 13 Yes, sir. Α 14 Q And you in fact produced a large volume 15 of documents, isn't that correct? 16 Α We produced a large -- our staff did, 17 yes, we did. 18 1,302 documents, does that sound right? Q 19 We had our IT staff go through all our Α 20 emails and compile them together. I'm not sure 21 of the number. 22 Okay. But you were involved in that 0 23 process? 24 Absolutely. Α

Page 61 And reviewed the documents? 1 Q 2 We reviewed the documents. Α 3 Q And you shared those documents at some point with your lawyer? 4 5 Α That's correct. Okay. And each document was labeled on 6 0 7 the bottom right-hand corner, was it not? I'm not aware of exactly how we marked 8 Α them and labeled them. 9 So you were not involved in the labeling 10 0 11 of the documents? 12 Α No, sir. 13 Just the gathering of the documents? 0 14 Α That's correct. 15 MR. DIEMER: Okay. And your Honor, Exhibit 3 was the Petition for the Rule to Show 16 17 Cause, which is already in the record, but I 18 quess we move for introduction of that. 19 Any objection to admitting or THE COURT: 20 asking the Court to take judicial notice of Plaintiff's Exhibit 3? 21 22 MR. HANLON: No, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: All right. Plaintiff's 3 is admitted, or I quess it's Union 3 is what you're 24

Page 62 1 referring to it as. 2 MR. HANLON: Your Honor, if it will speed up 3 the process today, anything that's of record in this case I have no objection to it being 4 5 admitted in this proceeding, and certainly the 6 Court can take judicial notice if it's already 7 been filed. 8 Thank you, Mr. Hanlon. THE COURT: 9 BY MR. DIEMER: 10 Now again earlier you told us you never 11 received a document from FMCS prior to October 17, 2018, do you recall that testimony? 12 13 I recall for that in joining a panel I Α 14 believe is what you said. 15 Documents from the FMCS. Panels. 0 16 I believe that's correct. Α Okay. That's your testimony? 17 0 18 Α Yes, sir. 19 Never received a panel from the FMCS 0 20 prior to October 17? 21 Objection asked and answered. MR. HANLON: 22 THE COURT: Overruled. 23 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. I don't.

24

Page 63 1 BY MR. DIEMER: 2 You don't remember that now? Q 3 No, I don't remember ever seeing a Α I don't even remember what it was. 4 panel. 5 Okay. So you don't remember seeing a panel prior to October 17, 2018, is that correct? 6 7 No, I don't remember. MR. DIEMER: Okay. May I approach, your 8 9 Honor? 1.0 THE COURT: You may. 11 BY MR. DIEMER: I'm showing you what I've marked as 12 13 Union Exhibit No. 4. I'd ask you to look at the bottom right-hand corner of the document. It's 14 15 identified as Gasser Documents -- Gasser Docs Per Court Order, Bates No. 000678, do you see that? 16 17 T do. Α 18 And then the following documents are 0 19 numbered serially Gasser Docs Per Court Order 20 Bates Nos. 000679 and 000680. 21 I see that. Α 22 Okay. So this is a document that you 0 23 provided to the Union in response to the FOIA 24 request?

Page 64 1 Α Correct. 2 Okay. And this is, in fact, an FMCS Q 3 panel? You know what, this is the first time 4 Α 5 I'm realizing what this is. 6 Oh. So this is an FMCS panel dated 7 June 9, 2017, isn't that correct? 8 Α Yes, sir. 9 Okay. And this is an arbitration panel 0 10 for the Nick Chiricos termination dispute? 11 Yes, sir. 12 MR. DIEMER: Okay. Your Honor, I move to 13 admit Union Exhibit No. 4. 14 Any objection? THE COURT: 15 MR. HANLON: No objection, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: It's admitted. 17 MR. DIEMER: May I approach again? 18 THE COURT: You may. 19 BY MR. DIEMER: 20 Mr. Gasser, I'm handing you what I've 0 21 marked as Union Group Exhibit No. 5 for 22 identification, and these are panels from the 23 Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service for 24 the various grievances?

Page 65 1 Yes, sir. A 2 I'd ask you to look at these. Let me 3 know when you're done reviewing them. Yes, sir. 4 Α 5 Okav. So the letter from the federal 0 6 government is addressed to both you and me. 7 on the left side, me on the right, correct? 8 Α Yes, sir. 9 And you're identified as the Highway 0 10 Commissioner, and that's your current title? 11 Α Yes, sir. 12 And it says the Algonquin Township Road Q 13 District, and that's your place of business? 14 Α Yes, sir. 15 And 3702 US Highway 14 is the street Q 16 address? 17 Α Yes, sir. And Crystal Lake, Illinois is the town 18 Q 19 in which the place of business rests? 20 Α Yes, sir. 21 Okay. So this letter from the federal Q 22 government was properly addressed to you? 23 Α Yes, sir. 24 Q Okay. And as you flip through, all the

Page 66 documents are addressed to the same person, 1 2 yourself at the same address, 3702 US Highway 14? 3 Yes, sir. Α And did you receive any of these 4 0 5 documents? 6 Yes, sir. Α 7 When did you receive these documents? Q I would say on or about the -- I would 8 Α 9 have to look at my email. I couldn't say. 10 It's roughly the dates that are --Q 11 Α Yes, sir. Is that a yes? 12 Q 13 Yes, sir. Α 14 Q Okay. So roughly the dates, each one is 15 dated differently. A couple of them actually 16 don't have a date unfortunately, but --17 They're different dates, sir. They're different dates, but you would 18 0 receive these serially via email? 19 I believe -- I don't know. Some of them 20 Α came in fax, some of them came in regular mail, 21 some of them via email. I would have to go back 22 23 and look. 24 But you acknowledge receipt of these Q

Page 67 1 panels from the Federal Mediation and 2 Conciliation Service? 3 Α I do. 4 MR. DIEMER: Okay. I would move, your 5 Honor, for the admission of Group Exhibit 5. 6 Any objection? THE COURT: 7 MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. No authentication. 8 THE COURT: Overruled. They are admitted 9 based on your client's testimony that he received 10 them. MR. DIEMER: May I approach again, your 11 12 Honor? 13 THE COURT: You may. 14 BY MR. DIEMER: 15 Q Mr. Gasser, I'm showing you what I've marked as Union Exhibit No. 6 for identification. 16 17 This is a letter from me to you dated June 30, 18 2017. Do you see that? 19 Yes, sir. Α 20 Okay. So this letter is sent via fax, Q 21 email and U.S., certified U.S. mail, do you see 22 that? 23 Yes, sir. Α 24 Okay. The fax number is 847-639-4529. Q

Page 68 1 Is that your fax number? 2 Α If that's what it says, then that's what 3 I don't know my fax. it is. 4 Q Okay. And the email 5 agasser@algonquintownship.com, that's your email 6 address? 7 That's correct. Α 8 Q And the street address is properly noted here, 3702 US Highway 14, Crystal Lake, Illinois? 9 10 Α Yes. 11 60014? 0 12 Α Yes, sir. 13 Q Did you receive this letter? 14 I did. Α 15 Q When did you receive this letter? 16 I couldn't tell you on or about the Ά 17 date. 18 Q That it was mailed or sent to you? 19 Α Correct. 20 Identifying at that point which was the Q 21 first five FMCS panels? 22 Α Yes. 23 MR. DIEMER: Okay. I'd ask that Union 24 Exhibit No. 6 be admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge. This particular letter is a communication from Mr. Diemer, an attorney, to a representative, an individual outside of the context of the attorney after the appearance of counsel in the case, and it's proof of a violation of the Rules of Professional Conduct, and I would object on that basis.

MR. DIEMER: Your Honor, these were separate proceedings. No attorney had appeared in any of these legal proceedings, and as a matter of fact it's quite often the case that the attorney appearing in litigation does not handle the day-to-day labor relations, including labor arbitration.

THE COURT: All right. Well the document is admitted. The witness has identified it, identified that he received it and the date upon which he received it on or about the date it was dated, so it's admitted.

MR. DIEMER: And we move for the admission, and that is admitted?

THE COURT: It is admitted.

MR. DIEMER: May I approach again, your

1 | Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. DIEMER:

Q Mr. Gasser, I'm showing you an email that I've marked as Union Exhibit 7 for identification. This is an email that I drafted to your counsel, Mr. Hanlon, with copies to Mr. Pearson, our general counsel, and Mr. Paszta, my colleague to my right here. Did Mr. Hanlon ever share this email with you?

A Honestly I can't remember. Mr. Hanlon and I have shared a lot of documents together.

Q Okay. Were you aware, did Mr. Hanlon ever tell you that we had proposed an order for striking arbitrators for the pending panels?

A I don't recall. I can remember turning over documents and reviewing them with my attorney and saying we would talk about it as soon as the -- as soon as the case went forward.

Q So the question pending is did
Mr. Hanlon ever share this document with you,
that you can recall?

A I can't recall.

Q Local 150 filed a motion to compel

Page 71 arbitration on July 26, 2017, are you aware of 1 2 that? 3 А I am. I remember taking that and 4 delivering it to Mr. Hanlon. 5 You remember taking it to Mr. Hanlon? 6 I remember getting paperwork for 7 arbitration, and as with every time I got paperwork from Local 150 or from any federal 8 9 judge or wherever it came from, I would always 10 deliver it to Mr. Hanlon. So, if it was -- if it 11 was sent to me, it was delivered to Mr. Hanlon. So anything -- let me just follow up on 12 13 this for just a moment. So anything you got 14 regarding the arbitrations from, for example, the 15 Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service you 16 gave it to your counsel? 17 I did. Α 18 Okay. And any grievance documents you Q 19 got from Local 150 you gave those documents to 20 your counsel? 21 Α Yes, sir. And you would get documents from time to 22 0 23 time from Mr. Fahey, the business agent, 24 grievances, letters advancing grievances in the

Page 72 steps they arbitrated the grievance process? 1 2 I remember only seeing Mr. Fahey on the 3 first day. But everything you got regarding 4 Q 5 arbitration you gave to your counsel? 6 Yes, sir. Α 7 Q So he was in receipt of the Federal 8 Mediation and Conciliation panels? 9 Α Yes, sir. 10 MR. DIEMER: Okay. May I approach again, 11 your Honor? 12 THE COURT: You may. 13 BY MR. DIEMER: Mr. Gasser, I'm showing you Union 14 0 15 Exhibit No. 8 marked for identification. This is 16 Plaintiff's Motion to Compel. Have you ever seen this document? 17 I believe I have. 18 Α 19 I'd ask you to look at Paragraph 9. 20 It's admitted, Mr. Hanlon, no THE COURT: 21 objection? 22 MR. HANLON: No objection, your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24

Page 73 1 BY MR. DIEMER: I'd ask you to look at Paragraph 9 on 2 Q 3 Page 3. 4 Α Yes, sir. 5 Paragraph 9 says, "On July 14, 6 2017, counsel for Local 150 sent an email to 7 counsel for the Road District regarding the selection of arbitrators for five of the 8 9 grievances filed against the Road District. 10 Specifically, this email proposed a system for 11 striking/selecting arbitrators from the panel 12 provided by the Federal Mediation and 13 Conciliation Service as required by Section 8.3 of the CBA. Counsel for the Road District did 14 15 not respond to this email." 16 So you've seen this before. 17 you aware of this July 14 letter via the motion 18 here? I honestly right now can't recall if I 19 Ά did or not. 20 Did your counsel ever tell you that the 21 22 Union had tried to propose a system to strike 23 arbitrators for these? 24 I honestly can't remember. Α

Page 74 MR. DIEMER: Again Union Exhibit 8 is 1 2 obviously a court document, so. 3 Yes, it's admitted. THE COURT: MR. DIEMER: May I approach, your Honor? 4 5 THE COURT: You may. 6 BY MR. DIEMER: 7 Mr. Gasser, I'm showing you Union Q Exhibit No. 9 that's been marked for 8 9 identification purposes. This is the order 10 entered by the Court on September 20, 2018 granting, among other things, Local 150's Motion 11 I've got a couple questions about 12 to Compel. 13 this document. First of all, did your counsel 14 tell you the motion was granted? 15 Α I honestly --Objection. Privilege, Judge. 16 MR. HANLON: 17 Privilege protects MR. DIEMER: communication from the client to the lawyer, and 18 19 especially given the very odd circumstances of 20 this case, I think it's important to understand -- I mean Mr. Gasser's made 21 representations about what he thought the order 22 23 I think it's appropriate -- again, I meant. 24 recognize there's boundaries, and I certainly

can't ask Mr. Gasser what he told his counsel, 1 2 but in terms of communicating the content of the 3 order and specifically to the extent that he's offered his -- Mr. Gasser's offered his 4 5 interpretation of the order into the record, I 6 think I'm entitled to inquire, and I don't think they're able to use the privilege as a shield to 7 8 prevent me from inquiring into the basis of his 9 interpretation. 10 THE COURT: That's not the question you 11 asked, though. So sustained as to the question 12 I'm not saying the topic is out of you asked. 13 bounds, but I'm saying that question asks for 14 attorney-client communication. 15 BY MR. DIEMER: 16 Anybody ever tell you the order -- the 17 Local 150's Motion to Compel was granted? Objection, calls for hearsay. 1.8 MR. HANLON: 19 THE COURT: Overruled. 20 THE WITNESS: I can't remember. 21 BY MR. DIEMER:

You don't?

No, I don't.

22

23

24

0

Α

0

Do you know if Local 150's Motion to

Page 76 1 Compel was granted? 2 I do now, I just read it, but --3 Let me -- before you saw this document, 0 4 did you know Local 150's Motion to Compel 5 Arbitration had been granted? I can't answer that in a yes or no 6 7 question. 8 THE COURT: He didn't ask it as a yes or no 9 question. 10 No, if I knew if the court THE WITNESS: 11 proceedings were ongoing. 12 BY MR. DIEMER: 13 So before you walked in the door today, 0 14 you did not know that Local 150's motion to 15 compel arbitration had been granted? 16 When I got an email on November 19th, 17 two days before Thanksgiving, saying that I was 18 in contempt of court, and here is what I needed 19 to have, that's when I realized I had this issue. 20 0 Well, we'll talk about contempt in a 21 I'm focused now on the Motion to Compel. moment. 22 Let me ask the question. Prior to walking into 23 this door today, did you know that Local 150's

motion to compel had been granted?

24

Page 77 1 Α Yes. 2 When did you know that? Q Okay. 3 A month and-a-half ago, maybe two. Α That's my best quess. I can't remember the exact 4 5 date. It might have been around this, it might 6 There's been a lot of motions and a lot of 7 hearings. 8 0 Okay. Did anybody ever send you this 9 order? 10 I can't remember. A Objection, calls for hearsay. 11 MR. HANLON: 12 THE COURT: Overruled. 13 THE WITNESS: I don't remember. 14 BY MR. DIEMER: 15 You don't remember ever seeing this Q 16 order? 17 No, I don't. Α 18 0 Now I don't want to -- I don't want you 19 to tell me what was said. I want you to listen 20 to my question carefully. Did you have any 21 discussions, I don't want to know the content, 22 but did you have any discussions with your 23 counsel about this order that's in front of you? 24 We may have. I remember discussing -- I Α

Page 78 remember discussing the issue. I don't remember 1 2 if we used that exact piece of paper. 3 So you don't remember if you had a 0 4 specific conversation about this order? 5 Α That's not what I'm saying. I'm saying 6 we talked about it, but I can't remember --7 0 You talked about what? 8 Α About --9 MR. HANLON: Objection. Privilege, Judge. 10 THE COURT: No, you can answer not what was 11 said, but the subject matter of any discussion. 12 THE WITNESS: We of course we talked about 13 arbitration and the subject of it, but I'm 14 not -- I don't want to get into discussions of 15 that any more than that. 16 BY MR. DIEMER: 17 So you had a discussion with your 0 18 counsel about arbitration? 19 The topic of it, yes. Α When was that? 20 Q 21 I don't remember. We talked about it a А 22 lot. We actually tried to renegotiate the 23 contract. I'm answering the question. I offered 24 to do this or to find a resolution. So what

exactly came up in those conversations, can't pull them out date per date or what was said, but that was brought up.

Q Similarly I don't want to know what your counsel told you, but did your counsel ever tell you that you needed to take any affirmative steps to comply with the Court's order?

MR. HANLON: Objection. He's asking for actual the communication between an attorney and a client.

THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. DIEMER:

Q Mr. Gasser, did you do anything upon learning, whenever it was, that Local 150's motion to compel arbitration was granted?

A Absolutely. Any time that there was any legal movement, I always had a meeting with my attorney, and we always discussed what was going on.

Q I'm not talking about internally. I'm talking about did you take any steps -- did you do anything publicly, outside of communications with your counsel, outside of discussions with friends, did you in your relationship with

Local 150, whatever that may be, did you take -- did you do anything after this Court issued its September 20th order?

A The only thing I did was I received it, and I know that when I got any legal documents in this case I would always immediately go to Mr. Hanlon and review it.

Q But you can't identify any affirmative conduct or affirmative steps you took in response to the Court's order of September 20, 2018?

A Only that we identified it was kept ongoing through the court system.

Q Let me put it this way. Outside of talking to your lawyer, you didn't do anything at anytime once this Court issued its order of September 20, 2018, is that correct?

A Anything publicly. You asked me if I did anything publicly. No, I didn't go to the papers or anything like that, no.

Q Did you take any steps to attempt to comply with the order?

A Again outside of talking to my attorney about the order, that's all I did.

Q Did you have an understanding that you

were going to need to comply with the order in any way?

A I knew eventually I would have to, when something happened, that when the final order came in, we would have to do something, but it was my understanding that as long as the attorney -- as long as the Court was -- or the case was working through the process, that we're still moving forward.

Q So it was your understanding you didn't need to do anything to comply with the order?

A I'm very aware that at some point I do have to do something, but never received anything after that order to say hey, this is what I'd have to do. I don't remember anything like that. I don't remember ever even talking about that.

MR. DIEMER: Bear with me for a moment, your Honor.

THE COURT: Plaintiff's 9's admitted, because it's a court order?

MR. HANLON: Yes, Judge.

THE WITNESS: Can I get a sip of water?

THE COURT: How much longer do you have?

MR. DIEMER: Maybe like five, ten minutes.

11019 January 18, 2019

Page 82 MR. HANLON: I have his bottle of water 1 2 here. 3 Yeah, if you just want to give THE COURT: him his water, that'd be great. 4 5 I appreciate it. THE WITNESS: 6 THE COURT: Of course. Off the record. 7 8 (Discussion held off the record.) 9 10 MR. DIEMER: Your Honor, I apologize, I'm 11 kind of working off -- this is the affidavit that 12 Mr. Gasser attached to the recent filing from 13 Mr. Hanlon, the cause as to why Andrew Gasser 14 should not be held in contempt. 15 I've got a copy of that. THE COURT: 16 So it's in the record? MR. DIEMER: MR. HANLON: It's in the record. 17 18 MR. DIEMER: It's in the record. 19 THE COURT: We'll mark it as Union 10? 20 MR. DIEMER: Yes. 21 No objection to its admission, THE COURT: 22 Mr. Hanlon? 23 MR. HANLON: No, Judge. It's admitted. 24 THE COURT:

Page 83 May I approach? 1 MR. DIEMER: 2 THE COURT: You may. 3 BY MR. DIEMER: So this is a little clip I've got on it, 4 Q 5 but is that the affidavit you submitted in this You're obviously familiar with that? 6 case? 7 Α I am. So in your affidavit, you state, "At no 8 0 time did I understand the Court's order to mean 9 10 that I was to strike a name of an arbitrator from 11 a list of arbitrators." 12 No, sir, I did not. Α 13 So what was your interpretation of the Q 14 order? 15 Α My interpretation of the order was that we were working through the court system, and 16 17 until a final judgment came in, that we were just 18 moving forward. 19 Who told you you don't need to comply 20 with it until there's a final order? 21 Objection, it calls for MR. HANLON: 22 privileged communications. 23 MR. DIEMER: Let me ask it this way. 24 Reask the question. THE COURT: Withdrawn.

BY MR. DIEMER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

24

- Q Why do you think you don't have to do anything until there's a final order?
- A Because I communicate with my attorney, and we talk about the things that we need to do moving forward.
- Q And so what is your understanding of what a final order is?
- A My final -- the final order is when we're told that the case has been dismissed or it's been confirmed, and I'm told that I have to comply with something, whether that be present documents or do whatever it is.
- Q Told by who?
- 15 A My attorney.
- 16 Q I see. So you complied with the FOIA 17 order, correct?
- 18 A Correct.
 - Q But you didn't do anything to comply with the Court's September 20th order, correct?
- A When I got the email with that, it had no dates on it at that time. There was nothing in there that I need to do.
 - Q Yet you knew you would have to do

something once there was a final order, even though there were no dates on the order?

A That's how it was communicated to me.

There was no, nothing in what I read that said

you have to do -- you have to act first, or there

were no dates. It was ambiguous to me. It

didn't make any sense.

Q That's the way it was explained to you?

A No, that's what it said in the email, that on November -- November 19, plus or minus.

Q Email from whom?

A I don't have it in front of me, but that's -- that's the date that sticks out in my head.

Q Regardless of the date, who sent you the email?

A I don't recall. I just know it's in my email. That's when this whole thing kicked off.

Q So you got an email in November. So the Court's order issues on September 20th -- So the order issued on September 20th, you get an email from somebody in November telling you not to worry about it?

A No. I got an email in November saying

Page 86 that I had to comply and provide FOIA documents, 1 2 and that I had a certain amount of time to get 3 that, and that was it. 0 Well the FOIA documents were disclosed 4 5 before that, weren't they? That's the date that sticks in my head. 6 Α 7 So you have reviewed the Q Okay. collective bargaining agreement? 8 I viewed it. 9 Α And you don't believe that the CBA 10 0 11 requires you to progress any grievances to arbitration in any timely fashion? 12 13 I'm repudiating it. Α 14 0 You're repudiating it, I see. As you 15 sit here today are you repudiating it? 16 A I am. 17 And what does that mean to you? Q It means I reject the agreement that was 18 A set before I took office. 19 20 Do you reject the Court's finding that 0 it was a valid contract? 21 22 I'm just saying that I'm repudiating it. Α 23 I have to follow what the Court says, and I know 24 we have the right to appeal.

Page 87 There is no question pending. So the 1 contract says, "The parties shall alternately 2 3 strike the names of arbitrators, taking turns as to the first strike." Are you familiar with that 4 5 language? 6 Α I am. 7 And you're also aware that in the State Q 8 of Illinois, the Labor Relations Act imposes a 9 duty on all parties to act in good faith? 10 Α I do. 11 You understand that concept? 0 12 Ά I do understand that. And so in your affidavit you were hung 13 O 14 up on being required to strike first? 15 Ά It didn't say who was supposed to strike first, or there wasn't any dates put on there or 16 17 anything. 18 And you don't think -- I mean, you 19 talked earlier about you wanted to renegotiate 20 things? In fact that's open right now. 21 I do. 22 would love to renegotiate it. 23 So you're a reasonable quy? Q 24 I am.

Α

Q Okay. And so language like this, "The parties shall alternately strike names taking turns as to the first strike", that's not something you could figure out a way to negotiate?

A There was no process that was put in that place. And I also remember reading something that -- well I just remember reading that there was alternative language or alternating.

MR. DIEMER: May I approach, your Honor?

THE COURT: You may.

BY MR. DIEMER:

Q Mr. Gasser, I'm showing you Union
Exhibit 11 that I've marked for identification.
This is a letter that I drafted on October 2nd
and sent to your counsel, Mr. Hanlon, regarding
what was at that point the eight pending
grievances, and asking him to contact me at his
earliest convenience but no later than
October 12, ten days later, so that we could
finalize arbitrator selection for each of the
above cases. Did Mr. Hanlon ever share this
letter with you?

