

*PAF #2: No Supporting Analysis for the Communities Selected for NRI*

Maziarz stated the factual elements relative to IVPA not having support for how communities were selected is contained in the PAF. He added that our exhibit information will also show an analysis of Chicago Police Department crime data prior to NRI rollout.

*PAF #3: Budget Reallocation Approvals*

Maziarz pointed out that IVPA had policies which were ignored in dealing with budget reallocation requests. In essence, allowing providers to spend the money in any way they wanted and simply adjusting after the fact. He added that the contracts required prior approval by IVPA of changes to the contracts, including the budget line items.

*PAF #4: Recovery of Unspent Grant Funds*

Maziarz pointed out there were millions of unspent grant funds which IVPA allowed to roll into Year 2 of NRI. However, the contracts for Year 2 required payback of unspent funds according to the Grant Funds Recovery Act. That has not happened in all instances.

*PAF #5: Selection of Community Lead Agencies*

Maziarz explained that IVPA lacked supporting documentation on specifically how lead agencies were selected since they allowed local elected officials to make those decisions. Additionally, there appeared to be no conflict of interest due diligence by IVPA to ensure that the individuals making the lead agency decisions had no relationship with the organizations they decided on for NRI. Maziarz pointed out that the draft report will add in our results of a recent query of 5 lead agencies and how they selected provider groups. To date 4 of 5 had responded and we have seen different evaluation methods which will be in the draft report.

*PAF #6: NRI Lead Agency Evaluations*

Maziarz stated we were not sure why IVPA evaluated the lead agencies given that the selections had already been made. However, the evaluations we received had a number of issues including not using the same evaluation forms or not justifying why entire columns were crossed out and given marks of excellent.

*PAF #7: Capital Equipment Return*

Maziarz explained that the contracts with the leads require return of capital items purchased with NRI funds. For two leads this was not conducted. Additionally, the contracts with providers were not consistent with how the leads were to be treated on this issue.