Page 89 We talked about this letter. 1 2 THE COURT: The question was did he ever 3 give you a copy of it. 4 THE WITNESS: Actually I think it's in our 5 records at the township, so yes. 6 BY MR. DIEMER: 7 When would he have given you that Q 8 letter? 9 Sometime after it was given. Ά 10 Okay. At any point did you direct him Q 11 to contact the Union to finalize arbitrator 12 selection for the pending grievances? 13 I can't talk about that. It's something Α 14 we talked about privately. 15 MR. HANLON: Privilege, Judge. He's asking 16 the witness what the witness said to his lawyer. 17 THE COURT: Can you read the question back 18 for me? 19 20 (Question read.) 21 22 THE COURT: Overruled. You can answer. 23 THE WITNESS: We discussed it. 24

BY MR. DIEMER:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q The question is did you direct him to contact the Union so the parties could finalize arbitrator selection?
 - A Yeah. Yes.
 - O You directed him to do that?
- A We talked about -- again, I can't answer it in a yes or no actually. I can't answer it in a yes or no.
- Q You can. Did you direct him to contact the Union to finalize arbitrator selection on the pending grievances. It's a yes or no.
- A I can't answer that, Judge. There's no way. It was a full conversation about the letter.
- THE COURT: Why can't you answer that yes or no?

THE WITNESS: Because it was a full
conversation, sir, where we walked through the
different -- it was legal strategy, and talking
about with my attorney about everything that was
going on with respect to this. That's the truth.
There is no -- there is no simple yes or no.

24 It's not a yes or no answer to me, sir.

Page 91 1 BY MR. HANLON: 2 What was the outcome of that discussion? Q 3 Ά We would continue on with court 4 proceedings. 5 And not contact the Union to engage in arbitrator selection? 6 I don't remember ever saying that, and I 7 don't remember directing that. 8 9 O You did not direct that? 10 Α No, I never said do not talk to 150. 11 fact, I've told my attorney many times --MR. HANLON: Objection. Privilege, Judge. 12 13 THE COURT: You don't have to continue. BY MR. DIEMER: 14 15 Would you like a representative to 0 16 have -- would you have preferred your 17 representative, not necessarily your counsel, 18 contact Local 150 to finalize arbitrator 19 selection? Objection, vague and ambiguous. 20 MR. HANLON: 21 Overruled on that objection. THE COURT: 22 You can answer. 23 THE WITNESS: Can you please repeat the 24 question?

Page 92 1 MR. DIEMER: Could you read it? 2 3 (Question read.) 4 5 THE WITNESS: I would always want my counsel 6 to be the one to do that, and I would love to 7 renegotiate. 8 BY MR. DIEMER: 9 Did any agent or representative of Q 10 yourself or Algonquin Township Road District ever 11 contact Local 150 to engage in the arbitrator 12 selection process for the eight pending 13 grievances? 14 Α Did any agent from Algonquin? No. 15 Everything that has ever come up with the labor 16 issue has always been a discussion between myself 17 and my attorney, except the board is actually 18 interested in doing that. 19 Doing what? Q 20 Α Getting involved with the labor issue. 21 And --Q 22 Α And Mr. Kelly. 23 And where does that stand right now? 0 24 Α I don't know. I don't even see how they

can be a part of it. They're a completely separate unit of government, and I don't understand why they're trying to do that, so.

- Q You believe the township is a separate body of government?
- A The Highway Department and the Township

 -- the Road District, the Algonquin Township Road

 District and the Algonquin Township Board are two
 separate units of government.
- Q They have nothing to do with one another?
- A They have an auditing function, and that's it.
- Q So they can't -- the Board can't overrule a decision by the Highway Commissioner like yourself?
 - A It's my understanding no, they can't.
- Q Okay. Did you understand that this litigation, in this litigation you took the opposite position?
 - A No.

Q Okay. You didn't understand that?

Okay. Put aside your counsel for a moment. You didn't direct anybody else associated with the

Page 94 Road District to contact Local 150 to engage in 1 2 arbitrator selection, correct? No, sir. 3 Α Is that a correct statement? 4 It is. 5 Α Move for the admission of Union 6 MR. DIEMER: 7 Exhibit 11. Mr. Hanlon? 8 THE COURT: MR. HANLON: No objection, Judge. 9 All right. Plaintiff's 11 is 10 THE COURT: 11 admitted. MR. DIEMER: May I approach? 12 13 THE COURT: You may. BY MR. DIEMER: 14 Mr. Gasser, I'm showing you what I've 15 0 marked as Union Exhibit 12 for identification. 16 Now the parties have clear-cutted forests by 17 18 copying the collective bargaining agreement, so 19 this is a redacted copy with just basically the grievance arbitration procedure, the cover page 20 21 and the signature page. My guestion is going to relate to 22 Section 8.5 of the grievance procedure, and 23 that's found on Page 16 of this redacted portion 24

of the collective bargaining agreement.

I'd ask you to look back at the affidavit that's already in evidence that you submitted in support of your brief establishing cause. In that affidavit you stated, "I believe the default section in 8.5 of the CBA renders any further action on my part a nullity." Do you see that in your affidavit?

- A Which exhibit is that again?
- O That's the Exhibit 10.
- 11 A I do. I do remember that.
- Q Okay. Can you explain that?

A Absolutely. It's any grievance not appealed to the next step in writing within the appropriate number of work days means that we don't have to -- it's considered settled. My answer it would be settled.

Q Do you understand that Local 150 advanced all the grievances to the last and final step of the process?

A No. We were in the middle of a -- as I understand it, we were in the middle of a case that had been being litigated.

Q You told us earlier that you had

received panels of arbitrators from the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service?

A I received documents. I did not know they were panels, or did not know what they were. I would take them and I would bring them to my attorney and we would discuss it privately.

Q So you're disputing whether Local 150 has made arbitration demands?

A I'm disputing that I did not know I had to respond to anything, because we were still in the legal process.

Q I see. But my question relates to your interpretation of this section of the grievance procedure. And so my question to you is you understand that Local 150 has advanced all the pending grievances to the last step of the process which is arbitration, that's correct, right?

A No. As I understood it, it was working through the court process, and the court process was separate from the arbitration process.

Q The court process is separate from the arbitration process. So the whole -- the thing that started the dispute as it were was Local

150's filing of grievances and demanding arbitration. You recall that, correct?

A I do.

Q Okay. So you recall that Local 150 made arbitration demands, correct?

A I remember them processing that, and then I remember taking it into the judicial system, and at that time that was put on hold.

Q And we talked earlier in June of 2017, June 9th as a matter of fact, you filed a pleading where you said Local 150 has filed arbitration demands. They requested FMCS panels, and we expect the FMCS panels within 30 days, do you remember that testimony, right?

A I also remember saying that we were taking it to the court system, and at that point it would be -- we'd go through the legal process first before going back to arbitration. That's my understanding of it.

Q I mean, there is no dispute you got served with the Rule to Show Cause on October 26?

MR. HANLON: Stipulate that we did, Judge.

THE COURT: They don't have to accept your stipulation if they don't want to.

BY MR. DIEMER:

Q Well I mean if they're going to stipulate that they received it -- the question pending, you received the rule. What did you understand that to be?

A I understand it to be that we were still going through the proceedings, and that we were still in the judicial system, and we were not in anything else.

Q Did you understand that you were going to have to show up in court and explain why you shouldn't be held in contempt of court?

A I did.

Q And so I'm reading from the rule. The rule required you to appear in court for your failure to obey the court order entered on 9-20-18 regarding Algonquin Township Highway Commissioner Andrew Gasser to arbitrate the grievances of Local 150 filed under the collective bargaining agreement dated April 25th, 2017.

So you understood that you were going to come to court and explain why you hadn't arbitrated the pending grievances?

Page 99 It's because my understanding that it 1 was in the judicial system, not in the 2 3 arbitration system. But this is the judicial system, is it 4 5 not? I'm just telling you what it is, sir. 6 Α That was your understanding? 7 0 That's my understanding. Α Even though --9 0 Yes, sir. 10 Α -- you were served with contempt papers, 11 0 12 you still thought that there was nothing you 13 needed to do to comply with the Court's September 20th order? 14 15 As soon as I got those contempt papers, I immediately took them to my attorney, and we 16 started working to fix that process. 17 And you didn't do anything in your 18 0 19 relationship with Local 150 to address this 20 issue? 21 Α No, sir. Everything I do with 150 I 22 work through with my attorney. Mr. Hanlon? 23 0 24 Yes, sir. Α

Page 100 Okay. And is there anybody else that 1 2 you would rely on? 3 No, sir. Α So all of your -- so there's nobody 4 0 5 other than Mr. Hanlon that have counseled you on 6 any actions taken in this case? 7 No, sir. Α And at this point you don't intend to do 8 Q anything specific to comply with this Court's 9 10 order, do you? I would not say -- no, I would not agree 11 12 with that statement. I don't know right now. I mean sitting here right now, you don't 13 0 1.4 have any plans to do anything affirmative to 15 comply with the Court's September 20th order? That's -- there's nothing concrete. 16 17 would immediately talk to my attorney, 18 absolutely. As you sit here, you can't think of 19 Q 20 anything you're going to do to comply with this

Court's September 20th order?

21

22

23

24

I think I would sit down and talk with my attorney while we're here and figure out what we're going to do.

11019 January 18, 2019

. 1	
	Page 101
1	Q Talk to your attorney. Okay.
2	A Absolutely.
3	Q You know, that's all we have, Judge.
4	THE COURT: Redirect?
5	
6	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7	BY MR. HANLON
8	
9	Q Mr. Gasser.
10	A Yes, sir.
11	Q You've had occasion to send me emails,
12	is that correct?
13	A Yes, sir.
14	Q What does my email address begin with?
15	A "R".
16	Q Okay. Well could you give me the first
17	say seven
18	A Robert.
19	Q Robert. The whole name Robert, right?
20	A Yes.
21	Q I'd like to draw your attention to Union
22	Exhibit No. 7. Would you look at Union Exhibit
23	No. 7?
24	THE COURT: That one hasn't been admitted.

Page 102 1 MR. HANLON: Yes, I know. I was going to 2 ask to have it admitted in just a few seconds. 3 THE COURT: Okay. 4 MR. HANLON: But for a different purpose 5 than Local 150 might do. 6 THE WITNESS: I see it. 7 BY MR. HANLON: 8 That's not my email address is it? 0 9 Α No. 10 Okav. And so what is the correct email 11 address for me, if you know? 12 Α Robert@rhanlonlaw.com. 13 Is it possible it's 0 14 robert@robhanlonlaw.com? 15 Ά Honestly I can't answer that question. 16 I know I hit "R" and your name populates into my 17 email and away it goes. 18 Okay. So with respect to this document, 0 19 you testified earlier that you didn't recall 20 receiving it. This document is purportedly dated 21 Friday, July 14, 2017. Do you know what you ate 22 for breakfast that day? 23 Α No. 24 Do you know what other documents you Q

1 read that day?

A No.

Q With respect to the court proceedings, the order of September 20, 2018 was more than a year after this document shown in Union Exhibit No. 7, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Lots of litigation papers went back and forth, correct?

A Thousands of pages.

Q And you would regularly meet with me at my office with respect to those papers, is that correct?

A Yes, sir.

Q Now, there's a collective bargaining agreement that is Union Exhibit No. 12. Can you take a look at Union -- wait, before I go to Union 12.

MR. HANLON: Judge, Local 150 has presented you an Exhibit 7 but did not offer it into evidence. I wish to offer it into evidence to show non-receipt based upon improper email address.

MR. DIEMER: May I respond, your Honor?

THE COURT: Well the only question is are you objecting to its admission.

MR. DIEMER: Yeah, we'll approve its admission.

THE COURT: Or not object to its admission.

All right. Plaintiff's 7 is admitted.

MR. DIEMER: Well just for point of order here, two points I'd like to make.

THE COURT: I don't want -- I didn't like
him arguing what the significance of the document
is. That's for closing or for argument that
we're going to have in a few minutes. So if you
were going to -- so let me just say you're moving
to admit 7. Are you objecting to the admission
of 7?

MR. DIEMER: No.

THE COURT: It's admitted. You can make whatever arguments you want to make about the exhibits when I give you a chance to make whatever arguments you want to make after we close testimony.

22 BY MR. HANLON:

1.2

Q Mr. Gasser, you understood that the court order of September 20th ordered you to

comply with Article 8 of the grievance procedure, correct?

A Yes, sir.

- Q Is there any time set forth in the grievance procedure specifically telling you what you had to do?
 - A No, sir.
- Q Is there anything that tells you who goes first with respect to striking the names as it relates to the actual grievance procedure?
- A No, sir.
 - Q And with respect to -- strike that.

 Mr. Diemer asked you about article -- I'm sorry,

 Section 8.5 Settlements and Time Limits, and he
 only read a portion of the sentence that was

 there.

The full sentence reads, "Any grievance not appealed to the next succeeding step in writing and within the appropriate number of work days of the employer's last answer will be considered settled on the basis of the employer's last answer and shall not be eligible for further appeal." Do you see that within the body of Union Exhibit No. 12?

Page 106 1 I do. Α 2 What was your last answer as it Q Okay. 3 relates to the grievances associated with these individuals? 4 I've only done all my communication 6 through you. 7 Well, what was the last communication 0 8 you had with any of those employees? 9 Α Specifically which employees. 10 O Well, how about Mr. Rosencrans? 11 He has contacted me through Facebook, Α 12 but I've never contacted him. 13 When was the last time you had a word to Q say to Mr. Rosencrans? 14 15 Face-to-face was May 15, 2017. Α 16 The same with Mr. Lee? 0 17 Α Yes, sir. Was it the same with -- is it Chirikos? 18 Q 19 Chirikos, yes, sir. Α 20 And you terminated them, right? Q 21 Yes, sir. Α 22 0 Are you familiar with what capitalized 23 terms means in legal documents? 24 Α No, sir.

Page 107 All right. With respect to this, the 1 Q 2 activity that took place in 2017? 3 Α Yes, sir. Did you receive a notice from the 4 0 Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service after 5 6 the Court issued its order? 7 MR. DIEMER: Objection, vague. THE COURT: Which order? 8 9 BY MR. HANLON: 10 The 9-20 order compelling arbitration. I would have to go back in my email and 11 Α 12 look. I don't believe I did. 13 One second, Judge. I'd like to draw Q your attention to Union Exhibit No. 3, which has 14 15 previously been entered into evidence in this 16 case. 17 Α No. 3 you said, sir? No. 3. 18 Q 19 I have it. Α Okay. Now within that document will you 20 Q 21 look at Exhibit A. 22 Α Yes. 23 It says Wednesday, October 17, 2018 24 2 p.m., is that correct?

Page 108 1 Α Yes, sir. 2 Okay. And it has another one of these Federal Mediation and Conciliation Services 3 4 letters that was similar to before the court 5 order, correct? 6 Α Yes, sir. 7 0 Okay. And do you know -- I'm sorry. 8 Then I'd like to refer you to Page 1 of the Union Exhibit No. 3, where it reads, "On October 17, 9 10 2018 at 3:38 p.m., Mr. Gasser forwarded the request to his attorney at 3:35 p.m. or 11 12 thereabouts." 13 Α I do see that. Was it 3:35 or 3:38? 14 0 I do not remember. 15 А 16 But sometime shortly after you got it, 0 17 you forwarded it to me, right? 18 Α Yes, sir. And do you know what time that you 19 0 20 received the first Petition for Rule to Show 21 Cause? 22 Α At 4:38 p.m. 23 0 So at the time that you received the 24 request that's shown in Exhibit A, at that point

Page 109 in time did you think that you had to do anything 1 2 that very moment? 3 No, sir, other than just to pass it Α 4 forward. Okay. And you know we're here several 5 0 months afterwards, correct? 6 7 Α Yes, sir. 8 And being here several months afterwards Q 9 this Petition for Rule to Show Cause entered, did 10 you understand why the Rule to Show Cause 11 entered? 12 I understand now, but I didn't Α 13 understand then. I just forwarded it. Well when it comes to this striking of 14 0 panels and picking of panels, what's shown in 15 Exhibit A to Union Exhibit No. 3, what's shown in 16 17 that exhibit is a new panel, right? 18 A Yes, sir. Did you get a letter from Mr. Diemer 19 0 20 asking you to strike any of these names? 21 Α No, sir. 22 Q Did you get a letter from Mr. Diemer 23 proposing how to change those at that point in

24

time?

Page 110 1 Α No, sir. MR. DIEMER: Objection, relevance, that 2 Mr. Hanlon has already admonished me for 3 4 communicating with his client. Judge, he raised relevance. 5 MR. HANLON: 6 It's already admitted into evidence, and how it's 7 relevant is the understanding of Mr. Gasser. Mr. Diemer has repeatedly referred to what 8 Mr. Gasser knew or didn't know and how. 9 10 THE COURT: The objection is overruled. Не 11 can answer the question. 12 MR. HANLON: Can you repeat the question to 13 the witness? 14 (Question read.) 15 16 17 THE WITNESS: No, sir. 18 BY MR. HANLON: And there's an instructions to FMCS 19 0 20 that's attached to Union Exhibit No. 3, correct? Yes, sir. 21 Α All right. I'd like to draw your 22 23 attention to those instructions where it says 24 Termination Dispute Andrew Rosencrans. It says

Page 111 1 "No. 1, the parties mutually selected an 2 arbitrator." I'm looking for --3 Ά 4 If you look at Exhibit A, it would be 0 5 the 1, 2, third page, top of the page. 6 I see Mr. -- I see the page referencing 7 Mr. Rosencrans. 8 Q Okay. Did Local 150 agree to a --9 mutually agree to an arbitrator? 10 No, sir. Α MR. DIEMER: Your Honor, I'm going to 11 12 object. This is a charade. 13 MR. HANLON: No, Judge --THE COURT: No, stop. Objection is 14 15 sustained. Next question. You can't on the one 16 hand use your attorney-client as a shield and 17 then start using it as a sword. That question wasn't directed 18 MR. HANLON: 19 to a privileged communication, Judge, it was 20 directed to --21 No, but you --THE COURT: 22 MR. HANLON: -- what the Union had done in 23 connection with this as it relates to what the 24 parties' obligation are, Judge. So the term

Page 112 charade as used by Mr. Diemer --1 2 THE COURT: The objection is sustained. 3 Next question. BY MR. HANLON: 4 As you sit here today and read the 5 arbitration provision of the collective 6 7 bargaining agreement, do you have an 8 understanding of when you're supposed to do anything? 9 As I read Section 8.5 it doesn't have 10 any timelines of when I'm supposed to do 11 12 anything. 13 MR. HANLON: No further questions, Judge. 14 THE COURT: Recross. MR. DIEMER: Can I take two minutes? 15 16 THE COURT: Yes. 17 (Off the record at 4:03.) 18 19 (On the record at 4:04.) 20 RECROSS EXAMINATION 21 22 BY MR. DIEMER 23 24 MR. DIEMER: What are we up to, Union 13?

Page 113 1 THE COURT: Yes. 2 MR. DIEMER: May I proceed? 3 THE COURT: You may. 4 BY MR. DIEMER: 5 Just a few follow-up questions for you, 0 6 Mr. Gasser. 7 Yes, sir. Α Mr. Hanlon asked you about his email 8 0 address. Do you recall a point in time getting 9 10 an email from his secretary advising that the email addresses from Mr. Hanlon's law firm had 11 12 been changed? Do you remember getting that 13 email? I don't remember getting an email. 14 Α 15 Now you believe in transparency as a Q 16 public official? 17 Α Sir, I do. And you post online things, for example 18 O like Mr. Hanlon's invoices? Those are all posted 19 20 online? They're in Google drop box on 21 Α They are. 22 the Township Highway Department web page. 23 And those are public records? Q They are. 24 A

Page 114 1 Transparency, no secret, they're there Q for everybody to see? 2 3 Α Correct. And you review his invoices obviously? 4 0 I do. 5 Α 6 Just as you would review any vendors Q 7 invoices, correct? 8 Α I do. 9 MR. DIEMER: May I approach? 10 THE COURT: You may. I apologize, your Honor. We 11 MR. DIEMER: 12 kind of did these on the fly, so I don't have any staples. I don't know if the clerk has a 13 14 stapler. 15 BY MR. DIEMER: 16 0 So you will see this is an invoice from 17 Mr. Hanlon dated August 8, 2017? 18 Α Yes, sir. 19 Okay. And you approved this invoice? Q 20 Α Yes, sir. 21 And paid it? 0 22 Α We did, sir. 23 Now I ask you to look at Page 3, the O billing entry on September 14, 2017 -- or excuse 24

Page 115 1 me, that's my sister's birthday. July 14, 2017. 2 Do you see that? 3 Α Yes, sir. RTH is presumably Robert T. Hanlon? 4 0 5 Α Yes, sir. 6 So that's -- this is a billing record of Q 7 his, and he says received email from B. Diemer of Local 150? 8 9 Α Yes, sir. 10 And he charged .25 and billed the Q Township \$93.75 to read an email from B. Diemer? 11 12 A Yes, sir. 13 Which is me? Q 14 Α Yes, sir. 15 And then it looks like he had a 0 16 telephone conversation with you on that same day, 17 .25, same amount 93.75, do you see that? 18 Α Yes, sir. And the email that we've been discussing 19 Q 20 from me to Mr. Hanlon is dated July 14, 2017, do 21 you see that? 22 Α I do. Okay. So it seems like this is the 23 0 24 email that he billed the township \$93 to read?

Page 116 MR. HANLON: Objection, calls for 1 2 speculation. 3 THE COURT: Sustained. BY MR. DIEMER: 4 Do you believe that this is the email 5 that he billed the township for? 6 7 Α No, I do not. You don't think it's the email? 8 0 I have no idea to know. I can't 9 Α speculate on that. Mr. Hanlon calls me at all 10 different times with different emails and 11 12 different things. Has Mr. Hanlon ever forwarded an email 13 he received from me? 14 15 I'm sure he has. I can't point to one, 16 though. And you would expect that if I send 17 0 Mr. Hanlon an email about this case and he reads 18 19 it, that he'll bill you for that? I can't say that he bills for every 20 single email he reads in my case. I don't know. 21 You would not have a problem if 22 0 Mr. Hanlon billed the township for reviewing an 23 24 email?

Page 117 MR. HANLON: Objection, vague and ambiguous, 1 2 use of the term township. THE COURT: Billed the Township Road 3 4 District. THE WITNESS: If it was a relevant email, 5 absolutely. I get so many emails, and many of 6 them are not relevant to township business, it's 7 spam, just get rid of it. So no, I would hope he 8 9 wouldn't call me on every single one. 10 BY MR. DIEMER: Union Exhibit 7, is this, now that 11 Q you're looking at it, do you think that would 12 13 have been a relevant email? 14 Α I would. Would you believe it would justify a 15 16 billing entry? 17 It could, yeah. Α MR. DIEMER: That's all I have. 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you, sir. You 19 20 may step down. 21 22 (Witness excused.) 23 24 THE COURT: I will let Mr. Hanlon, you may

make any argument you wish to make, whether it's summarizing his testimony, whether it's arguing why he should not be held in contempt, to cover both your brief and the testimony that we've heard here today.

MR. HANLON: Judge, I'll incorporate all the arguments that are made within the body of the brief, but I think when it comes to Mr. Gasser's testimony here today, one thing is clear, that Mr. Gasser has articulated a certain degree of confusion about what the obligations that he had and didn't have.

With respect to the documents themselves, and in particular Union Exhibit
No. 7, Mr. Gasser testified that it's not my correct email address, and although there's a billing entry on there, what my opposing counsel failed to do is exclude any other possible communications that they put the correct email address on.

So and he also indicated in his testimony that he had no recollection of this particular document, where Local 150 is making a proposition, a proposal to in essence change the

terms of the agreement, not necessarily the enforcement of the agreement, and this of course came a year and-a-half before the entry of the Court's order.

THE COURT: So I'm not sure why any of that's relevant if it came a year and-a-half before my order.

MR. HANLON: Well the application of the order says to comply with Section 8 of the arbitration provision, and if you look at the language of the arbitration provision, it doesn't tell Mr. Gasser what it is that he's supposed to do.

And if he's supposed to do something and the Court is going to hold him in contempt for not doing it, if it's that he's to participate in arbitration, does that mean appear at the arbitration or follow the procedure in the collective bargaining agreement.

If the procedure articulated within the response in showing cause why he should not be held in contempt, there is no clearcut procedures. And since there was just a new panel that was set forth by the FMCS, any reasonable

person looking at the combination of the FMCS document and looking at what is it that they're supposed to do next, there isn't anything for him to do. And if we believe that the testimony of Mr. Gasser, which is uncontroverted in this case here with respect to his understanding of what was his obligation underneath the various provisions, then he hasn't willfully and contumaciously violated the Court's order.

THE COURT: Let me interrupt you. I forgot

THE COURT: Let me interrupt you. I forgot to ask you, do you have any other witnesses that you wanted to call?

MR. HANLON: No, Judge.

THE COURT: And I forgot Local 150, were there any witnesses that you wish to call?

MR. DIEMER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I apologize, I should have done that before. All right. The testimony is now closed. Thank you.

MR. HANLON: I believe that goes under the no blood, no foul rule.

THE COURT: Hopefully.

MR. HANLON: So with respect to what it is he's supposed to do, if the Court were to hold

him in contempt, the civil contempt requires the keys to his own freedom by doing some thing. And since this Court has never articulated to Mr. Gasser what that one thing it is that he's supposed to do, he wouldn't be in a position to purge the contempt.

So it would seem to me that the ambiguity that's created in the arbitration provision is an area that's left to the arbitrator ultimately to determine. I know we have to get to an arbitrator at that point in time, and this is somewhat of a nuance of law, but you know there is a procedure by which Local 150 can, you know, advance this to arbitration. They chose not to do that. They could have.

THE COURT: Well, what is that?

MR. HANLON: They could have struck a panel, and then said okay, we've struck this panel, you choose, you make the next step. Because they --

THE COURT: Then we wouldn't be here if they had done that?

MR. HANLON: No, I don't think we -- I think that we'd have to look at it from the perspective

that since the arbitration provision doesn't say who has to do what and when, then it isn't all on Mr. Gasser. You know, if the arbitration provision contained in that agreement said you will strike the first one, the Union will strike the second, and then take alternating turns, it doesn't say that. It says the parties, being the Road District and the Union, are going to take or alternate turns in striking panels and so forth.

Because it doesn't say who does what when, to hold my client in contempt for his noncompliance would be to hold him in contempt for something that wasn't ordered, and that's the fundamental problem, Judge. And if the keys to his freedom are the purging of the contempt by doing some act, that act should have been articulated with some degree of specificity in the court order.

And so I would ask that the Court not hold Mr. Gasser in contempt and allow the respective parties to proceed pursuant to the terms, which may require declaratory relief as to what that means. But the Union's never sought declaratory relief as to what that provision

means, and they haven't filed a request for arbitration as to what that arbitration provision means. It seems a little confusing that you have this ambiguous term, but the whole issue that we've been before this Court on is whether or not the power of that prior Highway Commissioner allowed the execution and entry into a valid contract. If the contract is valid, that's great, but the question then becomes if there is an ambiguity in it, how do you resolve the ambiguity, and this Court's never approached that issue.

THE COURT: Thank you. Anything Local 150 wants to say in response?

MR. DIEMER: We do. We have things to say. Your Honor, there is no ambiguity. This case started out with three discharged employees that were looking for their day in court.

We have been round and round the barn on this one. We filed arbitration demands, we moved for FMCS panels, we moved to compel arbitration. The relief we sought in the motion to compel arbitration couldn't have been clearer. The prayer for relief, we asked for a ruling in

favor of Local 150 on the motion -- excuse me.

"Plaintiffs respectfully request the Court enter an order for the parties to participate in the contractual arbitration selection process, take all other contractual steps to progress each pending grievance to arbitration." It's plain as day.

The Court ruled from the bench, followed up with a written order. The arbitration provision provides steps to get to the FMCS panels. Once the FMCS panels are released from the agency, the contract imposes an obligation on the parties to strike individual names from an individual panel until you arrive at one last name. It's not that complicated.

Smith and Jones enter into a contract to sell a horse for \$100. Smith shows up with his \$100 to gets his horse. It's not a defense to say you lose because we never put a time on it, the day of the transaction. Any question about the timeliness is decided by the Court's issuance of the order to compel.

The Court compelled the parties to arbitration, plain as day. The next step was to

strike arbitrators. We've taken efforts that are shown in the record.

You know, we had some discussion about this July 14 email from Mr. Hanlon, where I proposed an order to strike arbitrators. I will tell the Court, I'll make this public representation, I know because we have dealings with Mr. Hanlon, at one point his email address changed. We received notice from his office and changed things accordingly.

In any event, the July 14 email from me to Mr. Hanlon is reflected in his billing records. I can advise the Court that Mr. Hanlon and I do not communicate all that often, so there is not an onslaught of emails to pick through. The billing record is reflected — or the excuse me, the email is reflected in his billing record. More importantly it's memorialized in our motion to compel, Paragraph 9, where I reference the July 14 email that I sent to Mr. Hanlon proposing a system for striking, selecting — striking and selecting arbitrators.

THE COURT: So what about his position that the order that I entered says motion -- I think

what it says is, "The motion to compel arbitration is granted", period.

MR. DIEMER: So a couple things. First, the Court ruled from the bench, and the words from the Court were, "So I do think that the Illinois Uniform Arbitration Act does compel me to order the parties to arbitrate the dispute under the CBA pursuant to the grievance procedure set forth in the CBA."

The order reflected that all questions go back to the CBA. I mean, you're ordering the parties to arbitrate the dispute. The next step to be performed is arbitrator selection. I mean, that's the order. Smith and Jones enter into the contract to sell the horse, there's a dispute, they go before the judge. The judge says perform on the contract, I mean, now. We're ready to move forward.

I mean, this language is in -- we have 3,000 public sector employees. This language is in all our public sector contracts. Nobody ever has had a problem with understanding that once the panels arrive, the parties engage in the striking process. I mean that's the way

the game is played, as it were.

Again, we're all under the obligation of a duty to bargain and act in good faith with one another in the labor relations realm. I don't see it any other way to read the order other than your order -- we were ordered to arbitrate the grievances pursuant to the CBA. The next step to be performed under the CBA is to strike arbitrators from the panels.

anybody that sat through this is in the initial document Mr. Hanlon filed, he says on October 17th for the first time FMCS served selection/striking of arbitrators upon the Road District. Well we heard from Mr. Gasser, he had been in receipt of all the panels from the FMCS and forwarded them on to his counsel. I mean, that's -- that's not an accurate statement that bolstered their entire opening brief.

On October 2nd, I sent a letter after the -- it's in our brief -- after the order is entered, I sent a letter to Mr. Hanlon, all the FMCS numbers, asking him to contact me so we can finalize the arbitration selection process.

This is how this works. Lawyers do this all the time. The next step once you get the panel is to strike arbitrators. That's how this game is played, and that's where we're at.

So your order to compel arbitration can't be -- there's two interpretations, I suppose, of the order. No. 1, our position is you read it in the context not only of the relief requested in the case, you also need to read it in light of the extraordinary remedy that he sought insofar as the TRO.

The TRO was designed to stop FMCS.

I mean, the June 9 allegation in the TRO is they demanded arbitration, we expect panels in 30 days, we're coming to the court to stop this process now. I mean, so this is a bit of a ruse, respectfully. This has always been about FMCS panels and picking arbitrators, because that's the next step.

So I mean again we point out in our brief, if it was so easy that all you had to do was get an arbitration demand and sit on an FMCS panel until your term ran out, nobody would arbitrate a case in the State of Illinois. I

mean that's their position, and if that's their position, then there was no need for the TRO, there was no need for the hundred of thousands of dollars in litigation costs.

If their interpretation of the contract is correct, the Union demands arbitration, gets a panel from the FMCS, and the public body doesn't have to do anything. And if the Court compels them to arbitrate, the answer is, sorry, I've got no obligation on the contract. That's just not, respectfully, the way that the contract — again common contract language across the state, this is the way the game is played, and that can't be the answer.

Alternatively, we came in with a motion to compel. The specific relief we sought was to engage in the next step of the arbitration selection process. Our motion was granted in its entirety without limitation. Counsel didn't even file a brief on the motion to compel. All these arguments about what this language meant arguably are waived. The motion sought that relief, the motion was granted in its entirety, and we believe that that order reflects the next step in

the process is to arbitrate these grievances. I mean, essentially those are the two interpretations you're faced with.

Does the order have any effect, as we say in our brief? I mean their position is it can neither be enforced or violated. It just is. Our position is this has been real life litigation with real ammunition about real lives that we're seeking to have their claims brought before neutral arbitrators.

Everybody knew the FMCS panels were imminent when they filed their TRO. They set it June 9. We expect these panels in 30 days. We want to shut this whole process down, that's what it was all about.

In this brief they say we never heard anything from FMCS until October 2017, and Mr. Gasser testified credibly on the stand that he got all the notices and just forwarded it on to his counsel.

MR. HANLON: Final word, your Honor?

MR. DIEMER: I don't know that I'm finished.

23 With respect to the -- just so the record is

24 clear, and we talked about this previously, the

panels that were generated in October were at my direction. When I had asked my secretary in preparing this letter to Mr. Hanlon to get the proper FMCS case numbers, my secretary contacted FMCS, and they just regenerated the FMCS forms. They're the same panels. Mr. Gasser was the contact information from the employer.

So the only thing that, I mean again, I characterized that line of testimony as a ruse earlier. That whole event in October, there was nothing nefarious, there was nothing that FMCS was doing under my direction. I think the record is clear these FMCS panels existed in the record. They're referenced in various places since June of 2017.

That event that, you know, our opponents tried to capitalize on was nothing more than a ministerial act to just confirm that the FMCS case numbers matched the individual grievances, and FMCS just kicked those files back to the parties. So that was nothing more than a ministerial act, doesn't demonstrate anything nefarious.

Like I said, these FMCS panels have

been known entities. They generated and started the litigation back in June of 2017 when they alleged that the panels were pending and would be available in 30 days. The case numbers have been in the record for months now. So that October event is a red herring, we would respectfully suggest.

Again, we don't believe there's any ambiguity in the record, and unfortunately we believe that the record is clear that Mr. Gasser has willfully -- I mean again as your Honor observed, they've used attorney-client privilege as a weapon and a sword here.

We believe the order is clear. We don't believe -- we believe the violation is willful and contumacious, and we believe that contempt is appropriate in these circumstances. The employees want to appear before an arbitrator to have their cases heard. That's what this is about. That's what the case has always been about.

THE COURT: And what about the issue that Mr. Hanlon raises in his brief is that if they're held in contempt, you know, because they want the

opportunity to take this issue up on the appeal, it's not contumacious or disrespectful of the Court for them to try to pursue the appeal, and so the Court should be taking that into account in whatever purge or whatever terms it includes.

MR. DIEMER: I think there was a way to do that. Mr. Hanlon filed a notice of appeal I believe in July, or billed for it. Started working on it. I think there was a way to do it. I mean the stay right now did not issue.

THE COURT: Well presumably his appeal after today is ripe, and his prior notice of appeal becomes -- I'm using the wrong word, but active again. So basically as soon as we write an order today that I sign, he has a valid notice of appeal.

MR. DIEMER: I think that frankly there was a way to -- I mean they had remedies available under 304(a). I think that there was a way to preserve that issue.

THE COURT: Well in his defense, I think he asked for 304(a) language when I granted the motion to dismiss.

MR. DIEMER: I believe we asked for it and

they objected to that.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Anything else you'd like to say?

MR. DIEMER: I think just that again going back to -- this letter goes out in October to Mr. Hanlon, contact me for arbitrator selection. Again good faith and fair dealing is I guess the watch words in labor relations land. There was a way to handle this.

I suppose that if I got a phone call saying we're going to file a notice of appeal and move -- request a stay, that's one way to handle it. Instead it was crickets. We heard nothing, and then end up litigating this case, and again the first move out of the gate is a brief that counsel for Mr. Gasser filed saying that they don't know what we're talking about, they never heard of FMCS panels. First time they heard of them was October 17, 2018, which flies in the face of direct testimony from Mr. Gasser that he received all these.

I mean the whole thing has been underhanded. I mean there's a way -- we play the game between the 40's most of us. There's a way

to play this game. I mean, to say that look, I get you, you know, we lost the battle, we're going to take this shot on appeal, we're going to move for stay. We have that conversation, there's a way to do it then. Instead we spend the next three months litigating this question, and spend tremendous resources filing these briefs, demonstrating that these objectively false statements are indeed false, and now we go to a hearing on this. This was all unnecessary.

I think that speaks -- I mean, I think that that's the final prong of this test, the willful and -- I always mess up the word. Contumacious. It's not used in my regular day-to-day, but this I think speaks directly to this. We spent three months on this issue when a simple phone call or a letter at least puts this on ice for awhile, and here we are. The stay doesn't issue, and we think the contempt, under these extremely novel and extremely rare circumstances, we think the order of indirect civil contempt is appropriate.

THE COURT: Mr. Hanlon, I will give you the last word, and in fact if your client wishes to

make any sort of statement in mitigation, I will allow him to do that. That's totally his call and your call.

MR. HANLON: Yes, your Honor. First I want to address a couple of things that Mr. Diemer articulated, that included things that were not based on facts in the evidence in this case.

For instance, he articulated the way that the game, he referred to it as the game is played, is under a particular way that he decides it ought to happen. That he can send to me an email, and therefore that becomes a binding part of the game. Not in the court order, not in the court record.

And he refers to 3,000 public service sector employees. I didn't hear any evidence about any 3,000 public sector employees in this hearing today.

He took the liberty to talk about how this last three months would be unnecessary, we could have put them on ice. Judge, the day that they filed that Petition for Rule to Show Cause, the day that I appeared in this court, I articulated to them that there was an intention

to file the notice of appeal. You were present. I asked for 304(a) language. It wasn't the Union who asked for it, because it wouldn't make any sense for the Union to ask for 304(a) language. Judge, we want 304(a) language, so we don't get an order? I mean no way would we make that argument.

THE COURT: So your recollection is that -MR. HANLON: That I made the request for
304(a) language. And not only did I make the
request for 304(a) language, we had a discussion
with respect to whether or not that would muddy
up the waters.

THE COURT: That was my recollection. I don't have transcript in front of me.

MR. HANLON: And clearly right now, you know, in November, we filed a notice of appeal. So if all this was unnecessary, when they got the notice of appeal, using Mr. Diemer's logic that this wouldn't have been willful and contumacious if I just simply called him and told him that we intended to appeal, the notice of appeal by itself should be sufficient, ample evidence to Mr. Diemer that we intended to take it on appeal.

I articulated many, many months ago throughout this case that both parties approached this case, and it was very unlikely this would end without an appeal. If the Road District prevailed here below it would be up on appeal.

Now I've been dealing with Mr. Diemer for at least 13 years now, And in that 13-year period of time, I have yet to see a case that Local 150 has lost that they didn't file an appeal. We've been in appeals opposing each other previously.

So I think it's disingenuous to articulate to this Court the whole thing would have been put on ice but for Mr. Gasser's willful and contumacious behavior. It's not willful, it's not contumacious. He came to court and asked for a remedy. He didn't get his remedy. He's asking the Appellate Court for that remedy.

The Court says okay, we're not going to stay remedy that he seeks. That's not contumacious behavior, Judge. It's respectful behavior. He's recognizing that he's lost, that we need to proceed --

THE COURT: So let me ask you. So on

November 13, I think that was the date that the Rule to Show Cause issued, why was nothing done from that date forward?

MR. HANLON: What was he supposed to do is the response, because if you look at the documents, what is his obligation? And frankly as I look at the documents I don't know if he's -- what he's supposed to do.

The language used by my opposing counsel and in the document refers to the parties conduct, not Mr. Gasser's conduct. And so if I look at it and I say all right, if that language that's contained in there deals with the procedure of arbitration, the contract document, the collective bargaining agreement that this is all about, specifically prohibits anyone other than the arbitrator to determine procedural arbitrability.

I don't use that in my every day language either, Judge, but if the parties came to an agreement that if they had a dispute over the procedure of arbitration, and that it would be excluded from the power of this Court to determine, and it would be left to the province

of an arbitrator, that's an issue of -- that is outside. There's other procedures that Mr. Diemer can pursue under labor law that are outside of the judicial proceeding that's here present before the Court.

The point is is that we are here on whether or not Mr. Gasser was willfully and contumaciously violating your order, and as I posed the question, how would he get the keys to his freedom? What would he do to get the keys to his freedom if he was found in contempt.

THE COURT: Well I'd have to fashion something in the order, other than -- more specific than what I fashioned in the September 20th order, obviously.

MR. HANLON: Sure, Judge. And if you had to fashion that more specifically as the basis for keys to his freedom, then he couldn't have willfully violated your order to begin with.

THE COURT: How does Local 150 get to enforce? So I enter an order that says you have to go to arbitration. I accept your argument and your client -- that your client's position is that, you know, they didn't understand what they

were supposed to do, so he gets a pass. I mean, how does that ever get enforced so that he has to do something, whether it's strike an arbitrator --

MR. HANLON: He could go to the Illinois
Labor Relations Board, he could go to the
National Labor Relations Board.

THE COURT: No, no, but what --

MR. HANLON: The Union can.

MR. DIEMER: More proceedings.

MR. HANLON: Well unfortunately --

THE COURT: So, no, expound on that. So they would have to go say we've got a court order saying they've got to go arbitrate and --

MR. HANLON: Ordinarily, if there's a collective bargaining agreement that requires arbitration and the parties can't agree as to the arbitration provisions within the body of the agreement, that's the remedy to go through. They never sought the remedy. And if the parties don't agree to the language that's contained in there, but that's not what's before the Court. What's before the Court is did Andrew Gasser willfully and contumaciously not comply with the

court order. Was the order specific enough to tell him what it was that he had to do.

.9

THE COURT: So how do they ever get to a point -- if I accept your argument, how do they ever get to the point where they have an enforceable order, because the order I wrote is not specific enough to enforce. So now they're basically -- we've got an order saying we've got to arbitrate, but we've got no way to enforce it because it's too vague.

MR. HANLON: That would be wrong, Judge.

They would have to employ -- because I would no longer have the ability to say this dispute on a contract is pending, and if I couldn't say that that dispute is pending, then they could use the tools that are available to them to take it through the steps of arbitration through the normal, ordinary process you have with a valid contract.

What they're asking you to do is forget everything that we put in the actual document, because remember my client didn't write that contract, that collective bargaining agreement. He didn't write that. According to

Local 150 they wrote it with Mr. Miller.

THE COURT: No, all I'm trying to figure out is what is the direction that he needs in order to understand my court order that is too vague on September 20th.

MR. HANLON: What it is that he's supposed to do? And as I'm sitting here, and I ask myself what is Andrew Gasser supposed to do to comply with your court order.

Your court order says the motion to compel is granted. We look at the prayer for relief. The prayer for relief says, you know, compel him to arbitrate. It doesn't say have Andrew Gasser do something within a specified period of time, you know. And unfortunately, Judge --

THE COURT: So do I have to amend my order of September 20th to provide that?

MR. HANLON: You might. If the Court were to amend its order, certainly then he can't be held in contempt, and then the order would be ripe for appeal as well, because it would end the proceedings.

THE COURT: Can I amend my order sua sponte

to that effect?

MR. HANLON: You're the judge.

THE COURT: That doesn't mean as much as you

think it means.

MR. HANLON: Judge, if you're asking me if the legal argument would be that, you know, can a judge do that, I've not specifically researched that.

THE COURT: No, I know.

MR. HANLON: But it would seem to me that the issues that were before the Court, if the Court did -

THE COURT: Here's my concern. If I rule in your client's favor, they have to get — they either have to get back in front of me in some fashion to say well Judge, if that wasn't specific enough, now we need you to be more specific to articulate, so that there's no confusion about what Mr. Gasser needs to do.

So they have to what, file a motion to amend my prior order? I guess it would still be within 30 days, so I could still have jurisdiction to amend it, I would think. Anyway, I'm talking out loud, because I'm curious to know

what your thoughts are.

MR. HANLON: I always find it's a dangerous thing for me to start articulating what either my opposing counsel should do or what the Court ought to do, but I think that in this particular case it's going -- I'm going to make an exception to that.

It would seem to me that justice, which is the whole point of being here, is that if the Court agrees that there's some ambiguity in what it is that Mr. Gasser was to do or not to do, then the Court should articulate that in a fair and equitable way. And then we'd have a final order on all issues today, and my opposing counsel, if the order wasn't complied with, would then be in a position to, you know, enforce the Court's order --

THE COURT: Your position as we sit here today is there's no final and appealable order that's been entered yet, so I could amend that order of September 20th.

MR. HANLON: I believe that that is correct, because these proceedings actually kicked out the final order, which was the argument made by

Local 150, and the judicial estoppel rule would apply to them as well, and so yes, I believe that that would be the case.

I will inform the Court, I received a telephone call from a Second District Appellate Court yesterday at my office which articulated that they were going to issue an order today setting forth a schedule for the respective parties. And having, you know, heard that, I don't want to tread lightly on the power of the Appellate Court, but I know that if an order is going to come out, the most practical thing for all the parties would be to get to a final order today, Judge.

And frankly, I think that that would be a just way. If we take Mr. Diemer at his word that, you know, advance notice of the intent to appeal, you know, would be enough for him to, and I quote, use the term ice this idea, I think that would be just.

THE COURT: I just sort of floated that idea without talking -- without hearing from Local 150 on it, so I do want to hear. I understand your position is I should hold him in contempt today.

I'm also concerned as to whether I still have continuing jurisdiction to amend my order of September 20th, if the only issue remaining for hearing today was attorneys' fees petitions, motion to stay and a motion for contempt.

MR. HANLON: Judge, would it be possible I could talk with Mr. Diemer and come to an agreement?

MR. DIEMER: I've got panels right now. They can strike the panels right now.

THE COURT: Do you want to have a conversation about whether we can enter something in an agreed order where there would be no ambiguity as to the next steps that would be taken?

MR. DIEMER: Like I said, we've got panels here. We could strike them all and walk out of the door with arbitrators.

MR. HANLON: Judge, I'm not prepared as I sit here today to strike --

THE COURT: All right. Anything else? I'll give Local 150 a chance to respond to my comment about amending the September 20th order.

MR. DIEMER: Your Honor, because I'm in a 1 2 deal making mood, we've got eight panels. 3 Mr. Gasser can pick an arbitrator off of each 4 We'll dispense with striking. He can panel. 5 pick whatever arbitrator he wants off of any 6 panels. 7 Today or within "x" days? THE COURT: 8 MR. DIEMER: Today. 9 Do you want to have a THE COURT: 10 conversation for a few minutes to see if you can 11 agree? 12 MR. HANLON: Let me go outside with my 13 client, Judge. We still haven't gotten to the 14 point where Mr. Gasser could address the Court as 15 well. And I want to be clear here. 16 talking about -- I'm sorry, Mr. Diemer said he 17 was in a deal striking mode, and --18 THE COURT: No, no, it's just a matter -- I 19 will rule. I want to give you a chance to have a 20 conversation if you want to. 21 MR. HANLON: Sure. 22 THE COURT: All right.

23

24

(Off the record at 4:46.)

(On the record at 4:52.)

MR. HANLON: Judge, we would have no objection if the Court wished to modify its prior order giving specific instruction to something he believes is reasonable and just. My client intends to follow such order, and you know, I think that we would just simply ask for some reasonable period of time in order to accomplish whatever it is that the Court would modify that order for.

THE COURT: Okay. Local 150, anything you wanted to add?

MR. PASZTA: Your Honor, we think it's clear that Mr. Gasser should be held in contempt today. There's no reason to go back and modify the order. There was a clear order. I'm not going to rehash Mr. Diemer's closing, but we think that we more than met our burden.

If you hold Mr. Gasser in contempt today, then specific instructions can be issued as a penalty. In other words, we put the grievances in numeric order, they take the first strike, and then pursuant to contract language we

go down the list --

THE COURT: There's nothing punitive about indirect civil contempt. It's all coercive. So there's no penalty, it's simply a coercive tool of the Court.

MR. PASZTA: Right. So the coercion would insist that or would provide that Mr. Gasser put the grievances in numeric order. He can take the first strike on that one, we'll rotate all the way down. Each party has 24 hours to make the strike. We'll be done in eight business days with every list, and then we'll proceed to arbitration, and then there's no penalty beyond that, assuming that's accomplished.

THE COURT: Say that again what you're -MR. PASZTA: We can put the pending
grievances in numeric order by FMCS number or
however we do it numerically. We will give
Mr. Gasser the first strike on the first numeric
FMCS number, we'll take the next one, and we'll
rotate there forward, and we each have 24 hours
to make the selection on each panel, and we will
be done in eight days.

THE COURT: Do one a day you're saying?

Page 151 1 MR. PASZTA: Correct. 2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 Judge, can I address that? MR. HANLON: THE COURT: Yes. I'm okay with the general 5 MR. HANLON: proposition that they have, but one day. I 6 7 haven't even done any research on any of these arbitrators. Normally we take a lot of time --8 9 THE COURT: I understand. 10 MR. HANLON: But Mr. Gasser would like to 11 address the Court. 12 THE COURT: Mr. Gasser? MR. GASSER: Your Honor, I'm a 20-year Air 13 Force officer, and if there's one thing I've 14 15 learned over this 20 years is that I know how to 16 follow orders when I understand them. 17 I'm sorry that I didn't get the whole gist of it, but I do understand the 1.8 19 seriousness of this situation and the gravity of 20 it all, and how it impacts not only me, but our 21 Township and our Road District, and how we can 22 accomplish that mission. 23 Never during this entire process

have I willfully or gone out of my way to say I'm

24

not going to comply with something legally. I am very respectful of our judicial system. It's something that I fought for for 20 years. I want to be able to have this opportunity to actually follow the order in the way that it was meant to be followed, and under no circumstances did I ever try to purposefully agree or -- what's the right word here. Did I purposefully try to trip up the opposing side or do anything like that. And sir, that's all I've got.

THE COURT: Thank you, sir. I appreciate your statement in mitigation. All right. I'm not pleased that the order that I entered on September 20 hasn't been followed. It appears there's been no steps to try to participate in the arbitration process or re-engage in it. Even after the Rule to Show Cause there was none.

I understand to a large extent you're relying on your attorney, but ultimately these orders were directed to you, and you have -- the duty and obligation ultimately falls on you to be in compliance or not compliance with them.

That said, I do look at the

September 20 order, and it is not as precise or specific as in retrospect it should have been, in my opinion. And so I've listened to your testimony under direct and cross-examination as well as your statement. I don't find your conduct to be contumacious or disrespectful to the Court. So for those reasons, I am not going to -- I'm denying the Petition for Indirect Civil Contempt.

I am concerned, however, that now that we've had this whole process and this hearing, and unless the Appellate Court agrees to stay the enforcement of the orders that I previously entered, there needs to be something taking place to move forward to arbitration.

So sounds to me like by agreement of the parties I can amend the September 20, 2018 order to more specifically provide that you are to participate; that not only are you compelled to arbitrate, but you are to participate in the arbitration process, the first step of which is to strike on an alternating basis the -- I'll put it this way. That you are to -- I want to make sure I have the right language. Maybe the labor

attorneys who are in this room who are much more fluent than I can draft the language. To strike arbitrators and select panels for each of the pending arbitration proceedings within seven days from today's date.

So that whatever action you need to take -- help me understand how this works.

There's seven potential arbitrators, and then there's two -- each side gets two alternating strikes generally until you're left with three, right?

MR. DIEMER: No.

THE COURT: No.

MR. PASZTA: So taking each one separately makes it easier to understand. Whoever strikes first, they strike. As you rotate, there's going to be one name left.

THE COURT: Oh, so there's only one left.

MR. PASZTA: That's the arbitrator, and the first strike rotates by panel. So we've already offered to give them the first strike on the first panel, which would effectively leave their last arbitrator the choice. The next would be ours, the next would be theirs, and so on.

THE COURT: But you've only stricken one from each --

MR. PASZTA: We haven't done any.

THE COURT: You have not stricken any.

MR. PASZTA: Right.

THE COURT: All right. Then what I will say is that Mr. Gasser, you will strike -- you will make your first strike on each of the panels of the arbitrators within seven days.

MR. HANLON: Judge, there's different panels, and so --

THE COURT: Right. I was going to have them all -- all pending panels, how much time is a reasonable period of time to strike those?

MR. HANLON: Judge, I'd say the earliest we could get them all done would be 21 days.

THE COURT: I'm okay with that. So by -you will have participated in the process of
striking arbitrators such that within 21 days we
will have selected an arbitrator for all pending
arbitrations.

MR. DIEMER: Do we need to identify the FMCS numbers for the record, so there is no ambiguity as to what we're talking about?

MR. HANLON: Judge, they're in the exhibits that were admitted into evidence.

THE COURT: I'm okay with --

MR. PASZTA: Do you want to use Exhibit 11, and we'll just go in order? You guys take the first one, we'll take the second, so you've got odds, we've got evens?

MR. HANLON: That's fine.

MR. PASZTA: Going down three numbers?

MR. HANLON: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: All right. Is there any objection to my proposed amendment of the September 20, 2018 order?

MR. HANLON: Well actually at this point in time I believe the jurisdictional enforcement divested from you, Judge.

THE COURT: That's what my concern was, that

I wasn't sure if the pendency of the attorneys'

fees issue --

MR. HANLON: If you had amended your order before ruling on the contempt, I believe that --

THE COURT: Well I haven't entered any orders yet.

24 MR. HANLON: I guess --

THE COURT: The only question is is it already a final and appealable decision, and I lost jurisdiction of this order when I ruled on the motion for judgment on the pleadings on the FOIA case?

MR. HANLON: I don't believe that you lost jurisdiction at that time, Judge, because --

THE COURT: All right.

MR. HANLON: In the 30-day period of time they filed their motion on the contempt.

THE COURT: All right. So I guess I'll rephrase my question. Is there any objection to me amending the September 20, 2018 order in the fashion I just outlined?

MR. HANLON: Judge, you present me with a difficult legal question, and I don't want to be disrespectful to the Court.

THE COURT: You're not being disrespectful.

It's an interesting issue. Well this is what I'm doing. To the extent I can't, if it turns out

I've lost jurisdiction, I've lost jurisdiction.

But otherwise I'm concerned that obviously Local

150 has an order compelling arbitration, but they really don't have a mechanism to enforce it.

1 So I'm not seeking your consent.

I'm amending the September 20, 2018 order to more specifically provide that you are to complete the selection of the arbitrators in the pending proceedings within 21 days, and then what is the next step after that?

MR. DIEMER: Once the arbitrator is selected, we notify FMCS. They notify the arbitrator, the arbitrator contacts the parties for hearing dates, a hearing date is selected, and the arbitration is convened.

THE COURT: And to promptly thereafter -- well, what's the language that would be added?

MR. PASZTA: Well that the hearing date has to be within 60 days of the arbitrator being assigned.

MR. DIEMER: That could be problematic, because there's some arbitrators that you're not going to get a hearing date in 60 days.

THE COURT: Well I guess I will leave it at after the selection of the arbitrators, participate in the scheduling and hearing of the arbitration proceeding with reasonable diligence.

So we've also got an order on all

the attorneys' fees, so maybe one of you can start drafting that.

MR. PASZTA: I've already got it mostly done, your Honor. Before we finish up -- I'm glad I did it that way. As I was writing the order for the Ruling on the Petition for Attorneys' Fees under FOIA, I know that we've got the attorneys' fees amount, but we also petitioned for --

THE COURT: Oh, the costs, you're right.

MR. PASZTA: I don't think we ever got to that.

THE COURT: Good point.

MR. HANLON: Judge, I assumed and understood that was part of your order. I mean, that is not something --

THE COURT: No, the costs were also ordered as well.

MR. PASZTA: And then denied in the other petitions, obviously, right?

THE COURT: Correct, correct. Yes, so the costs you had proposed, I looked at them, they were appropriate.

All right. Clerically, I'm going

11019 January 18, 2019

Page 160 to allow you to withdraw the exhibits that were 1 2 heard as part of the Petition on the Rule to Show 3 Cause. The ones that were admitted were Union 1, 4 Union 2, Union 3, Union 4, Union 5, Union 6, 5 Union 7, Union 8, Union 9, Union 10, Union 11. 6 Union 12 and 13 were referred to but were not 7 offered, were not admitted. I'm going to let the 8 Petitioner retain copies of those, withdraw them 9 and retain them. 10 Anything else we need to discuss? 11 All right. Off the record. 12 13 (Which were the proceedings had in said matter on said date.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
COUNTY OF McHENRY)

I hereby certify that I reported in shorthand the Reported Proceedings at the above-entitled cause, and that the foregoing reported proceedings, consisting of pages 3 through 160, inclusive, is a true, correct and complete transcript of my shorthand notes so taken at the time and place aforesaid.

ELIZABETH L. NENNT Certified Shorthand Reporter

CSR License #084-00169

A
ability 142:13 able 41:13 75:7 152:4
above-entitled 1:15
161:7
absence 23:23
absolutely 59:23
60:24 79:16 95:13
100:18 101:2 117:6
accept 39:7 97:23
140:22 142:4
accepting 28:22
accomplish 51:14,22 149:9 151:22
accomplished 150:14 account 133:4
accounted 16:11,13
accuracy 58:11
accurate 54:12 57:15
57:17 58:12,13
127:18
acknowledge 6:9
18:17 66:24
act 3:18 4:1 6:13,24
7:8 9:7,13 14:16
16:8,13,14 17:6
22:16 24:17,24 25:1
25:9 26:5,8,13,16,18
26:23 27:2,13,19,24
28:2,5,9,15 29:12
30:11 31:4,23 32:4
58:18 85:5 87:8,9
122:16,16 126:6
127:3 131:18,22
action 8:18 95:7 154:6
actions 8:5 100:6
active 133:13
activity 107:2
actual 14:23 16:18
18:3 79:9 105:10
142:21
add 149:13
added 158:13
addition 15:18 16:1
34:12
additional 10:21
11:15 12:8 14:19
address 10:12 13:23
38:13 65:16 66:2
68:6,8 99:19 101:14
102:8,11 103:23
113:9 118:16,20
125:8 136:5 148:14
151:3,11

addressed 65:6,22 66:1 addresses 113:11 administrative 21:8 21:20administrator 21:24 admission 11:17 67:5 69:21 82:21 94:6 104:2,4,5,14 admissions 15:1 admit 47:9 64:13 104:14 admitted 47:13 61:24 62:5 64:16 67:8 68:24 69:17,20,22 69:23 72:20 74:3 81:19 82:24 94:11 101:24 102:2 104:6 104:17 110:6 156:2 160:3,7 admitting 61:19 admonished 110:3 advance 121:14 146:17 advanced 41:9 95:19 96:15 advancing 71:24 advise 125:13 advised 55:21 advising 113:10 affect 9:6 affidavit 15:20 82:11 83:5,8 87:13 95:3,5 95:8 affidavits 6:18 15:17 18:11 affirmative 79:6 80:8 80:9 100:14 AFL-CIO 1:6 aforesaid 161:9 afternoon 3:1 45:11 45:12 agasser@algonquin... 68:5 agency 124:12 agent 11:3,7 13:15 71:23 92:9,14 ago 12:13 77:3 138:1 agree 100:11 111:8,9 141:17,21 148:11 152:7 agreed 147:14 agreement 23:24 28:8

33:20 34:4 41:15

44:13,15 49:2 51:4,5 52:5,12 86:8,18 94:18 95:1 98:20 103:16 112:7 119:1 119:2,19 122:4 139:15,21 141:16,19 142:24 147:9 153:16 agrees 145:10 153:12 ahead 3:5 56:13 air 28:5 151:13 **Algonquin 1:8,9,10** 3:3 4:9 8:15 27:17 28:1 43:21 65:12 92:10,14 93:7,8 98:17 allegation 128:13 alleged 132:3 allocated 22:20 allow 18:2 122:20 136:2 160:1 allowed 36:8 123:7 alternate 122:9 alternately 87:2 88:2 alternating 88:10 122:6 153:22 154:9 alternative 88:9 Alternatively 129:15 ambiguity 121:8 123:10,11,16 132:9 145:10 147:15 155:23 ambiguous 48:16 85:6 91:20 117:1 123:4 amend 143:17,20,24 144:21.23 145:20 147:2 153:17 amended 156:20 amending 147:24 157:13 158:2 amendment 156:12 ammunition 130:8 amount 14:3 17:21 20:1 22:14 23:3 31:17 86:2 115:17 159:8 ample 137:23 and-a-half 77:3 119:3 119:6 Andrew 1:9 3:3 43:1 43:15 82:13 98:18 110:24 141:23 143:8 143:14 announce 3:5 announced 5:12

answer 42:7 49:24 50:6,10,22 52:20 55:12,13 56:10 60:2 60:3 76:6 78:10 89:22 90:7.8.13.16 90:24 91:22 95:17 102:15 105:20,22 106:2 110:11 129:9 129:14 answered 49:23 62:21 answering 50:5 78:23 answers 55:21 anticipate 36:3 anxious 37:14 anybody 14:6 20:24 75:16 77:8 93:24 100:1 127:11 anytime 80:15 Anyway 144:23 apologize 32:10 53:20 56:6 82:10 114:11 120:17 appeal 4:11 33:3 35:21 36:7 40:16,19 41:6.17 42:4 86:24 105:23 133:1,3,7,11 133:12,16 134:12 135:3 137:1,17,19 137:22,22,24 138:4 138:5,10 143:22 146:18 appealable 145:19 157:2 appealed 95:14 105:18 appeals 16:22 138:10 appear 18:13,17 21:14 28:5 98:15 119:17 132:18 appearance 21:6,13 22:3,6,8 69:6 appearances 2:1 20:17,21 appeared 2:5,8 19:9 69:10 136:23 appearing 69:13 appears 20:1,20 22:3 22:9 152:14 appellant 40:18,19 appellate 29:9 34:11 34:24 39:13 40:9,12 41:5 138:18 146:5 146:11 153:12 applicable 25:16

application 28:15 119:8 applied 30:22 apply 30:14 146:2 appoint 54:14 appointment 28:23 54:9 appreciate 38:19 82:5 152:11 approach 5:20 16:19 43:9 46:9 53:10 56:14 63:8 64:17 67:11 69:24 72:10 74:4 83:1 88:11 94:12 114:9 approached 123:11 138:2 appropriate 10:3 18:7 31:16 48:18 74:23 95:15 105:19 132:17 135:22 159:23 approve 104:3 approved 114:19 April 98:20 arbitrability 139:18 arbitrate 49:15,18,22 50:8 98:18 126:7,12 127:7 128:24 129:9 130:1 141:14 142:9 143:13 153:20 arbitrated 72:1 98:24 arbitration 34:20 36:3 38:4 39:18 41:3 44:4 48:4,12 50:16 51:3 54:7,9 57:21 58:1 64:9 69:15 71:1,7 72:5 76:5,15 78:13,18 79:15 86:12 94:20 96:8,17 96:21,23 97:2,5,12 97:18 99:3 107:10 112:6 119:10,11,17 119:18 121:8,15 122:1,3 123:2,2,20 123:22,23 124:4,6 124:10,24 126:2,6 127:24 128:5,14,22 129:7,17 139:14,22 140:22 141:17,18 142:17 150:13 152:16 153:15.21 154:4 157:23 158:11 158:23 arbitrations 39:16

49:12 50:12,20 51:10 52:15 71:14 155:21 arbitrator 36:13,15 36:17,23 37:5 57:12 83:10 88:22 89:11 90:4,11 91:6,18 92:11 94:2 111:2,9 121:10,11 126:13 132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20 121:3 122:17 136:6
51:10 52:15 71:14 155:21 arbitrator 36:13,15 36:17,23 37:5 57:12 83:10 88:22 89:11 90:4,11 91:6,18 92:11 94:2 111:2,9 121:10,11 126:13 132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
155:21 arbitrator 36:13,15 36:17,23 37:5 57:12 83:10 88:22 89:11 90:4,11 91:6,18 92:11 94:2 111:2,9 121:10,11 126:13 132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
arbitrator 36:13,15 36:17,23 37:5 57:12 83:10 88:22 89:11 90:4,11 91:6,18 92:11 94:2 111:2,9 121:10,11 126:13 132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
36:17,23 37:5 57:12 83:10 88:22 89:11 90:4,11 91:6,18 92:11 94:2 111:2,9 121:10,11 126:13 132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
36:17,23 37:5 57:12 83:10 88:22 89:11 90:4,11 91:6,18 92:11 94:2 111:2,9 121:10,11 126:13 132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
83:10 88:22 89:11 90:4,11 91:6,18 92:11 94:2 111:2,9 121:10,11 126:13 132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
90:4,11 91:6,18 92:11 94:2 111:2,9 121:10,11 126:13 132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
92:11 94:2 111:2,9 121:10,11 126:13 132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
121:10,11 126:13 132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
132:18 134:6 139:17 140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
140:1 141:4 148:3,5 154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
154:19,23 155:20 158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
158:7,9,9,15 arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
arbitrators 34:20 52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
52:22 70:15 73:8,11 73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
73:23 83:11 87:3 96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
96:1 125:1,5,22 127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
127:9,14 128:3,18 130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
130:10 147:19 151:8 154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
154:3,8 155:9,19 158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
158:4,18,21 area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
area 121:9 arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
arguably 129:21 argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
argue 6:14 argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
argued 17:14 30:21 31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
31:4,7 35:18 arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
arguing 104:10 118:2 argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
argument 6:20,22 7:4 11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
11:4,19 12:9,14 16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
16:17 25:6 28:11 29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
29:22 30:9 32:13 104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
104:11 118:1 137:7 140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
140:22 142:4 144:6 145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
145:24 arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
arguments 24:19 25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
25:13 27:8 28:1,3 31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
31:3 33:6,16 38:20 40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
40:11 51:24 52:1,2 104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
104:18,20 118:7 129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
129:21 arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
arrive 124:14 126:23 arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
arrived 23:1 35:11 article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
article 105:1,13 articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
articulate 138:13 144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
144:18 145:12 articulated 30:18 31:21 118:10 119:20
31:21 118:10 119:20
31:21 118:10 119:20
121.2 122.17 126.6
121,3 122,17 130,0
136:8,24 138:1
1 100,0,201 100.1
146.6
146:6
articulating 29:11
articulating 29:11 145:3
articulating 29:11

62:21 75:11,12 80:17 105:13 113:8
80:17 105:13 113:8 123:24 131:2 133:22
123:24 131:2 133:22
133:24 137:2,3
138:17 asking 40:13 49:24
58:10 61:20 79:8
88:19 89:15 109:20
127:23 138:18
127:23 138:18 142:20 144:5
asks 46:11 75:13
Assembly 28:14
asserts 13:12
assess 26:2
assigned 158:16
associated 93:24
106:3
ASSOCIATES 2:7 assume 36:10
assumed 159:14
assumed 139.14 assuming 19:22 36:12
150:14
ate 102:21
attached 6:17 10:15
82:12 110:20
attempt 80:20
attempting 8:11
attention 101:21
107:14 110:23
attorney 5:22 7:10
11:7,9 13:7 14:4
15:3,14 19:16 28:22
31:6 50:23 60:5 69:4,5,10,12 70:18
79:9,18 80:22 81:7
84:4,15 90:21 91:11
92:17 96:6 99:16,22
100:17,23 101:1
108:11 152:19
attorney's 5:14
attorney-client 75:14
111:16 132:12
attorneys 7:6,15,17,19
7:20 8:4,20,21,23
9:7,15,24 10:2,5
11:14 12:15,18,19 15:11 17:16 18:9,10
18:22,24 19:12,15
20:22 21:16 25:17
26:22 29:16 36:2
154:1
attorneys' 3:17,20 4:1
4:6 6:12 8:7,10,10
9:3,10 11:12 15:15

10.00.10.10.10.
17:7,9,17,20 19:7,11
19:14 20:10,13
22:15 23:2 24:16,23 25:3 26:3,8 27:2,11
31:16,22,24 147:4
156:18 159:1,7,8
auditing 93:12
August 21:5,7,12
114:17
authentication 67:7
authority 14:24 17:2
17:2 31:12
authorized 17:10
45:17
authorizing 51:22
availability 37:6
available 132:4
133:18 142:16
Avakian 28:21,21
29:17
award 17:7 19:11,14
21:6,11,16,22 22:1,5
22:11 25:2 26:5
27:11 31:16,22,23
32:7
awarded 15:24 16:18
23:3 24:23
awarding 19:7 20:10
20:13,15 22:12,15
26:7 27:1
aware 58:16,20,23,24 59:4,14 61:8 70:13 71:1 73:17 81:12
39:4,14 01:8 /0:13
87:7
awhile 135:18
awnii5 133.10
В

B 115:7,11 back 9:10 33:1 35:9 36:7,8 37:16,20,23 38:6,6 39:6 41:11 53:19 66:22 89:17 95:2 97:18 103:8 107:11 126:11 131:20 132:2 134:5 144:15 149:16 bad 26:12 32:3 bargain 127:3 bargaining 28:8 33:19 34:3,8 41:15 44:12 49:2 51:4 52:12 86:8 94:18 95:1 98:20 103:15 112:7 119:19 139:15

141:6,7 body 8:12 12:1 25:6 27:16 39:8 93:5 105:24 118:7 129:8 141:18 bolstered 127:19 bono 17:15 bottle 82:1 bottom 61:7 63:14 bounced 20:18 boundaries 74:24 bounds 75:13 box 113:21 break 5:23 breakfast 102:22 Brian 3:8 brief 5:7 7:2 12:1 30:9 31:13 33:14,16 95:4 118:4,8 127:19,21 128:21 129:20 130:5 130:16 132:23 134:16 briefing 14:7,17 15:8 25:13 briefs 24:18 27:6 37:10 135:8 bring 7:19 96:5 brings 32:9 broad 48:8 brought 25:10 26:11 26:24 29:9 30:11 32:3,5 79:3 130:9 BRYAN 2:4 building 12:6 burden 8:9,10 39:21 149:19 business 11:3,6 13:15 13:17 65:13,19 71:23 117:7 150:11

C calculation 20:9 call 5:4,6 21:23 22:4 42:7,10,15 117:9 120:12,15 134:11 135:17 136:2,3 146:5 called 5:12 60:3 137:21 calls 22:10 51:15 75:18 77:11 83:21 116:1,10 capitalize 131:17

capitalized 106:22

carefully 77:20
cares 11:22
case 3:12 7:5,12,22
8:14 9:3,17,22 10:17
10:21 11:11,14
12:16,22 14:10 15:9
15:12,16 16:7,24
17:3,12,13 19:7
20:18 21:3,10,21 25:14,15,19 28:19
25:14,15,19 28:19
29:3,13,14,18 30:4
35:21 37:11,13,19
40:1,21 45:17,20
46:3,6 47:17 48:14
48:15,19 52:23 53:5
62:4 69:6,12 70:19
74:20 80:6 81:8
83:6 84:10 95:22
100:6 107:16 116:18
116:21 120:5 123:16
128:9,24 131:4,19
132:4,20 134:14
136:7 138:2,3,8
145:6 146:3 157:5
cases 5:12 7:8,8 8:3
9:8,16 10:1 16:1,3
16:24 25:17 31:15
16:24 25:17 31:15 50:13 88:23 132:19
cause 1:16 5:2 35:11
42:12 55:17 61:17
82:13 95:5 97:21
108:21 109:9,10
110.21 126.22 120.2
119:21 136:23 139:2
152:17 160:3 161:7
CBA 47:23 73:14
86:10 95:6 126:8,9
126:11 127:7,8
center 12:19
certain 20:17 86:2
118:10
certainly 6:19 18:22
19:4 42:14 62:5
74:24 143:20
certified 67:21 161:12
certify 161:6
CH 1:7 3:4
chair 42:19
challenge 23:1 24:4
challenged 49:17
challenging 49:5
chance 33:16 60:5
104:19 147:23
148:19
change 8:20 28:11,13
1

30:12,21 109:23 118:24
changed 113:12 125:9 125:10
characterized 131:9 charade 111:12 112:1
charged 19:24 20:2 115:10
charging 17:22 check 13:3
Chiricos 64:10 Chirikos 106:18,19
choice 154:23 choose 121:20
chooses 34:19 chose 49:20 121:15
Circuit 1:3,4 16:16,21 circumstances 29:7
74:19 132:17 135:21 152:6
cite 31:12 cited 10:1 15:1 25:15
38:24 citing 7:5
civil 5:1,8 42:6 121:1 135:22 150:3 153:8 claim 13:4 18:19 28:3
28:9 31:2 32:4 claimed 28:6
claims 25:9 27:13,15 27:24 29:10 30:11
30:14 32:2 39:23 130:9
clause 16:2 clear 11:14 12:17
27:18,21 28:12 29:19 45:1 55:5
118:9 130:24 131:13 132:10,14 148:15
149:14,17 clear-cutted 94:17
clearcut 119:22 clearer 123:23
clearly 30:14 137:16 Clerically 159:24
clerk 21:24 114:13 clerk's 21:8,20
client 7:24 16:4 41:11 56:3,10 74:18 79:10 110:4 122:11 135:24
110:4 122:11 135:24 140:23 142:22 148:13 149:6
148:13 149:6 client's 41:16 67:9 140:23 144:14
140.23 144.14

clients 9:1 16:4 36:5 clip 83:4 close 104:21
closed 120:19 closer 19:17 closing 104:11 149:18 co-counsel 28:20
55:20 Code 28:2 coercion 150:6 coercive 150:3,4
colleague 70:9 collect 7:7 9:20 10:3 collective 28:8 33:19 34:3 41:15 44:12 49:2 51:4 52:12
86:8 94:18 95:1 98:20 103:15 112:6 119:19 139:15 141:16 142:23
collectively 22:21 combination 120:1 come 13:18 18:6 28:5 41:5,11 42:18 92:15
98:23 146:12 147:8 comes 3:1 9:12,13 14:9 19:7 20:9 29:20 109:14 118:8
coming 38:6 128:15 comment 16:20 147:23 Commissioner 1:10 8:16 43:22 65:10
93:15 98:18 123:6 common 129:12 communicate 84:4 125:14
communicated 85:3 communicating 75:2 110:4 communication 69:3
74:18 75:14 79:9 106:5,7 111:19 communications 79:22 83:22 118:19
companies 19:5 compel 70:24 72:16 74:12 75:17 76:1,4 76:15,21,24 79:15 123:21,23 124:22
125:19 126:1,6 128:5 129:16,20 143:11,13 compelled 124:23

153:19 compelling 44:4 48:11 107:10 157:23 compels 129:9 competent 11:9 compile 60:20 complaint 14:11 18:16 complaints 47:16 complete 158:3 161:8 completely 93:1 compliance 152:22,22 complicated 124:15 complied 84:16 145:15 comply 59:17,18,21 60:9 79:7 80:21 81:1,11 83:19 84:12 84:19 86:1 99:13 100:9,15,20 105:1 119:9 141:24 143:8 152:1 component 22:22 components 17:24 concept 87:11 concern 9:21 26:12 144:13 156:17 concerned 147:1 153:10 157:22 concerning 21:20 concerns 8:5 Conciliation 52:23 54:8 64:23 67:2 71:15 72:8 73:13 96:2 107:5 108:3 conclude 23:19 conclusion 36:4 39:20 51:16 concrete 100:16 conditions 33:20 34:7 conduct 30:3,23 33:18 69:8 80:9 139:11,11 153:6 conducted 37:7 conference 22:4 confirm 58:10 131:18 confirmed 84:11 confirming 58:12 confusing 123:3 confusion 118:11 144:19 conjunction 27:11 connection 12:8 14:22 111:23

consent 158:1 consider 24:15 33:2 40:17 consideration 48:18 considered 95:16 105:21 considering 35:15 consisting 161:7 consultation 8:17 contact 88:19 89:11 90:3,10 91:5,18 92:11 94:1 127:23 131:7 134:6 contacted 50:22 106:11,12 131:4 contacts 158:9 contained 15:1 122:4 139:13 141:21 contains 46:19 contempt 5:2,5,9,17 5:17 42:6 76:18,20 82:14 98:12 99:11 99:15 118:3 119:16 119:22 121:1,1,6 122:11,12,15,20 132:17,24 135:19,22 140:11 143:21 146:24 147:6 149:15 149:20 150:3 153:9 156:21 157:10 content 46:5 47:15 75:2 77:21 context 26:5 48:19 69:5 128:8 continue 6:2,3 34:18 35:5,22 91:3,13 continues 35:24 continuing 147:2 contract 40:4,6 49:6 52:6,14 78:23 86:21 87:2 123:8,8 124:12 124:17 126:15,17 129:6,11,12,12 139:14 142:14,19,23 149:24 contracts 126:21 contractual 124:4,5 contumacious 132:16 133:2 135:14 137:20 138:15,16,21 153:6 contumaciously 48:11 120:9 140:8 141:24 convened 158:11 convenience 88:20

	conversation 21:19
	78:4 90:14,19
	115:16 135:4 147:13
-	140.10.20
-	148:10,20
- 1	conversations 21:8
- 1	79:1
- 1	cooperative 36:12
- 1	copies 4:16 70:7 160:8
- 1	copy 4:22,22 5:19 6:7
- 1	23:14,15,16,21 24:5
-	23.14,13,10,21 24.3
	44:3 82:15 89:3
1	94:19
ı	copying 94:18
ı	corner 61:7 63:14
١	correct 3:15 22:18
١	27:22 36:15 47:6,21
١	48:1 49:2,3,7 50:2
١	
١	50:16 51:8 52:13
١	54:19 57:22 58:22
١	59:10,22 60:15 61:5
١	61:14 62:16 63:6
١	64:1,7 65:7 68:7,19
ļ	80:16 84:17,18,20
-	94:2,4 96:17 97:2,5
1	101:12 102:10 103:6
1	
	103:9,13 105:2
	107:24 108:5 109:6
	110:20 114:3,7 118:16,19 129:6
I	118:16,19 129:6
	145:22 151:1 159:21
	159:21 161:8
ı	cost 11:24 13:1 17:19
	18:3,4 35:17
1	costs 8:22 9:8 15:19
1	16:18 18:18 129:4
1	159:10,17,22
١	counsel 3:4 10:23,24
١	16:7,17 23:13 38:7
١	39:15 51:7 55:17
١	69:6 70:7,8 71:16,20
١	
١	72:5 73:6,7,14,21
١	74:13 75:1 77:23
١	78:18 79:5,5,23
١	88:17 91:17 92:5
١	93:23 118:17 127:17
ļ	129:19 130:20
	129:19 130:20 134:16 139:10 145:4
	145:15
١	counseled 100:5
	count 31:11 57:3
	counterclaim 18:16
	26:10,11,14 31:11
	31:15 48:10 53:2,5
	counterclaims 25:12
- 1	VIGHTON CIMINIS MOILE

26:24 31:1,9,10 32:5 47:16 counts 26:13,14 31:9 31:15 35:19 County 1:2,4,17,18 20:19,20 24:8 161:2 couple 66:15 74:12 126:3 136:5 course 17:5 18:22 29:17 78:12 82:6 119:2 court 1:3,17 3:1,2,12 4:6,17,21,24 5:21 6:11 10:8,10 12:16 13:24 15:5 16:21,23 17:2,4,7 18:23 20:17 20:21 21:6,13,20,24 22:3,6,8,18,23 23:6 23:7,8,12,19,20,23 24:7,9,10 25:2,22 26:1,2,4 27:10,18 28:14,16,18 29:9,11 30:7 31:13,18,20 32:17,20 33:1,11,15 34:11,11,16,18,22 35:3,7,14,23 36:1 38:1,14,18 39:13,23 39:24 40:5,9,15,17 41:5 42:15,18 43:10 43:13,14,16,19 44:13,16 45:1,5,22 46:10,14,24 47:9,11 47:13 48:6,9,15,20 49:17,20,24 50:3 51:17,19,23 52:3,17 53:9,11 56:6,13,15 59:1,20 60:5 61:19 61:20,23 62:6,8,22 63:10,16,19 64:14 64:16.18 67:6.8.13 69:1,16,23 70:2 72:12,20,23 74:2,3,5 74:10 75:10,19 76:8 76:10,18 77:12 78:10 79:11 80:2,12 80:15 81:7,19,20,23 82:3,6,15,19,21,24 83:2,16,24 86:23 88:12 89:2,17,22 90:16 91:3,13,21 94:8,10,13 96:20,20 96:22 97:16,23 98:11,12,15,16,23 101:4,24 102:3

103:3 104:1,5,9,17 104:24 107:6.8 108:4 110:10 111:14 111:21 112:2,14,16 113:1,3 114:10 116:3 117:3,19,24 119:5,15 120:10,14 120:17,22,24 121:3 121:17,21 122:18,19 123:5,13,18 124:2,8 124:23 125:6,13,23 126:4,5 128:15 129:9 132:22 133:3 133:4,11,21 134:2 135:23 136:13,14,23 137:8,14 138:13,16 138:18,19,24 139:23 140:5,12,20 141:8 141:12,13,22,23 142:1,3 143:2,4,9,10 143:17,19,24 144:3 144:9,11,12,13 145:4,10,12,18 146:4,6,11,21 147:12,22 148:7,9 148:14,18,22 149:4 149:10,12 150:2,5 150:15,24 151:2,4,9 151:11,12 152:11 153:7,12 154:13,18 155:1,4,6,12,17 156:3,11,17,22 157:1,8,11,17,18 158:12,20 159:10,13 159:17,21 Court's 4:11 44:4 79:7 80:10 83:9 84:20 85:20 86:20 99:13 100:9,15,21 119:4 120:9 123:11 124:22 145:17 courtesy 4:15 Courthouse 1:17 cover 94:20 118:3 create 11:15 created 121:8 creates 11:12 credibly 130:18 crickets 134:13 critical 12:22 cross 29:24 45:8 cross-examination 45:5 46:24 153:4

Crystal 1:23 65:18 68:9 CSR 161:12 **curious 144:24** current 30:19 65:10 currently 30:22 D damages 33:8 dangerous 145:2 DANIEL 1:16 date 6:3 22:6 55:18 66:16 68:17 69:18 69:19 77:5 79:2,2 85:13,15 86:6 139:1 139:3 154:5 158:10 158:14,19 160:13 dated 64:6 66:15 67:17 69:20 98:20 102:20 114:17 115:20 dates 19:22 20:3,23,24 36:13 37:6 44:8.11 66:10,14,17,18 84:22 85:2,6 87:16 158:10 day 27:15 30:23 33:15 34:15,21 35:2,12,23 36:17,18,19 39:5 51:23 72:3 102:22 103:1 115:16 123:18 124:7,20,24 136:21 136:23 139:19 150:24 151:6 day-to-day 69:14 135:15 days 20:6 37:9 39:19 54:10,18,24 55:6,10 76:17 88:21 95:15 97:13 105:20 128:15 130:13 132:4 144:22 148:7 150:11,23 154:4 155:9,16,19 158:5,15,19 deal 31:3 34:9 148:2 148:17 dealing 29:1 134:7 138:6 dealings 125:7 deals 139:13

decided 7:18 8:18

decision 9:15 15:4

124:21

decides 136:11

36:6.21 37:14 38:10 41:4,18 93:15 157:2 declaratory 122:22,24 decline 31:23 32:6,7 default 95:6 defend 25:12 defendant 1:8,13 2:5 2:8 26:10 Defendant's 33:2 defendants 3:11 33:9 defense 15:10 124:19 133:21 degree 118:10 122:17 delayed 35:1 deliver 71:10 delivered 71:11 delivering 71:4 demand 128:22 demanded 49:11 128:14 demanding 97:1 demands 96:8 97:5,12 123:20 129:6 demonstrate 131:22 demonstrated 10:7 15:16 demonstrating 135:8 denial 24:12 denied 8:16 9:17,23 10:23 31:3 52:8 159:19 deny 8:4,18 9:3 24:6 32:7 42:2 denying 42:3 153:8 department 2:3 7:23 8:17 10:13,14 11:6 11:21,22,23 12:18 13:10,15 28:23 93:6 113:22 depending 6:1 38:10 depends 36:15 deposition 48:3 deserve 34:15 designed 128:12 details 24:1 deter 9:4 determine 10:4 121:10 139:17,24 determined 51:6 determines 24:3 determining 26:21 Diemer 2:4 3:8,8 4:23 11:3,8 19:1 20:11 37:4 38:7 45:6,9

crusade 34:10,18

46 1 0 17 47 0 10 14
46:1,9,15 47:2,10,14
48:13,22,23 50:2,7
51:20 53:10,12
55:20 56:14,16 60:7
61:15 62:9 63:1,8,11
64:12,17,19 67:4,11
67:14 68:23 69:3,9
(0.01.04.70.0.70.10
69:21,24 70:3 72:10
72.13 73.1 74.1 4.6
72:13 73:1 74:1,4,6
74:17 75:15,21 76:12 77:14 78:16
71.17 75.15,51
1 76:12 77:14 78:16
79:12 81:17,24
82:10,16,18,20 83:1
83:3,23 84:1 88:11
88:13 89:6 90:1
91:14 92:1,8 94:6,12
71.14 72.1,0 74.0,12
94:14 98:1 103:24
94:14 98:1 103:24 104:3,7,16 105:13
104:3,7,16 105:13
107:7 109:19,22
107.7 103.13,44
110:2,8 111:11
112:1,15,22,24
113:2,4 114:9,11,15
115:7,11 116:4
117:10,18 120:16
102,15 106,2 120,02
123:15 126:3 130:22 133:6,17,24 134:4
133.6 17.24 134.4
155.0,17,24 154.4
136:5 137:24 138:7
140:3 141:10 146:16
147:8,10,17 148:1,8
147:8,10,17 148:1,8
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12
147:8,10,17 148:1,8
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8 direction 131:2,12
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8 direction 131:2,12 143:3
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8 directly 18:19 135:15
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8 directly 18:19 135:15
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8 directly 18:19 135:15 discharge 37:13 38:9
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8 directly 18:19 135:15 discharge 37:13 38:9 38:9
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8 directly 18:19 135:15 discharge 37:13 38:9 38:9
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8 directly 18:19 135:15 discharge 37:13 38:9 38:9 discharged 123:17
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8 directly 18:19 135:15 discharge 37:13 38:9 38:9
147:8,10,17 148:1,8 148:16 154:12 155:22 158:7,17 Diemer's 13:13 15:13 137:19 149:18 different 18:21 20:23 29:7 66:17,18 90:20 102:4 116:11,11,12 155:10 differently 66:15 difficult 18:1,6 157:16 diligence 158:23 direct 17:6 43:6 89:10 90:2,10 91:9 93:24 134:20 153:4 directed 41:10 50:24 51:7 90:6 111:18,20 152:20 directing 91:8 directly 18:19 135:15 discharge 37:13 38:9 38:9 discharged 123:17

disclosing 60:12 discovery 14:18 48:3 **discretion 26:4 27:1** discretionary 26:17 discuss 96:6 160:10 discussed 79:18 89:23 discussing 77:24 78:1 115:19 discussion 78:11,17 82:8 91:2 92:16 125:3 137:11 discussions 14:15 77:21,22 78:14 79:23 disingenuous 138:12 dismiss 133:23 dismissed 84:10 dispense 148:4 dispute 64:10 96:24 97:20 110:24 126:7 126:12.16 139:21 142:13.15 disputing 96:7,9 disrespectful 133:2 153:6 157:17,18 distinction 24:9 distinguish 31:14 distinguishable 17:13 distinguishing 12:20 District 1:8,10 3:4 4:10 33:22 35:4 39:3,12 40:7 41:2 42:2,8 47:24 57:12 57:20 58:1 65:13 73:7,9,14 92:10 93:7 93:8 94:1 117:4 122:8 127:15 138:4 146:5 151:21 divested 156:16 divided 16:10 Docs 63:15,19 document 44:16,17 46:13,16 47:3 51:1,6 51:10,13,22 53:15 53:19 56:19,21 57:1 57:1 61:6 62:11 63:14,22 69:16 70:21 72:17 74:2,13 76:3 102:18,20 103:5 104:10 107:20 118:23 120:2 127:12 139:10,14 142:22 documents 59:2 60:8 60:12,15,18 61:1,2,3

61:11,13 62:15 63:15,18 66:1,5,7 70:12,17 71:18,19 71:22 80:5 84:13 86:1,4 96:3 102:24 106:23 118:13 139:6 139:7 doing 16:5 29:24 39:16 92:18,19 119:16 121:2 122:16 131:12 157:20 dollars 129:4 door 76:13,23 147:19 draft 154:2 drafted 70:6 88:16 drafting 159:2 draw 101:21 107:13 110:22 drop 113:21 dues 7:18,21 8:2,21 duly 42:21 43:3 duplication 22:9 duplicative 21:15 152:21 E

duty 87:9 127:3 earlier 30:5 62:10 87:19 95:24 97:9 102:19 131:10 earliest 88:20 155:15 easier 154:15 easy 128:21 effect 33:24 130:4 144:1 effectively 154:22 efforts 125:1 egregious 30:3 eight 38:8 88:18 92:12 148:2 150:11,23 eight-month 37:2 either 10:4 22:24 24:3 24:13,20 36:2 40:12 139:20 144:15 145:3 eligible 105:22 ELIZABETH 161:11 email 66:9,19,22 67:21 68:4,5 70:4,6 70:10 73:6,10,15 76:16 84:21 85:9,11 85:16,18,19,21,24 101:14 102:8,10,17 103:22 107:11 113:8 113:10,11,13,14

115:7,11,19,24 116:5,8,13,18,21,24 117:5,13 118:16,19 125:4,8,11,17,20 136:12 emails 60:20 101:11 116:11 117:6 125:15 employ 142:12 employed 34:14 employee 17:23 18:4 37:15,16 39:22 41:10 employees 10:18 11:18 33:21 34:6,12 34:15,21 35:2,6,8,15 35:23 38:5,22,22 39:6,10 41:24 47:20 106:8,9 123:17 126:20 132:18 136:16,17 employer 37:13,21,23 38:3 131:7 employer's 105:20,22 employment 33:21 ends 34:10 enforce 52:11 140:21 142:7,9 145:16 157:24 enforceable 142:6 enforced 130:6 141:2 enforcement 4:11 5:24 33:3 40:6 41:18 42:4 46:22 119:2 153:13 156:15 engage 91:5 92:11 94:1 126:23 129:17 Engineer's 8:1 **ENGINEERS 1:6,12** 2:3 enjoin 50:15 52:10 enjoining 51:3 enter 124:2,16 126:15 140:21 147:13 entered 3:19 47:23 59:7 74:10 98:16 107:15 109:9.11 125:24 127:22 145:20 152:13 153:14 156:22

entertain 6:19 24:19

entire 14:5 31:17

127:19 151:23

entirety 129:19,23

160:1

entities 132:1

entitled 6:23 7:7 13:21 17:9,17,18 25:14,21 29:15 30:4 35:9 39:6 51:1 75:6 entity 13:10 25:19 entry 114:24 117:16 118:17 119:3 123:7 equitable 145:13 especially 30:5 37:13 74:19 essence 118:24 essentially 130:2 establishing 95:4 estoppel 146:1 evens 156:7 event 40:19 125:11 131:10,16 132:6 eventually 81:3 everybody 114:2 130:11 evidence 68:24 95:3 103:21,21 107:15 110:6 136:7,17 137:23 156:2 exact 77:4 78:2 exactly 8:13 18:1 61:8 79:1 EXAMINATION 43:6 45:8 101:6 112:21 examined 43:3 example 71:14 113:18 exception 145:6 **exclude** 118:18 excluded 139:23 exclusive 34:8 excuse 5:12 114:24 124:1 125:16 excused 117:22 execution 123:7 exhibit 53:14,21 56:1 56:18 61:16,21 63:13 64:13,21 67:5 67:16 68:24 70:5 72:15 74:1,8 88:15 94:7,16 95:9,10 101:22,22 103:5,16 103:20 105:24 107:14,21 108:9,24 109:16,16,17 110:20 111:4 117:11 118:14 156:4 exhibits 104:19 156:1

exist 9:22,23
existed 131:13
expect 97:13 116:17
128:14 130:13
expecting 53:3,24
54:18,20,24 55:2,6
55:10
expense 11:15 12:24
expenses 10:22 12:8
15:21
experience 18:9 28:24
37:12,23 39:16
experienced 36:1
expertise 18:10
explain 95:12 98:11
98:23
explained 85:8
explanation 30:10,18
explicit 19:24
exposure 35:4
expound 141:12
extensive 18:21 28:24
extent 75:3 152:18
157:20
extra 4:21,22
extraordinary 128:10
extremely 135:20,20
F

face 134:20 Face-to-face 106:15 Facebook 106:11 faced 35:15 130:3 fact 8:20 11:20 15:22 29:11 35:17 39:11 50:15 53:1 60:14 64:2 69:11 87:21 91:11 97:10 135:24 factor 12:20 factors 40:16 facts 29:6 30:12 36:19 136:7 Fahey 71:23 72:2 failed 118:18 failure 98:16 fair 37:3 55:14 134:7 145:13 fairly 18:21 faith 26:12 28:11 29:10,20 30:11,17 32:3 87:9 127:4 134:7 falls 152:21 false 135:9,9

83:6 87:4 106:22 familiarize 53:17 far 15:13 farm 9:18 farming 9:6 fashion 56:9 86:12 140:12,17 144:16 157:14 fashioned 140:14 favor 124:1 144:14 fax 66:21 67:20,24 68:1,3 federal 52:22 54:7 64:23 65:5,21 67:1 71:8,15 72:7 73:12 96:1 107:5 108:3 fee 5:14 8:6 9:1 10:9 16:9 26:15,17 31:14 feed 9:5 feel 6:1,22 fees 3:17,20 4:1,6 5:22 6:12,23 7:7,16 8:7 8:10,10,19 9:3,10,17 9:20,24 10:3,4 11:12 12:10,12,22 14:20 14:22 15:3,15,23,24 16:5,7,8,18 17:7,9 17:17,20 19:8,12,14 20:10,13 22:15 23:2 24:16,23,24 25:3,14 26:3,5,8 27:2,11 31:16,22,24 147:4 156:19 159:1,7,8 figure 21:9 23:1 88:4 100:23 143:2 figuring 21:2 file 3:19 4:19 6:7 17:10 46:8 50:24 51:7 129:20 134:11 137:1 138:9 144:20 filed 4:13,14,17 6:10 14:12 23:16 25:24 32:8 46:6 47:16,16 49:4 53:24 54:6 56:21 58:17 62:7 70:24 73:9 97:10,11 98:19 123:1,20 127:12 130:12 133:7 134:16 136:22 137:17 157:10 files 46:7 131:20 filing 14:8 27:14 51:9 53:4 82:12 97:1

familiar 46:16 53:15

135:7 filings 28:6 46:2 final 16:6,15 81:4 83:17,20 84:3,8,9,9 85:1 95:19 130:21 135:12 145:14,19,24 146:13 157:2 finalize 88:22 89:11 90:3,11 91:18 127:24 find 18:8 29:8 78:24 145:2 153:5 finding 20:7 29:13 30:6 86:20 findings 24:2 fine 42:18 156:8 finish 159:4 finished 130:22 fired 35:11 47:20 firm 7:11,19,23 9:19 113:11 firms 7:15 19:5 first 5:14,23 10:11 18:12 31:11 35:12 40:24 43:3 44:18,19 53:19 57:8,10 58:6 64:4 68:21 72:3 74:13 85:5 87:4,14 87:16 88:3 97:18 101:16 105:9 108:20 122:5 126:3 127:13 134:15,18 136:4 149:23 150:9,19,19 153:21 154:16,20,21 154:22 155:8 156:6 five 26:13 32:5 35:19 68:21 73:8 81:24 fix 99:17 flies 134:19 flip 65:24 floated 146:21 fluent 154:2 fly 114:12 FMCS 53:2,24 55:6 55:10 57:10 58:2 62:11,15,19 64:2,6 68:21 97:12,13 110:19 119:24 120:1 123:21 124:11,11 127:13,16,23 128:12 128:17,22 129:7 130:11,17 131:4,5,5 131:12,13,19,20,24 134:18 150:17,20

focused 56:6 76:21 FOIA 8:4 9:4,6,11,18 14:23 16:7,11,12 18:19 25:17 26:2 35:20 58:21 59:6,19 63:23 84:16 86:1,4 157:5 159:7 follow 71:12 86:23 119:18 149:7 151:16 152:5 follow-up 60:6 113:5 followed 124:9 152:6 152:14 following 63:18 follows 43:4 force 30:15 151:14 forced 34:6 foregoing 161:7 forests 94:17 forget 142:21 forgot 120:10,14 formed 11:22,23 former 39:22 forms 131:5 forth 44:12 52:3 103:9 105:4 119:24 122:9 126:8 146:8 forward 41:7 50:23 59:8 70:19 81:9 83:18 84:6 109:4 126:18 139:3 150:21 153:15 forwarded 108:10,17 109:13 116:13 127:17 130:19 fought 152:3 foul 120:21 found 94:24 140:11 four 18:21 26:14,23 34:12 36:21 frames 39:14 frankly 133:17 139:6 146:15 free 13:8.9 freedom 3:17 6:13.23 7:7 14:15 17:5 22:16 58:17 121:2 122:15 140:10,11,18 Friday 102:21 friends 79:24 frivolous 26:11 27:14 27:15 29:5,13,14 31:14 32:3

155:22 158:8

front 51:1 77:23 85:12 137:15 144:15 fruits 40:18 full 25:13 43:14 57:8 90:14,18 105:17 fully 33:16 function 93:12 fundamental 122:14 further 52:11 95:7 105:23 112:13

 \mathbf{G} G-a-s-s-e-r 43:20 game 127:1 128:3 129:14 134:24 135:1 136:9,9,13 games 37:10 Gasser 1:9 3:3 5:4,8 7:1,4 28:6 31:5 34:2 34:13,17 35:12,24 36:12 42:8,13,13 43:1,9,12,15 45:11 48:5,17 56:17 63:15 63:15,19 64:20 67:15 70:4 72:14 74:7 75:1 79:13 82:12,13 88:14 94:15 98:18 101:9 104:23 108:10 110:7 110:9 113:6 118:10 118:15 119:12 120:5 121:4 122:3,20 127:15 130:18 131:6 132:10 134:16,20 140:7 141:23 143:8 143:14 144:19 145:11 148:3,14 149:15,20 150:7,19 151:10,12,13 155:7 Gasser's 4:10 25:5 27:13 33:15,18 34:9 74:21 75:4 118:8 138:14 139:11 gate 134:15 gathered 60:8 gathering 61:13 general 28:14 70:8 151:5 generally 11:21 37:7 48:14 58:16 154:10 generated 131:1 132:1 generation 8:6 gesture 55:24 getting 9:9 71:6 92:20

	113:9,12,14
	gist 151:18
	give 30:13 38:15 82:3
	89:3 101:16 104:19
	135:23 147:23
	148:19 150:18
	154:21
	given 36:22 74:19
	89:7,9
1	giving 149:5
1	glad 159:5
1	go 3:5,14 4:22 9:2
1	18:6 26:20 34:20
-	36:8 40:12 41:7
-	
1	53:18 56:13 60:19
1	66:22 80:6,18 97:17
1	103:17 107:11
-	103:17 107:11 126:11,16 135:9
1	140:22 141:5,6,13
-	141:14,19 148:12
1	
1	149:16 150:1 156:5
ı	goes 33:8 37:22
-	102:17 105:9 120:20
1	134:5
1	
	going 3:4,14 18:2 19:8 21:3,10,21 26:7 27:1
	27:19 28:13 31:22
	34:6 35:1,2,5 36:9
	36:23 37:1 39:5
	40:6 41:10 51:18
	53:18 54:16 79:18
	81:1 90:22 94:22
ı	97:18 98:2,7,10,23
1	100.20 24 102.1
-	100:20,24 102:1 104:12,13 111:11
ı	104:12,13 111:11
-	119:15 122:8 134:4
1	134:11 135:3,3
-	138:20 145:6,6
-	146:7,12 149:17
-	152:1 153:7 154:16
-	152.1 155.7 154.10
-	155:12 156:9 158:19 159:24 160:7
J	159:24 160:7
1	good 3:1 18:14 28:11
J	29:10,20 30:11,17
1	38:11 45:11,12 87:9
١	127:3 134:7 159:13
1	Google 113:21
1	
İ	gotten 42:7 148:13
	government 65:6,22
	93:2,5,9
	governs 33:20
	grant 10:8 28:17
	31:18 38:3 40:21
	42:1
ļ	42.1
- 1	

granted 5:16 34:5 46:23 74:14 75:17 76:1,5,15,24 79:15 126:2 129:18,23 133:22 143:11 granting 3:19 74:11 gratuitously 7:12 gravity 151:19 great 82:4 123:9 grievance 49:9 71:18 72:1 94:20,23 95:13 96:13 105:1,5,10,18 124:6 126:8 grievances 49:5,8,13 49:16,19,22 50:9 64:24 71:24,24 73:9 86:11 88:19 89:12 90:12 92:13 95:19 96:16 97:1 98:19,24 106:3 127:7 130:1 131:20 149:23 150:8 150:17 group 15:10 64:21 67:5 guess 61:18,24 77:4 134:7 144:21 156:24 157:11 158:20 Gummerson 14:13 19:1 21:14,19 Gummerson's 20:16 21:4 22:13 guy 87:23 guys 156:5

H hand 40:8 55:24 57:2 111:16 handed 53:19 handing 64:20 handle 69:13 134:9,13 Hanlon 2:7,8 3:10,10 4:14,18,19 6:6,9 10:10,11 14:1 16:20 17:1 22:17,19 23:9 25:22,23 28:18,19 32:1,15,19 33:5,7 38:13,15,19 42:10 42:12,17 43:7,11,17 45:4,21 46:11,21 47:12,17 48:2,8 49:23 51:15 55:21 55:23 56:8,12,22 61:22 62:2,8,21 64:15 67:7 69:2

70:7,9,11,13,21 71:4 71:5,10,11 72:20,22 74:16 75:18 77:11 78:9 79:8 80:7 81:21 82:1.13.17.22 82:23 83:21 88:17 88:23 89:15 91:1,12 91:20 94:8,9 97:22 99:23 100:5 101:7 102:1,4,7 103:19 104:22 107:9 110:3 110:5,12,18 111:13 111:18,22 112:4,13 113:8 114:17 115:4 115:20 116:1,10,13 116:18,23 117:1,24 118:6 119:8 120:13 120:20,23 121:18,23 125:4,8,12,13,20 127:12,22 130:21 131:3 132:23 133:7 134:6 135:23 136:4 137:9,16 139:4 140:16 141:5,9,11 141:15 142:11 143:6 143:19 144:2,5,10 145:2,22 147:7,20 148:12,21 149:3 151:3,5,10 155:10 155:15 156:1,8,10 156:14,20,24 157:6 157:9,15 159:14 Hanlon's 113:11,19 happen 38:21 136:11 happened 8:13 81:4 happens 11:7 34:22 harass 30:1 hardship 40:20 41:23 41:24 42:2 hardships 41:21,22 harm 35:15 he'll 116:19 head 85:14 86:6 hear 5:18,22 21:21 32:13 136:16 146:23 heard 11:17 30:9 39:13 51:24 118:5 127:15 130:17 132:19 134:13,18,19 146:9 160:2 hearing 3:13 5:1,3 6:2 19:13,21 21:10 23:7 24:11 36:19 37:7

146:22 147:4 153:12 158:10,10,14,19,22 hearings 18:23 23:11 77:7 hearsay 75:18 77:11 heart 33:8 held 5:5 43:24 82:8,14 98:12 118:3 119:22 132:24 143:21 149:15 help 154:7 herring 132:6 hev 81:14 Highway 8:15 43:22 65:9,15 66:2 68:9 93:6,15 98:17 113:22 123:6 hire 10:5 19:16 hired 14:12 hit 102:16 hold 5:8 97:8 119:15 120:24 122:11,12,20 146:24 149:20 honestly 58:3 70:11 73:19,24 74:15 102:15 Honor 4:23 6:21 15:7 16:15 23:5,9 24:22 27:10.22 29:23 30:8 30:19 33:13 36:11 38:17,19 42:17 45:6 46:9 48:22 53:10 55:20 56:4 61:15,22 62:2 63:9 64:12,15 67:5,12 69:9 70:1 72:11,22 74:4 81:18 82:10 88:11 103:24 111:11 114:11 120:16 123:16 130:21 132:11 136:4 148:1 149:14 151:13 159:4 Honor's 59:17 Honorable 1:16 hope 117:8 Hopefully 120:22 horse 124:17,18 126:15 hour 1:19 17:21 18:8 20:8 21:13 22:13 hourly 13:7 hours 10:6,7 20:5,6,8 21:5,7,21 22:1,5,6

22:11,12 150:10,21

hundred 129:3 hung 87:13

ice 135:18 136:21 138:14 146:19 idea 38:2 116:9 146:19,21 identification 53:14 56:18 64:22 67:16 70:6 72:15 74:9 88:15 94:16 identified 63:15 65:9 69:17,18 80:11 identify 18:1 80:8 155:22 identifying 18:14 68:20 IL 1:23 ILCS 26:1 Illinois 1:1,4,18 6:23 24:24 25:1 28:4,9,15 34:11 65:18 68:9 87:8 126:5 128:24 141:5 161:1 immediately 47:19,22 48:24 80:6 99:16 100:17 imminent 130:12 impact 38:2 39:24 impacts 9:14 151:20 implicit 20:2 import 55:18 important 14:4 59:16 59:18,21 74:20 importantly 13:4 125:18 imposes 87:8 124:12 improper 103:22 in-house 7:6 10:2,4,13 10:22,24 11:14,23 12:18 13:2,10,10 16:17 include 22:9 27:24 included 52:10 136:6 includes 25:4 133:5 including 69:14 inclusive 161:8 inconvenience 39:10 incorporate 118:6 increase 35:5 increasing 35:16 incur 9:8 12:24 incurred 8:22 14:22

42:5 135:10 136:18

	15 00 10 0
	15:22 18:3 incurring 10:21,24
	12:8,12
	independent 14:7
	indicate 44:17
	indicated 53:2 118:21
	indirect 5:1,8,17 42:6
	135:21 150:3 153:8
	individual 25:18 46:8
	69:4 124:13,14 131:19
	individuals 106:4
	inform 146:4
	information 3:18 6:13
	6:24 7:8 14:16 17:5
	22:16 58:18 131:7
	initial 49:5 54:1
ı	127:11
	inquire 22:19 75:6
	inquiring 75:8
	insist 150:7
	insofar 128:11 instance 136:8
	instruction 149:5
	instructions 110:19
	110:23 149:21
	intend 8:7 100:8
-	intended 8:8 25:7
	137:22,24
	intends 149:7
1	intent 146:18
	intention 136:24
-	interest 11:2 39:1,1,2
	interested 92:18
1	interesting 157:19 internally 79:20
1	INTERNATIONAL
	1:6,12 2:3
	interpretation 75:5,9
	83:13,15 96:13
	129:5
	interpretations 128:6
	130:3
	interpreted 17:8
	interpreting 48:16
	interrupt 120:10
	intervened 40:1 introduction 61:18
	invalidation 28:7
	invalidity 51:5
	invoice 114:16,19
	invoices 113:19 114:4
	114:7
	involved 22:4 38:20

60:22 61:10 92:20 involves 15:9 irrelevant 48:8 issuance 41:1 124:22 issue 10:12 14:23 23:24 26:23 29:18 35:13 40:10,24 53:3 76:19 78:1 92:16,20 99:20 123:4,12 132:22 133:1,10,20 135:16,19 140:1 146:7 147:3 156:19 157:19 issued 5:3 59:20 80:2 80:15 85:21 107:6 139:2 149:21 issues 14:14 48:14 85:20 144:11 145:14 items 19:11

J JAMES 1:5 January 1:19 JASICA 1:16 iob 18:14 joining 62:13 Jones 124:16 126:15 judge 1:16 4:14 6:6,9 10:11 13:23 15:4 16:21 21:2,10,21 22:17,19 23:17 25:24 28:19 32:15 33:7 40:14 43:9 45:4 46:11,21 47:12 53:8 55:13,23 56:12 67:7 69:2 71:9 74:16 78:9 81:21 82:23 89:15 90:13 91:12 94:9 97:22 101:3 103:19 107:13 110:5 111:13,19,24 112:13 118:6 120:13 122:14 126:16,17 136:21 137:5 138:21 139:20 140:16 142:11 143:16 144:2 144:5,7,16 146:14 147:7,20 148:13 149:3 151:3 155:10 155:15 156:1,16 157:7,15 159:14 judges 20:20 judgment 35:21 59:7 83:17 157:4

judicial 1:3 29:8 61:20 62:6 97:7 98:8 99:2,4 140:4 146:1 152:2 July 71:1 73:5.17 102:21 115:1,20 125:4,11,20 133:8 June 54:6,19 55:1,7 55:11 59:3,9 64:7 67:17 97:9,10 128:13 130:13 131:15 132:2 jurisdiction 19:17 144:23 147:2 157:3 157;7,21,21 jurisdictional 156:15 justice 145:8 **justify** 117:15

K Kelly 92:22 kept 80:11 keys 121:2 122:14 140:9,10,18 kicked 85:18 131:20 145:23 kind 82:11 114:12 knew 76:10 81:3 84:24 110:9 130:11 know 4:13 6:14 9:9 11:18 14:11 19:9 20:16,18 27:21 30:19 36:14 37:5 38:11 39:1,9 40:4,11 41:9 64:4 65:3 66:20 68:3 75:24 76:4,14,23 77:2,21 79:4 80:5 85:17 86:23 92:24 96:3,4,9 100:12 101:3 102:1 102:11,16,21,24 108:7,19 109:5 110:9 114:13 116:9 116:21 121:10,13,14 122:3 125:3.7 130:22 131:16 132:24 134:17 135:2 137:17 139:7 140:24 143:12.15 144:6.9 144:24 145:16 146:9 146:11,17,18 149:7 151:15 159:7

 \mathbf{L} L 161:11 labeled 61:6,9 labeling 61:10 labor 28:21,23 36:2 69:14,14 87:8 92:15 92:20 127:4 134:8 140:3 141:6,7 153:24 Lake 1:17,23 20:19,20 24:8 65:18 68:9 land 134:8 language 10:20 28:12 87:5 88:1,9 119:11 126:19,21 129:13,21 133:22 137:2,4,5,10 137:11 139:9,12,20 141:21 149:24 153:24 154:2 158:13 large 60:14,16 152:18 largely 17:13 law 2:7 7:11 10:13,14 11:6,11,20,22,23 12:19 13:10,15 19:5 28:11,13 29:19 30:12,20,21 48:15 59:19 113:11 121:12 140:3 lawful 40:4 lawsuit 16:14 38:21 38:23 58:17,21 lawsuits 9:5 lawyer 61:4 74:18 80:14 89:16 Lawyers 128:1 lead 19:10 leads 27:4 42:5 learned 151:15 learning 79:14 leave 3:19 154:22 158:20 Lee 106:16 left 65:7 121:9 139:24 154:10,17,18 legal 2:3 7:11,23 8:17 8:18 12:18 15:9 51:15 69:11 79:17 80:5 90:20 96:11 97:17 106:23 144:6 157:16 legally 152:1 legitimate 28:10 letter 65:5,21 67:17

67:20 68:13,15 69:3

73:17 88:16,24 89:1 89:8 90:15 109:19 109:22 127:20,22 131:3 134:5 135:17 letterhead 10:13 letters 71:24 108:4 level 40:12 liberty 136:19 License 161:12 life 130:7 light 30:5,23 128:10 lightly 146:10 likelihood 35:18 40:22 41:19 limitation 129:19 limitations 28:4 31:7 limited 25:16 Limits 105:14 line 131:9 **lingers 37:15** list 83:11 150:1,12 listed 11:3 listen 77:19 listened 153:3 litigated 14:14 95:23 litigating 19:17 134:14 135:6 litigation 45:17,19 69:13 93:19,19 103:8 129:4 130:8 132:2 little 83:4 123:3 lives 130:8 LM-2 10:15 11:1 12:3 14:1,2 local 1:6,12 2:3 3:2 5:6 6:22 7:13,14,22 8:1,14,19,23,24 9:4 9:11,15,18,23 10:9 12:14 13:12 14:12 16:2 18:24 20:24 24:22 25:10 27:11 27:16 28:16 29:1 30:22 31:19 42:9 44:18 47:22,24 49:1 49:4,11 52:10,21 54:6 55:16 58:17,20 70:24 71:8,19 73:6 74:11 75:17,24 76:4 76:14,23 79:14 80:1 91:18 92:11 94:1 95:18 96:7,15,24 97:4,11 98:19 99:19 102:5 103:19 111:8

known 11:8 132:1

115:8 118:23 120:14	market 9:20 16:18
121:14 123:13 124:1	17:19 25:21
138:9 140:20 143:1	marketplace 13:8,9
	matched 131:19
146:1,22 147:23	
149:12 157:22	matter 8:6,24 9:12 15:14 16:11 35:20
Lodestar 10:5 12:11	
13:6 14:21	36:2,22 53:1 69:11
logic 137:19	78:11 97:10 148:18
logical 5:10	160:13
long 14:13 36:3 43:24	McHENRY 1:2,4 20:19 161:2
81:6,7	
longer 29:17 34:24	mean 22:23 36:8,12
35:1 37:15,22 38:10	37:4 74:21 83:9
39:16 81:23 142:13	86:17 87:18 97:20
look 10:20 12:16 19:8	98:2 100:13 119:17
29:16 46:7,12 53:21	126:11,14,17,19,24
56:24 57:7 63:13	127:17 128:13,16,20
65:2 66:9,23 72:19	129:1 130:2,5 131:8
73:2 95:2 101:22	132:11 133:10,18
103:17 107:12,21	134:22,23 135:1,11
111:4 114:23 119:10	137:6 141:1 144:3
121:24 135:1 139:5	159:15
139:7,12 143:11	meaning 51:18
152:24	means 86:18 95:15 106:23 122:23 123:1
looked 6:16 14:19	
159:22	123:3 144:4 meant 51:19 74:23
looking 37:1 111:3	
117:12 120:1,2	129:21 152:5 mechanism 157:24
123:18	
looks 19:21,22 115:15	Mediation 52:23 54:7
lose 124:19 lost 12:14 41:16 135:2	64:23 67:1 71:15 72:8 73:12 96:2
	107:5 108:3
138:9,22 157:3,6,21	meet 103:11
157:21	meet 103:11 meeting 28:9 79:17
lot 70:12 77:6,6 78:22	meetings 4:1 9:7,13
151:8	16:8,13,14 24:17,24
Lots 103:8 loud 144:24	25:1,9 26:5,8,13,16
love 9:19 87:22 92:6	26:18,23 27:2,13,19
	27:24 28:2,5,15 29:12 30:11,23 31:4
M 1:5	31:23 32:4
mail 66:21 67:21	member 8:21 37:20
mailed 68:18	38:8
making 118:23 148:2	members 8:14 9:14
manager 13:17	members' 7:18,21 8:2
manager 13.17 mandatory 7:1 11:12	memorialized 125:18
10.02	mentioned 16:71

12:23

manner 6:5

mark 82:19

marked 53:13 56:18

61:8 63:12 64:21

67:16 70:5 72:15

74:8 88:15 94:16

mercy 36:14

41:20

mess 135:13

met 149:19

20 16:18 13:6 14:21 Michael 28:21 middle 95:21,22 ice 13:8,9 Miller 143:1 .24 9:12 mind 19:9 32:15 ministerial 131:18,22 :11 35:20 53:1 69:11 minus 85:10 1:10 148:18 minute 35:11 minutes 32:13,18 Y 1:2,4 148:10 3 36:8,12 mission 151:22 21 83:9 mitigation 136:1 118 97:20 152:12 :13 119:17 mode 148:17 modify 149:4,10,16 4,17,19,24 28:13,16,20 moment 46:12 53:16 30:2,5 131:8 53:17 71:13 76:21 81:17 93:23 109:2 33:10,18 3 135:1,11 Monday 38:5 1:1 144:3 monetary 33:8 monitored 45:19 month 41:12 53:4 18 95:15 77:3 22:23 123:1 months 36:18,21 38:9 9 74:23 135:6,16 136:20 138:1 n 157:24 mood 148:2 52:23 54:7 moot 5:16 1:1 71:15 motion 3:23 4:2,4,7,10 12 96:2 24:12 25:8 27:5,12 8:9 79:17 1:1 9:7,13 31:19 32:7,7,9,11 33:2,2,4,4 40:16 14 24:17,24 42:3 70:24 72:16 6:5,8,13,16 27:2,13,19 73:17 74:11,14 3:2,5,15 :11,23 31:4 76:24 79:15 123:22 124:1 125:18,24 21 37:20 126:1 129:16,18,20 129:22,23 133:23 8:14 9:14 7:18.21 8:2 147:5 157:4,10 motions 3:13 5:13,22 5:23 29:4 77:6 mentioned 16:21 mounts 37:17 merits 35:19 40:23 movant's 40:22 move 37:11 61:18 64:12 67:4 69:21 method 10:3,5 12:11 134:15 135:4 153:15

123:21 movement 79:17 moving 47:9 59:7 81:9 83:18 84:6 104:13 muddy 137:12 multiple 19:12 30:24 Municipal 28:1 mutually 111:1,9 81:24 104:12 112:15 N 1:18 name 28:20 43:14,18 83:10 101:19 102:16 124:15 154:17 names 87:3 88:2 105:9 109:20 124:14 naming 3:14 National 141:7 necessarily 91:17 119:1 necessary 14:20 20:14 40:18 need 6:2 24:4 81:1,11 83:19 84:5,23 128:9 41:12 109:6,8 132:5 129:2,3 138:23 144:17 154:6 155:22 160:10 needed 21:1 76:18 79:6 99:13 needs 22:24 143:3 144:19 153:14 4:12 5:14,15,24 6:15 nefarious 131:11,23 6:18 11:4 23:6 24:6 negotiate 88:5 27:20,23 28:17 29:3 negotiated 34:7 neither 57:20,24 130:6 **NENNI 161:11** neutral 130:10 never 11:10 27:16 75:17,24 76:4,14,21 28:10 30:10,18 58:14 62:10,19 81:13 91:10 106:12 121;3 122;23 123;11 124:19 130:16 143:10 144:20 147:5 134:18 141:20 151:23 new 31:4 109:17 119:23 Nick 64:10 non-receipt 103:22 noncompliance 122:12 94:6 126:18 134:12

nonmovant 40:21

moved 50:23 123:21

normal 142:18 Normally 151:8 Nos 63:20 not-for-profit 15:9 note 18:10 noted 68:8 notes 161:8 notice 19:20 51:6 61:20 62:6 107:4 125:9 133:7,12,15 134:11 137:1,17,19 137:22 146:17 noticed 18:20 56:7 notices 130:19 notified 47:22 49:1 notify 158:8,8 novel 135:20 November 22:2 76:16 85:10,10,19,22,24 137:17 139:1 nuance 121:12 nullity 95:7 number 3:13 18:7 60:21 67:24 68:1 95:15 105:19 150:17 150:20 numbered 63:19 numbers 127:23 131:4 131:19 132:4 155:23 156:9 numeric 149:23 150:8 150:17.19 numerically 150:18 o'clock 1:20 obey 98:16 object 69:8 104:5 111:12 objected 134:1

objecting 104:2,14 objection 23:8,10,18 45:21 46:21 47:11 48:2,6,7,20 51:15 61:19 62:4,21 64:14 64:15 67:6 69:1 72:21,22 74:16 75:18 77:11 78:9 79:8 82:21 83:21 91:12,20,21 94:9 107:7 110:2.10 111:14 112:2 116:1 117:1 149:4 156:12 157:12

-	
-	objective 14:10
-	objectively 135:8
-	obligation 44:5,21
-	111:24 120:7 124:13
-	127:3 129:10 139:6
١	152:21
١	obligations 118:11
١	observed 132:12
١	observer 14:10
١	obtain 15:3
١	obtained 13:8
١	obvious 16:9 127:10
١	obviously 5:15 15:15
Į	19:16 21:1 26:15
1	37:18 41:22 56:8
1	74:2 83:6 114:4
1	140:15 157:22
١	
	159:20
	occasion 101:11
	occurred 50:12 56:8
	October 20:3,4 55:19
	56:22 57:9,21 58:2,6
	59:1 62:12,20 63:6
	88:16,21 97:21
	107:23 108:9 127:13
	127:20 130:17 131:1
	131:10 132:5 134:5
	134:19
	odd 74:19
	odds 156:7
	offer 103:20,21
	offered 75:4,4 78:23 154:21 160:7
	154:21 160:7
	office 12:2,3,5 21:9,20
	35:12 45:13 47:19
	86:19 103:12 125:9
	146:6
	officer 151:14
	OFFICES 2:7
	official 23:7,12,20
١	24:9,10 113:16
I	Oh 14:1 64:6 134:2
1	154:18 159:10
1	okay 4:17 6:8 24:14
١	38:18 40:15 43:24
1	44:3,15,24 46:14,19
	50:12 53:7,22 54:4
١	55:5 56:24 57:7.19
١	55:5 56:24 57:7,19 58:5,16,24 59:11,16
	60:1,11,22 61:6,15
	62:17 63:5,8,22 64:2
1	64:9,12 65:5,21,24
	66:14 67:4,20,24
١	68:4,23 70:13 71:18
I	

72.10 72.5 77.2 0
72:10 73:5 77:2,8
86:7 88:1 89:10 93:18,22,23 95:12
97:4 100:1 101:1,16
102:3,10,18 106:2
107:20 108:2,7
109:5 111:8 114:19
115:23 121:19 134:2
138:19 149:12 151:2
151:5 155:17 156:3
once 37:6 59:20 80:15
85:1 124:11 126:23
128:2 158:7
one-word 48:7
ones 160:3
ongoing 76:11 80:12
online 4:22 113:18,20
onslaught 125:15 open 4:1 9:7,13 13:8,9
16:8,14 24:17,24
25:1,9 26:4,8,12,16
26:18,22 27:2,13,19
27:24 28:2,4,9,15
29:12 30:10 31:4,22
32:4 52:5,6 87:21
opening 16:13 127:19
opening 16:13 127:19 Operating 1:6,12 2:3
7:24
opinion 40:9 153:3
opponents 131:17
opportunity 16:2
133:1 152:4
opposing 23:13 39:15
118:17 138:10 139:9
145:4,14 152:9
opposite 93:20 opposition 12:14
38:15
option 50:5
oral 25:13
order 3:18 5:11 22:21
24:5 29:12 44:4,6,7
44:13,16 45:1 46:6
48:11,17 49:12 51:2
59:14,17,20,22,23
60:8,9 63:16,19
70:14 74:9,22 75:3,5
75:16 77:9,16,23
78:4 79:7 80:3,10,15
80:21,23 81:1,4,11
81:14,20 83:9,14,15
83:20 84:3,8,9,17,20
85:1,2,20,21 98:16
99:14 100:10,15,21

103:4 104:7,24
107:6,8,10 108:5
119:4,7,9 120:9
122:18 124:3,9,22
125:5,24 126:6,10
126:14 127:6.6.21
128:5.7 129:24
128:5,7 129:24 130:4 132:14 133:14
135:21 136:13 137:6
140:8,13,15,19,21
141:13 142:1,1,6,6,8
143:3,4,9,10,17,20
143:21,24 144:21
145:14,15,17,19,21
145:24 146:7,11,13
147:3,14,24 149:5,7
149:9,11,17,17,23
150:8,17 152:5,13
153:1,18 156:5,13
156:20 157:3,13,23
158:2,24 159:6,15
ordered 23:13 33:7
ordered 23:13 33:7 36:7 59:1 104:24
122:13 127:6 159:17
ordering 126:12
orders 4:12 151:16
152:20 153:13
156:23
Ordinarily 141:15
ordinary 142:18
organization 10:19
17:15
original 31:2 59:6
originally 28:20
ought 136:11 145:5
outcome 91:2
outlined 157:14
outside 41:14 69:5
79:22,23 80:13,22
140:2,4 148:12
outweigh 42:1
outweighs 41:24
overhead 15:19
Overly 48:8
overnight 34:23
overrule 93:15
overruled 45:22 46:24
48:21 51:17 62:22
67:8 75:19 77:12
89:22 91:21 110:10
owned 12:7
P

p.m 1:20 107:24 108:10,11,22 page 46:19 54:4 56:24 57:3.5 73:3 94:20,21 94:24 108:8 111:5.5 111:6 113:22 114:23 pages 103:10 161:7 paginated 57:2 paid 7:17,20,21 8:1,21 12:3,5 15:19 114:21 panel 54:9,14,18,24 58:7,14 62:13,19 63:4,6 64:3,6,9 73:11 109:17 119:23 121:18,19 124:14 128:2,23 129:7 148:4 150:22 154:20 154:22 panels 52:22 53:2,24 55:6,10 57:21 58:1 62:15 64:22 67:1 68:21 70:15 72:8 96:1.4 97:12.13 109:15,15 122:9 123:21 124:11,11 126:23 127:9,16 128:14.18 130:11.13 131:1,6,13,24 132:3 134:18 147:10,11,17 148:2,6 154:3 155:8 155:11.13 paper 78:2 papers 80:19 99:11,15 103:8,12 paperwork 71:6,8 paragraph 54:5 57:8 72:19 73:2,5 125:19 paragraphs 31:8 parallel 34:17 35:22 41:7 Pardon 50:18 part 93:1 95:7 136:13 159:15 160:2 participate 38:4 119:17 124:3 152:15 153:19,20 158:22 participated 155:18 participates 41:3 particular 11:11 19:6 29:16 39:17 40:10 69:2 118:14,23 136:10 145:5 particularly 11:2 parties 8:8,9 27:7

30:13 37:8 38:20,23 87:2,9 88:2 90:3 94:17 111:1 122:7 122:21 124:3,13,23 126:7,12,23 131:21 138:2 139:10,20 141:17,20 146:9,13 153:17 158:9 parties' 39:1 111:24 partners 7:16 parts 22:22 party 7:9 12:24 15:20 17:8 22:24 24:13 25:3,4,18 26:3 30:1 150:10 pass 109:3 141:1 Paszta 2:4 3:6,6 4:15 5:20 6:7,14,21 15:7 17:14 19:1,10 23:5 24:22 27:10,22 30:7 30:8 33:13 35:8 36:11 38:17 56:4 70:8 149:14 150:6 150:16 151:1 154:14 154:19 155:3,5 156:4,9 158:14 159:3,11,19 Paszta's 19:20 20:7 patently 29:5 pay 9:15 35:9 37:16 39:6 paying 8:9 12:23 13:20 Pearson 19:3 20:11 70:8 penalty 149:22 150:4 150:13 pendency 156:18 pending 3:13 4:11 5:13 33:3 35:21 40:16 42:4 49:12 53:9 54:17 56:3,5 59:13 70:15,20 87:1 88:18 89:12 90:12 92:12 96:16 98:4.24 124:6 132:3 142:14 142:15 150:16 154:4 155:13,20 158:4 people 13:2,19 37:10 perform 16:3 126:17 performed 7:12 126:13 127:8 performs 9:1 period 14:9,13 59:3

P 2:4

126:2 138:8 143:15 149:9 155:14 157:9 permissible 25:2 person 13:3 66:1 120:1 perspective 121:24 petition 3:16,20,22,24 5:1,16 6:12 10:9 16:12 17:11 24:16 24:23 42:6 51:2 54:5 55:16 61:16 108:20 109:9 136:22 153:8 159:6 160:2 petitioned 159:9 Petitioner 160:8 petitions 5:14 16:9 147:5 159:20 phone 22:10 134:10 135:17 phrase 50:3 pick 125:15 148:3,5 picking 109:15 128:18 piece 78:2 place 39:21 54:21 55:3 65:13,19 88:7 107:2 153:15 161:9 places 131:14 plain 124:6,24 plaintiff 1:11 2:5,9 10:17 12:4,7 plaintiff's 3:16,21,24 4:4 24:16 26:22 27:4 47:11,13 61:21 61:23 72:16 81:19 94:10 104:6 plaintiffs 1:7 3:7,9 17:10 18:14 23:4 24:21 27:3,9 29:14 32:8,11 35:16 124:2 plans 100:14 play 134:23 135:1 played 127:1 128:4 129:14 136:10 playing 37:10 plead 30:13 pleading 58:5 97:11 pleadings 33:10 48:19 54:1 157:4 please 47:10 57:23 91:23 pleased 152:13 plus 85:10 point 13:22 15:2 16:6 16:15 29:6 33:15

34:10 41:20 42:10 44:22 55:4 61:4 68:20 81:12 88:18 89:10 97:16 100:8 104:7 108:24 109:23 113:9 116:15 121:11 125.8 127:10 128:20 140:6 142:4,5 145:9 148:14 156:14 159:13 points 14:14 20:21 104:8 populates 102:16 portion 94:24 105:15 posed 140:9 position 17:5 33:9 44:1 57:19,24 93:20 121:5 125:23 128:7 129:1,2 130:5,7 140:23 145:16,18 146:24 possible 35:3 37:20 41:4 102:13 118:18 147:7 possibly 13:14 post 113:18 post-hearing 37:9 posted 113:19 potential 154:8 power 39:8 123:6 139:23 146:10 practical 17:22 26:12 26:19 146:12 prayer 123:24 143:11 143:12 precedent 38:24 precise 153:1 predicated 14:5 preferred 91:16 prejudices 24:12 prepared 147:20 preparing 6:14 131:3 presence 38:24 present 11:19 84:12 137:1 140:5 157:15 presented 11:4,20 35:14 36:23 103:19 preserve 33:23 34:1 133:20 preserved 33:23 preserves 34:2 president 13:16 presumably 115:4

133:11

presumptive 13:7 pretty 16:9 prevail 41:13 prevailed 25:11 29:22 32:2 36:6 58:21 138:5 prevailing 17:8 25:3 prevent 9:22 75:8 previously 3:19 5:3 16:16 25:20 47:24 107:15 130:24 138:11 153:14 printed 14:2 prior 4:11 7:14 23:10 33:18 57:21 58:2 60:12 62:11,20 63:6 76:22 123:6 133:12 144:21 149:4 private 9:7 23:20 privately 89:14 96:6 privilege 74:16,17 75:7 78:9 89:15 91:12 132:12 privileged 83:22 111:19 pro 7:10,24 8:4 12:15 12:17 17:15 25:17 probably 5:16 9:19 22:23 36:18,24 37:1 problem 6:4 17:20 19:4 29:16 116:22 122:14 126:22 problematic 158:17 procedural 139:17 procedure 49:9 94:20 94:23 96:14 105:1,5 105:10 119:18,20 121:13 126:8 139:14 139:22 procedures 119:23 140:2 proceed 5:11 6:5 34:16 52:16 113:2 122:21 138:23 150:12 proceeding 5:11 18:23 36:4 38:4 41:3 48:4 51:11 62:5 140:4 158:23 proceedings 1:15 41:7 69:10,11 76:11 91:4 98:7 103:3 141:10

process 34:22 35:1 36:9 37:2 44:9 50:16 54:21 55:3 60:23 62:3 72:1 81:8 88:6 92:12 95:20 96:11,17,20 96:20,21,22,23 97:17 99:17 124:4 126:24 127:24 128:16 129:18 130:1 130:14 142:18 151:23 152:16 153:11,21 155:18 processing 97:6 produce 59:2 produced 60:14,16 Professional 69:7 progress 37:19 86:11 124:5 prohibits 139:16 promptly 158:12 prong 135:12 proof 69:6 proper 14:21 131:4 properly 65:22 68:8 proposal 118:24 propose 5:21 73:22 proposed 70:14 73:10 125:5 156:12 159:22 proposing 6:5 109:23 125:20 proposition 7:6 10:2 118:24 151:6 protects 74:17 prove 15:21 provide 8:8 86:1 143:18 150:7 153:18 158:3 provided 15:17,19,20 55:18 63:23 73:12 provides 26:2 124:10 province 139:24 provision 7:1 112:6 119:10,11 121:9 122:1,4,24 123:2 124:10 provisions 26:16,17 120:8 141:18 public 8:11,12 25:6 27:16 39:8 113:16 113:23 125:6 126:20 126:21 129:8 136:15 136:17

161:7

publicly 79:22 80:17 80:18 pull 79:2 punitive 150:2 purge 121:6 133:5 **purging 122:15** purported 51:4,5 52:12 purportedly 102:20 purpose 8:8 9:2 21:2 102:4 purposefully 152:7,8 purposes 74:9 pursuant 3:17,18 15:3 24:23 49:9 51:3 122:21 126:8 127:7 149:24 pursue 8:18 9:11,12 9:16 133:3 140:3 pursuing 8:4 9:4 push 37:23 put 52:2,7 80:13 87:16 88:6 93:23 97:8 118:19 124:19 136:21 138:14 142:21 149:22 150:7 150:16 153:22 puts 135:17

Q O&A 1:22 question 39:12 40:3 46:12 48:24 49:21 50:1,4,6,10 52:20 53:9,20 54:17 55:12 56:2 57:23 59:13 60:2 70:20 75:10,11 75:13 76:7,9,22 77:20 78:23 83:24 87:1 89:2,17,20 90:2 91:24 92:3 94:22 96:12,14 98:3 102:15 104:1 110:11 110:12,15 111:15,18 112:3 123:9 124:21 135:6 140:9 157:1 157:12,16 questions 39:22 45:4 56:5,10 60:6 74:12 112:13 113:5 126:11 auick 37:19 quickly 15:7 23:5 quite 69:12 quo 33:19,22 34:1,2

143:23 145:23 154:4

158:5 160:13 161:6

				rage 12
		00.10.00.10		veeneetfulle: 55,15
quote 146:19	127:16	88:17 98:17	repeatedly 31:5 110:8	respectfully 55:15
	receive 4:12 53:4 66:4	Regardless 85:15	rephrase 157:12	124:2 128:17 129:11
<u>R</u>	66:7,19 68:13,15	regenerated 131:5	reply 3:22 4:3,7,17,19	132:6
R 101:15 102:16	107:4	regular 66:21 135:14	5:7 6:16 12:1 15:6	respective 122:21
raised 12:13 110:5	received 44:3 57:20	regularly 103:11	15:21 31:12	146:8
raises 132:23	58:1,6 62:11,19 67:9	rehash 33:13 149:18	report 1:15 10:15	respectively 32:6
ran 128:23	69:18,19 80:4 81:13	reimbursed 18:2	11:1 12:4 14:1,2	respond 16:23 73:15 96:10 103:24 147:23
rare 135:20	96:1,3 98:3,4 108:20	reject 86:18,20	reported 161:6,6,7	
rate 9:20 10:6 11:5	108:23 115:7 116:14	rejected 16:16	reporter 23:6,7,12,20	responded 59:12 responding 30:15
13:6,7,14 16:19 20:8	125:9 134:21 146:4	relate 18:18 94:22	23:21 24:7,9,10	responding 50.13
25:21	receiving 102:20	related 18:15 19:2	43:19 161:12	
rates 10:7 13:5,13,13	recognize 12:17 18:12	relates 96:12 105:10	Reporting 1:22	4:14,20 5:4,6,24 6:16 7:5 10:16
13:17 15:24	18:17 74:24	106:3 111:23	representation 125:7	11:21 23:17 25:23
re-engage 152:16	recognized 7:2	relations 69:14 87:8	representations 74:22	26:22 30:8 32:11
reached 36:5	recognizes 37:21	127:4 134:8 141:6,7	representative 34:8	
read 4:24 5:23 6:1,15	recognizing 138:22	relationship 79:24	69:4 91:15,17 92:9	33:4,12 42:9 55:16 63:23 80:9 119:21
6:15,16 11:13 24:18	recollection 118:22	99:19	represented 13:18	123:14 139:5
27:6 32:10,13 33:4	137:8,14	released 124:12	15:10 35:16	responsive 59:2
33:14 42:9 44:7	record 3:5 15:2 24:2	relevance 46:21 110:2	representing 7:10,23	restraining 46:6 51:2
76:2 85:4 89:17,20	32:20,22,23 33:1	110:5	7:24 8:24 13:11	rests 65:19
92:1,3 103:1 105:15	55:5,19 61:17 62:3	relevant 48:10 110:7	17:16 25:18	result 51:21 54:9
110:15 112:5,10	75:5 82:6,8,16,17,18	117:5,7,13 119:6	repudiating 34:3	retain 160:8,9
115:11,24 127:5	112:18,19 115:6	relief 52:4,9 122:22,24	47:23 49:1 86:13,14	retained 7:14
128:8,9	125:2,16,17 130:23	123:22,24 128:8	86:15,22 request 10:8 31:18,18	retrospect 153:2
reading 14:6 54:20	131:13,14 132:5,9	129:16,22 143:12,12	52:10 54:6,8 57:10	reversed 36:7
88:7,8 98:14	132:10 136:14	relies 7:9	59:2,6 63:24 108:11	review 80:7 114:4,6
reads 105:17 108:9	148:24 149:1 155:23	relieved 32:17	108:24 123:1 124:2	reviewed 24:18 46:2,7
116:18,21	160:11	relive 8:9		60:11 61:1,2 86:7
ready 126:18	recordation 24:10	rely 100:2	134:12 137:9,11 requested 15:11 33:24	reviewing 65:3 70:17
real 130:7,8,8	records 8:11,15,16	relying 152:19	52:22 97:12 128:9	116;23
realized 76:19	14:8 15:11,17 29:8	remain 34:14	requesting 52:4	rid 117:8
realizing 64:5	89:5 113:23 125:13	remaining 147:4 remedies 133:18	requesting 32.4	right 6:11 17:4 23:23
really 12:10 26:20	recover 17:9,17,19		requests 28.10 require 122:22	26:1 30:13 31:20
38:1 157:24	Recross 112:14,21	remedy 29:18,20	required 30:22 73:13	40:15 48:6,20 50:3
realm 127:5	red 132:6	128:10 138:17,17,18	87:14 98:15	56:11,13 58:4,9
reanswer 53:8	redacted 94:19,24	138:20 141:19,20	requires 86:11 121:1	59:13 60:18 61:23
Reask 83:24	Redirect 101:4,6	remember 54:3 58:4	141:16	65:7 69:16 70:9
reason 10:23 12:21	refer 108:8	62:23 63:2,3,4,5,7	research 151:7	73:19 86:24 87:21
23:9 34:16 35:20	reference 125:19	70:11,16 71:3,5,6 72:2 73:24 75:20	researched 144:7	92:23 94:10 96:18
149:16	referenced 44:13,16	1	reserved 30:2	97:14 100:12,13
reasonable 6:6 9:23	131:14	77:4,10,13,15,24	resolution 78:24	101:19 104:6 106:20
10:4,5,6,8 13:19	referencing 111:6	78:1,1,3,6,21 81:15	resolve 49:12 123:10	107:1 108:17 109:17
17:19 18:9 19:9	referred 12:15 110:8	81:16 88:7,8 91:7,8	resolved 49:8	110:22 117:19
20:7,14 22:15 26:3	136:9 160:6	95:11 97:6,7,14,15 108:15 113:12,14	resources 30:15 135:7	120:18 133:10
87:23 119:24 149:6	referring 62:1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	respect 22:20 24:7	137:16 139:12
149:9 155:14 158:23	refers 136:15 139:10	142:22 render 40:9	25:5 38:21 40:7	147:10,11,22 148:22
reasons 17:14 24:1	reflect 14:9 22:21	render 40.9	42:12 44:5 90:22	150:6 152:8,12
25:20 26:9 31:21	reflected 125:12,16,17	renegotiate 52:6,14	102:18 103:3,12	153:24 154:11 155:5
32:6 42:3 153:7	126:10	78:22 87:19,22 92:7	105:9,12 107:1	155:6,12 156:11
recall 62:12,13 70:16	reflects 129:24	rent 12:2,3,5 15:18	118:13 120:6,23	157:8,11 159:10,20
70:22,23 73:19	refused 49:15,18,22	rent 12:2,3,3 13:16 repeat 57:23 91:23	130:23 137:12	159:24 160:11
85:17 97:2,4 102:19	50:8	110:12	respectful 138:21	right-hand 61:7 63:14
113:9	regarding 16:6 28:1		152:2	ripe 133:12 143:22
receipt 66:24 72:7	71:14 72:4 73:7	repeated 30:24	134.4	11pc 155.12 175.22
	·	i	1	1

				Page 13
-1-1-27-01		2000 46:19 56:10	sotting 12:22 146:8	57:4,6 58:9,15,19,23
risk 37:21	savvy 37:21 saw 76:3	seen 46:18 56:19 58:14 72:16 73:16	setting 12:23 146:8 settled 95:16,17	59:15 60:2,9,10,13
road 1:8,10,10,22 3:3	saw 70:3 saying 40:8 55:8 56:7	select 34:20 36:16	105:21	61:12 62:18 64:8,11
4:10 18:6 33:21	70:18 75:12,13	154:3	Settlements 105:14	65:1,4,8,11,14,17,20
35:4 39:2,12 40:7		selected 23:11 111:1	seven 101:17 154:4,8	65:23 66:3,6,11,13
41:2,17 42:2,8 47:24	76:17 78:5,5 85:24	•	155:9	66:17 67:19,23
57:12,20 58:1 65:12	86:22 91:7 97:15	155:20 158:8,10	share 70:10,21 88:23	68:12 71:21 72:6,9
73:7,9,14 92:10 93:7	134:11,16 141:14 142:8 150:24	selecting 36:13 125:21 125:22	shared 61:3 70:12	73:4 83:12 90:19,24
93:7 94:1 117:3	says 10:13,17 12:9	selection 73:8 88:22	sheets 6:17 18:12	94:3 99:6,10,21,24
122:8 127:14 138:4	31:13 48:16 54:14	89:12 90:4,11 91:6	shield 75:7 111:16	100:3,7 101:10,13
151:21 Rob 3:6	57:9 58:5 65:12	91:19 92:12 94:2	shift 31:15	103:7,14 105:3,7,11
Robert 2:4,7,8 3:10	68:2 73:5 86:23	124:4 126:14 127:24	shifting 26:15,17 31:3	106:17,19,21,24
101:18,19,19 115:4	87:2 107:23 110:23	129:18 134:6 150:22	shorter 36:24 38:10	107:3,17 108:1,6,18
Robert@rhanlonla	110:24 115:7 119:9	158:4,21	shorthand 161:6,8,12	109:3,7,18,21 110:1
102:12	122:7 125:24 126:1	selection/striking	shortly 108:16	110:17,21 111:10
robert@robhanlonl	126:17 127:12	57:11 127:14	shot 135:3	113:7,17 114:18,20
102:14	138:19 140:21	sell 124:17 126:15	show 5:2 55:17 61:16	114:22 115:3,5,9,12
room 154:1	143:10,12	send 77:8 101:11	97:21 98:11 103:22	115:14,18 117:19
Rosencrans 106:10,14	schedule 146:8	116:17 136:11	108:20 109:9,10	152:10,11
110:24 111:7	scheduled 36:17	sense 41:6 85:7 137:4	136:22 139:2 152:17	sister's 115:1
rotate 150:9,21	scheduling 36:13	sent 4:15 67:20 68:18	160:2	sit 44:24 86:15 100:19
154:16	37:24 158:22	71:11 73:6 85:15	showed 20:18	100:22 112:5 128:22
rotates 154:20	scope 45:21	88:17 125:20 127:20	showing 19:12 20:22	145:18 147:21
roughly 66:10,14	se 7:10,24 8:4 12:15	127:22	21:1 53:13 56:17	sitting 12:6 100:13
round 123:19,19	12:17 25:17	sentence 57:9 105:15	58:8 63:12 67:15	143:7
RTH 115:4	second 13:23 57:8	105:17	70:4 72:14 74:7	situation 151:19
rule 4:6 5:2 27:5,20	107:13 122:6 146:5	separate 25:12 28:7	88:14 94:15 119:21	six-month 37:2
31:24 38:8,11 41:5	156:6	69:9 93:2,4,9 96:21	shown 103:5 108:24	smart 11:9
55:17 61:16 97:21	seconds 102:2	96:22	109:15,16 125:2	Smith 124:16,17
98:4,14,15 108:20	secret 114:1	separately 154:14	shows 124:17	126:14
109:9,10 120:21	secretary 113:10	September 20:4 21:18	shut 130:14	somebody 85:22
136:22 139:2 144:13	131:2,4	21:23 74:10 80:3,10	side 24:3,20 36:2	somewhat 18:1
146:1 148:19 152:17	section 6:24 17:6 25:1	80:16 84:20 85:20	41:22 65:7 152:9	121:12
160:2	73:13 94:23 95:6	85:21 99:14 100:15	154:9	soon 70:19,19 99:15
ruled 26:9 27:18	96:13 105:14 112:10	100:21 103:4 104:24	Sigman 7:16,17	133:14
124:8 126:4 157:3	119:9	114:24 140:15 143:5	sign 133:15	sorry 35:7 45:16
Rules 69:7	sector 126:20,21	143:18 145:21 147:3	signal 56:2	105:13 108:7 129:10
ruling 24:4 39:12	136:16,17	147:24 152:14 153:1	signaling 55:21,23	148:16 151:17
123:24 156:21 159:6	secure 40:18	153:17 156:13	56:9	sort 31:11 36:14 136:1
run 9:8	see 11:2 22:8 26:20	157:13 158:2	signature 47:1 94:21	146:21
ruse 128:16 131:10	46:8 54:10 57:12	serially 63:19 66:19	significance 104:10	sorts 17:24
1430 120:10 101:10	63:16,21 67:18,21	seriousness 151:19	significant 7:4	sought 8:14 28:7 52:9
S	84:16 86:14 92:24	serve 8:7 9:2	similar 21:23 108:4	122:23 123:22
salaried 8:19 10:18	95:7 96:12 102:6	served 57:10 97:21	Similarly 79:4	128:11 129:16,22
11:16,18	105:23 108:13 111:6	99:11 127:13	simple 36:22 40:3	141:20
salaries 7:20 10:22	111:6 114:2,16	service 52:23 54:8	90:23 135:17	sound 60:18
salary 17:23	115:2,17,21 127:5	64:23 67:2 71:15	simply 8:19 23:2,24	sounds 5:18 6:6
sanction 4:5	138:8 148:10	73:13 96:2 107:5	42:13 137:21 149:8	153:16
sanctions 4:5 25:8	seeing 18:21 63:3,5	136:16	150:4	spam 117:8
27:5,12,20,23 28:17	72:2 77:15	services 11:5 13:19	single 116:21 117:9	speak 7:9 24:13
29:3,4,15 30:2,5	seek 15:15 29:20	108:3	sip 81:22	speaks 25:8 38:24
31:19 32:8	seeking 4:5 130:9	set 3:12 5:3 29:6 30:12	sir 43:13 44:14,20	135:11,15
sat 127:11	158:1	44:12 86:19 105:4	45:3,12,15 46:17	specific 78:4 100:9
save 27:23	seeks 138:20	119:24 126:8 130:12	49:21 52:19 53:13	129:16 140:14 142:1
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

	142:7 144:17,18
	149:5,21 153:2
	specifically 59:24
	73:10 75:3 105:5
	106:9 139:16 140:17
	144:7 153:18 158:3
	specificity 122:17
	specified 143:14
	spectrum 36:24
	speculate 116:10
	speculation 116:2
	speed 62:2
	spell 43:18
	spend 135:5,7
	spent 13:2 19:15
	20.11.21.12.26.21
	20:11 21:13 26:21
	135:16
	sponte 143:24
	SS 1:1
i	staff 7:19 19:6 60:16
	60:19
	staffing 19:5,6
	stamped 6:7
	stand 10:1 25:23 33:9
	92:23 130:18
	standard 11:5
	standardized 13:5,6
	standing 52:7
	standpoint 17:22
	26:19 41:16
	stapler 114:14
	staples 114:13
	start 6:12 44:9,9
	54:15,21 111:17
	145:3 159:2
	started 28:20 96:24
	99:17 123:17 132:1
	133:8
	starting 17:4 24:20
	27:8
	state 1:1 30:20 43:13
	83:8 87:7 128:24
	129:13 161:1
	State's 31:5
	stated 9:2 24:1 25:20
	95:5
	statement 10:16 12:4
	13:16 94:4 100:12
	127:18 136:1 152:12
	153:5
	statements 47:5 135:9
	states 54:5
	status 33:19,22 34:1,2
	statute 28:4 31:6
	statute 20.4 31.0

statutory 28:12 stay 4:10 5:15,15,24 32:10,12 33:3,24,24 34:5 35:21.21 38:3 40:16,17,22 41:1,2 41:18 42:1,2,4 133:10 134:12 135:4 135:18 138:20 147:5 153:13 stayed 40:14 staying 41:8 Ste 1:22 step 25:11 32:15 95:14,20 96:16 105:19 117:20 121:20 124:24 126:13 127:8 128:2 128:19 129:17,24 153:21 158:6 steps 41:14 52:11 72:1 79:6,21 80:9,20 124:5,10 142:17 147:15 152:15 sticks 85:13 86:6 stipulate 97:22 98:3 stipulation 97:24 stop 50:20,21 51:10 52:10 111:14 128:12 128:15 strategy 90:20 straw 12:9 street 1:18 65:15 68:8 stricken 155:1,4 strike 73:22 83:10 87:3,4,14,15 88:2,3 105:12 109:20 122:5 122:5 124:13 125:1 125:5 127:9 128:3 141:3 147:11,18,21 149:24 150:9,11,19 153:22 154:2,16,20 154:21 155:7,8,14 strikes 154:10,15 striking 54:16 57:11 70:15 105:9 109:14 122:9 125:21.21 126:24 148:4,17 155:19 striking/selecting 73:11 struck 121:18,19 sua 143:24 subject 42:14 78:11

78:13

submit 37:9 submitted 18:18 83:5 95:4 subsequent 48:3 substantial 14:3 substantially 39:15 substantive 39:11 subtracting 20:5 succeed 41:21 succeeding 105:18 success 35:18 40:22 41:19 successful 33:17 40:20 suffered 41:21 sufficient 137:23 suggest 132:7 suggested 39:15 sum 11:24 13:1 summarize 6:22 summarizing 118:2 supplemental 6:19,21 24:19 27:7 33:6 support 5:7 6:18 95:4 supporting 18:11 suppose 128:7 134:10 supposed 25:10 29:4 44:17.18 45:2 54:15 87:15 112:8,11 119:12,14 120:3,24 121:5 139:4,8 141:1 143:6,8 Supreme 4:6 34:11,18 sure 3:15 13:24 51:24 60:20 116:15 119:5 140:16 148:21 153:24 156:10,18 sustained 75:11 79:11 111:15 112:2 116:3 Sweeney 1:5 3:2 10:17 sword 111:17 132:13 sworn 10:16 12:4 13:16 42:21 43:3 system 73:10,22 80:12 83:16 97:8,16 98:8 99:2,3,4 125:21 152:2 T

79:6,21 80:1,20 96:5 103:17 112:15 122:6 122:8 124:4 133:1 135:3 137:24 142:16 146:16 149:23 150:8 150:20 151:8 154:7 156:5,6 taken 55:3 100:6 125:1 147:16 161:9 talk 8:5 10:21 12:2 70:18 76:20 84:5 89:13 91:10 100:17 100:22 101:1 136:19 147:8 talked 15:8 78:6,7,12 78:21 87:19 89:1,14 90:7 97:9 130:24 talking 56:1 79:20,21 80:14,22 81:16 90:20 134:17 144:24 146:22 148:16 155:24 tasks 18:15 tax 39:8 taxpayers 35:4,17 team 20:12 telephone 21:18 115:16 146:5 tell 11:10 68:16 70:14 73:21 74:14 75:16 77:19 79:5 119:12 125:6 142:2 telling 56:9 85:22 99:6 105:5 tells 105:8 temporary 46:5 51:2 ten 81:24 88:21 term 45:13 111:24 117:2 123:4 128:23 146:19 terminated 34:13 35:10 106:20 termination 64:10 110:24 terminations 49:5 terms 7:9 21:4 33:20 34:7 37:5,23 41:19 75:2 106:23 119:1 122:22 133.5 test 135:12 testified 43:4 102:19 118:15 130:18 testimony 54:23 55:7 62:12,17 67:9 97:14

104:21 118:2,4,9,22 120:4,18 131:9 134:20 153:4 Thank 5:21 6:11 10:10 15:5 17:4 30:7 32:19 43:16 48:22 62:8 72:23 117:19 120:19 123:13 152:11 Thanksgiving 76:17 that'd 82:4 theirs 154:24 theories 31:4 thereabouts 108:12 thin 28:5 thing 10:11 40:7 80:4 85:18 96:23 118:9 121:2,4 131:8 134:22 138:13 145:3 146:12 151:14 things 29:5 74:11 84:5 87:20 113:18 116:12 123:15 125:10 126:3 136:5.6 think 7:3 9:5,21 10:6 16:8 17:12,18,22 18:4,5,24 19:8,21 20:14 22:23 24:12 25:7,20 26:13 30:24 32:5 35:9,14,20 36:22 37:4 38:7 39:17 40:24 41:4,6 41:15,20,23 48:15 74:20,23 75:6,6 84:2 87:18 89:4 100:19 100:22 109:1 116:8 117:12 118:8 121:23 121:23 125:24 126:5 131:12 133:6,9,17 133:19,21 134:4 135:11,12,15,19,21 138:12 139:1 144:4 144:23 145:5 146:15 146:20 149:8,14,18 159:11 third 12:24 13:3 15:19 38:20 57:8 111:5 third-party 1:11,13 2:5,9 11:12 14:3 thought 74:22 99:12 thoughts 145:1 thousands 103:10 129:3 three 5:22 7:16 18:24

take 5:23 32:12 36:4,9 37:9 38:6 39:7,21

48:18 49:20 52:17

53:17 61:20 62:6

41:13,14 46:12

T 115:4

two-week 37:8

19:21 20:6 24:17 25:12 27:6 36:18 37:2,2 47:20 123:17 135:6,16 136:20 154:10 156:9 threshold 29:24 30:1 thumb 38:8,12 Thursday 1:19 time 6:17 13:2 14:10 14:14 15:2 18:11,21 19:2,15,20,22,24 20:1,2,7,11,15,16,21 21:4,16 22:4,10,13 26:21 27:14,23 29:6 31:14 34:19 35:3
36:9,16,20 37:15 39:14 44:22 55:9
57:10 58:6 64:4 71:7,22,23 79:16
83:9 84:22 86:2
97:8 105:4,14 106:13 108:19,23
109:1,24 113:9 121:12 124:20
127:13 128:2 134:18
138:8 143:15 149:9 151:8 155:13,14
156:15 157:7,9
161:9 timelines 112:11
timeliness 124:21
timely 6:9 86:12 times 10:6 44:8,11
52:7 91:11 116:11
title 15:13,13 65:10 today 3:12 5:3 7:21
14:5 24:2 34:1
44:24 62:3 76:13,23 86:15 112:5 118:5,9
133:12,15 136:18
145:14,19 146:7,14 146:24 147:4,21
148:7,8 149:15,21
today's 23:7 154:5 told 53:23 62:10 75:1
79:5 83:19 84:10,11
84:14 91:11 95:24 137:21
tool 150:4
tools 142:16
top 111:5 topic 75:12 78:19
total 20:5 22:12,14,14
23:3

totally 136:2 tough 36:11 town 65:18 township 1:8,9,10 3:3 4:9 8:15 41:2 42:8 43:21 65:12 89:5 92:10 93:4,6,7,8 98:17 113:22 115:11 115:24 116:6,23 117:2,3,7 151:21 track 41:8 tracks 34:17 tracts 35:22 trade 6:8 transaction 124:20 transcript 22:24 23:13,14,15,16,22 24:5 37:8 137:15 161:8 transparency 113:15 114:1 transpiring 24:11 travel 19:23,24 20:2 22:4,10 traveling 19:15 tread 146:10 tremendous 135:7 tried 50:15,20 73:22 78:22 131:17 trip 152:8 TRO 25:12 46:22 54:5 128:11,12,13 129:2 130:12 true 39:4,7 47:5 52:9 161:8 trumped 39:11 truth 90:22 try 18:6 50:21 133:3 152:7,8,15 trying 9:21 21:9 30:20 37:11 38:1 48:13 51:14 93:3 143:2 turn 9:5 turnaround 36:20 37:8 turning 70:16 turns 87:3 88:3 122:6 122:9 157:20 twelve 38:8 two 16:24 20:5 29:15 36:1 41:7 76:17 77:3 93:8 104:8 112:15 128:6 130:2 154:9,9

two-year 28:3 31:6 types 16:3 typically 36:15,21 37:6,9,22 54:8 U U.S 28:23 67:21,21 ultimately 39:9 121:10 152:19,21 uncontroverted 120:5 underemployed 34:14 underhanded 134:23 underneath 13:5 14:21,24 120:7 understand 20:12 45:1 49:4,11 51:9,12 51:13 52:21 56:11 74:21 83:9 87:11,12 93:3,18,22 95:18,22 96:15 98:5,6,10 109:10,12,13 140:24 143:4 146:23 151:9 151:16,18 152:18 154:7,15 understanding 48:14 59:5 80:24 81:6,10 84:7 93:17 97:19 99:1,7,8 110:7 112:8 120:6 126:22 understood 59:16,18 59:21 96:19 98:22 104:23 159:14 unemployed 34:15 unfortunately 57:1 66:16 132:9 141:11 143:15 Uniform 126:6 union 1:6,12 2:3 7:18 7:21 8:2 18:3 37:19 39:2 41:23 53:14,21 56:18 61:24 63:13 63:23 64:13,21 67:16 68:23 70:5 72:14 73:22 74:1,7 82:19 88:14 89:11 90:3,11 91:5 94:6,16 101:21,22 103:5,16 103:17,18 105:24 107:14 108:8 109:16 110:20 111:22 112:24 117:11 118:14 122:5,8

160:3,4,4,4,4,4,5,5,5 160:5,5,6 Union's 122:23 unique 29:7 30:12 unit 93:2 units 93:9 unlawfully 34:2 unnecessary 135:10 136:20 137:18 unravel 31:13 Uptown 7:5,9 8:3 9:3 9:21 10:21 11:13 12:16,19 15:3,9 17:3 17:12 25:15 use 12:10 75:7 111:16 117:2 139:19 142:15 146:19 156:4 uses 6:24 vague 91:20 107:7 117:1 142:10 143:4 valid 33:19 34:3 86:21 123:7.8 133:15 142:18 validity 39:22 variables 37:5 38:11 various 64:24 120:7 131:14 vendors 114:6 verification 46:20 verified 46:5 47:3,8 47:15 48:9 51:2 54:4,13 versus 3:2 16:18 26:23 44:18 viewed 86:9 violated 48:11 120:9 130:6 140:19 violating 140:8 violation 9:13 22:16 69:7 132:15 violations 49:6 Virginia 1:22 volume 60:14 vs 1:7,11 W wait 103:17 waived 129:22 walk 147:18 walked 76:13 90:19

walking 76:22

wall 30:14

want 6:11 11:19 15:5 19:6,18 22:20 27:21 33:14 42:15 52:15 77:18,18,19,21 78:14 79:4 82:3 92:5 97:24 104:9,18 104:20 130:14 132:18,24 136:4 137:5 146:10,23 147:12 148:9,15,19 148:20 152:3 153:23 156:4 157:16 wanted 13:22 38:14 40:2 51:21,23 52:13 52:17 54:2 87:19 120:12 149:13 wants 37:19 39:12 60:6 123:14 148:5 wasn't 31:5,21 36:6,7 46:23 56:2 87:16 111:18 122:13 137:2 144:16 145:15 156:18 waste 30:15 watch 134:8 water 81:22 82:1,4 waters 137:13 Waukegan 1:18 way 3:21 5:10 17:8 24:13 25:7,9,11 35:10 40:12 80:13 81:2 83:23 85:8 88:4 90:14 126:24 127:5 129:11.13 133:6,9,18,19 134:9 134:12,23,24 135:5 136:9,10 137:6 142:9 145:13 146:16 150:10 151:24 152:5 153:23 159:5 we'll 22:23 76:20 82:19 104:3 148:4 150:9,11,12,20,20 156:5,6 we're 12:9,11 30:20 40:6,13 46:22 48:4 81:8 84:10 100:23 100:24 104:12 109:5 126:18 127:2 128:4 128:15 130:9 134:11 134:17 135:2,3 138:19 148:15 155:24 we've 10:6 15:20,24

129:6 137:2,4 141:9

	35:17 52:5,7 115:19
	118:4 121:19 123:5
	118:4 121:19 123:5 125:1 138:10 141:13
	140.0 0 0 147.17
	142:8,8,9 147:17
	148:2 153:11 154:20
	156:7 158:24 159:7
	weapon 132:13
	web 113:22
	Wednesday 107:23
	week 41:12
	weighs 41:1
	went 14:13 39:18
	41:17 70:19 103:8
	weren't 10:24 14:22
	34:7 86:5
	willful 132:16 135:13
	137:20 138:14,15
	willfully 48:10 120:8
	132:11 140:7.19
	141:24 151:24
	willing 6:19
	win 39:5
	wish 27:8 40:8 41:14
	42:10 103:21 118:1
	120:15
	wished 149:4
	wishes 24:20 135:24
	withdraw 160:1,8
	Withdrawn 83:24
	witness 42:16,19,21
	43:15 45:23 47:1
Ì	51:18 55:22 62:23
1	
1	69:17 75:20 76:10
	77:13 78:12 81:22
1	82:5 89:4,16,16,23
1	90:18 91:23 92:5
1	102:6 110:13,17
1	117:5,22
1	
	witnesses 42:11
	120:11,15
	word 6:24 38:16
	106:13 130:21
	133:13 135:13,24
1	146:17 152:8
ļ	words 126:4 134:8
	149:22
	work 7:11 9:1,7,18,24
	14:5,8 15:15,23 16:4
	16:5,10 25:7 34:6
	35:12 36:8,8 37:16
	37:20 38:6 41:11
	95:15 99:22 105:20
	working 20:12 81:8
	82:11 83:16 96:19

99:17 133:9 works 128:1 154:7 worry 85:23 wouldn't 14:20 19:11 117:9 121:5,21 137:3,20 write 13:3 133:14 142:22,24 writing 95:14 105:19 159:5 written 124:9 wrong 36:16 133:13 142:11 wrote 142:6 143:1 www.qareportingin 1:24
X
x 148:7
Y
yeah 82:3 90:5 104:3 117:17 year 41:9 103:5 119:3 119:6 years 11:9 12:13 28:22 138:7 151:15 152:3 yesterday 146:6
$\overline{\mathbf{z}}$
0
000678 63:16 000679 63:20 000680 63:20 084-00169 161:12
1
1 13:13 47:11,13 53:21 108:8 111:1,5 128:7 160:3 1,302 60:18 1,750 22:14 1.0 22:5,11 1.25 21:5 1.75 22:5 1:30 1:20
10 1:19 32:17 82:19 95:10 160:5
93.10 100.3 100 124:17,18

105 1:22

11 88:15 94:7,10

156:4 160:5

11(i) 6:24 17:6
12 59:3 88:21 94:16
103:16,18 105:24
160:6
120/3(d) 26:1
12th 59:9
13 112:24 138:7 139:1
160:6
13-year 138:8
137 4:6 27:5,20 31:24
14 21:7 65:15 66:2
68:9 73:5,17 102:21
114:24 115:1,20
125:4,11,20
15 21:12 22:7,11
32:18 44:2 45:15,16
59:3 106:15
150 1:6,12 2:3 3:2
6:22 7:13,14,22 8:1 8:19,23 9:4,11,15,18
8:19,23 9:4,11,15,18
9:23 12:14 13:12
14:12 16:2 18:24
24:22 25:10 27:11
27:16 28:16 29:1
30:22 42:9 44:18
47:22,24 49:1,4,11
52:10,21 54:6 58:17
58:20 70:24 71:8,19 73:6 80:1 91:10,18
92:11 94:1 95:18
96:7,15 97:4,11
98:19 99:19,21
102:5 103:19 111:8
115:8 118:23 120:14
121:14 123:13 124:1
138:9 140:20 143:1 146:1,22 147:23
149:12 157:23
149:12 137.23 150's 5:6 8:14 10:9
31:19 55:16 74:11
75:17,24 76:4,14,23
79:14 97:1
15th 59:6,7
16 94:24
160 161:8
17 1:7 3:4 45:13 57:9
57:21 58:2,6 62:12
62:20 63:6 107:23
108:9 134:19
17th 127:13
18 1:18
19 85:10
19 65:10 19th 76:16
1st 21:5
101 41,3

2
2 53:14 54:4 107:24
111:5 160:4
2: 04 32:22
2:27 32:23
20 31:8 74:10 80:10
80:16 103:4 151:15
152:3,14 153:1,17
156:13 157:13 158:2
20-year 151:13
2002 7:14,18 11:20
2017 14:2,11 20:4
21:5,7,12,18,23 22:2
44:2 45:14 54:6
55:11 59:3,3 64:7
67:18 71:1 73:6
97:9 98:21 102:21
106:15 107:2 114:17
114:24 115:1,20
130:17 131:15 132:2
2018 20:4,5 22:7,11
57:9,21 58:2 59:1
62:12 63:6 74:10
80:10,16 103:4
107:23 108:10
134:19 153:17
156:13 157:13 158:2
2019 1:19
20th 20:4 80:3 84:20
85:20,21 99:14
100:15,21 104:24
140:15 143:5,18
145:21 147:3,24
21 155:16,19 158:5
21st 21:18
22ND 1:3
23rd 20:4 55:19 56:22
59:1
24 150:10,21
25 21:21 115:10,17
25th 21:23 98:20
26 71:1 97:21
2nd 20:3 88:16 127:20
2nd 20.5 66.10 127.20
3
3 54:5 56:18,24 57:3,5
61:16,21,23,24 73:3
107:14,17,18 108:9
109:16 110:20
114:23 160:4 161:7
3(d) 25:1
2 000 126.20 126.15

3,000 126:20 136:15

136:17

3:35 108:11,14

```
3:38 108:10,14
30 37:9 54:10,18,24
  55:6,10 67:17 97:13
  128:15 130:13 132:4
  144:22
30-day 157:9
30,100 20:9
304(a) 133:19,22
 137:2,4,5,10,11
31,850 22:17,18 23:3
35 39:19
350 17:21 18:8 20:8
 22:13
3702 65:15 66:2 68:9
4 63:13 64:13 160:4
4:03 112:18
4:04 112:19
4:38 108:22
4:46 148:24
4:52 149:1
40 28:22
40's 134:24
482 1:7 3:4
          5
5 21:7,24 26:1 64:21
 67:5 160:4
5.0 22:12
          6
6 67:16 68:24 160:4
60 158:15,19
60014 1:23 68:11
7 70:5 101:22,23
  103:6,20 104:6,14
  104:15 117:11
  118:15 160:5
7115 1:22
7th 16:16,21
          8
8 72:15 74:1 105:1
  114:17 119:9 160:5
8.3 73:13
8.5 94:23 95:6 105:14
```

112:10 815)477-2230 1:23

86 20:8

8th 22:2

847-639-4529 67:24

200		4 🗂
Pag	_	. /
Lau	_	

		 	Page 1
9			
9 54:6 55:11 64:7			
72:19 73:2,5 74:8			
125:19 128:13 130:13 160:5			
9's 81:19			
9 -20 107:10			
9- 20-18 98:17 9 2 20:6			
93 115:24			
93.75 115:11,17			
Oth 54:19 55:1,7 97:10			
	 - 		