

Request to speak at Library Board meeting

Safe Libraries <safelibraries@gmail.com>

Mon, May 19, 2014 at 5:03 PM

To: Mary Weimar < mweimar@orlandparklibrary.org >

Cc: askoppl@orlandparklibrary.org, DuJan Media <dujanmedia@gmail.com>, Megan Fox

<storytimewithmeganfox@gmail.com>

Dear Director Weimar,

Thank you for your timely response.

The cited policy is the one that was adopted at February 14th Lincoln's Birthday Holiday OPPL Board of Trustees special meeting. As you likely know, that meeting was found to have violated the Open Meetings Act by the Illinois Attorney General. Therefore, the policy is not in effect. The next meeting affirmed the special meeting, but since the spacial meeting is *void ab initio*, the policy is still not in effect. Granted, the Attorney General is still considering that issue. The previous policy is in effect, the one that allowed me to speak during the December regular meeting where I was blocked the first time. I am allowed to speak now under the law even you do not recognize the law.

Since I last asked to speak and was rejected, I have new information. The American Library Association's Office for Intellectual Freedom's leader, Barbara Jones, has tacitly admitted that I am a "trusted source" on ALA misinformation, just as the Children's Internet Protection Act author Ernest Istook stated openly previously. She warned that "IF" I were to show up at the December training you provided with them to librarians, she would have to self-censor certain information.

So I'm clearly such an expert on ALA misinformation that ALA itself literally admits it has to change its message if I am present to hear the misinformation. As Megan Fox put it, "Dan is someone who lives in the nightmares of the American Library Association because he is the leading expert in all of the lies that the ALA tells in order to keep child porn and other horrible things accessible anonymously on computers in public libraries."

I should be allowed to speak as a subject matter expert, just as the American Library Association was allowed to speak—it was even allowed to violate the rules you so stringently use to silence me despite admonitions from the Attorney General. ALA also had additional influence with you that may have been illegal and is currently under review by the Illinois Attorney General. So certainly allowing me to speak for the allotted few minutes cannot possibly represent a problem in any way, neither can it balance out the undue influence of the ALA's involvement in OPPL.

So I'll say this very clearly. I am asking you courteously to allow me to speak tonight. If you do not, I will file another Request for Review with the Attorney General because what you are doing in preventing me from speaking violates the Open Meetings Act. And it's worse given the Attorney General's admonitions. Eventually I will let your community know about Illinois library law, an Orland Park ordinance, and a United States Supreme Court case that shows porn in Illinois libraries and in OPPL is illegal. The more you fight to silence the message, the more people will eventually listen to what I have to say.

The choice is yours. I am asking you to reconsider your decision to block me a second time and to allow me to speak tonight. What say you?

Thank you.

Dan Kleinman, Library Watchdog at SafeLibraries

On Mon, May 19, 2014 at 4:10 PM, Mary Weimar mweimar@orlandparklibrary.org wrote:

Dear Mr. Kleinman,

Attached is the Public Comment Policy which is also posted on the Orland Park Public Library's website at http://www.orlandparklibrary.local/documents/policies/A3. 1PublicCommentPolicy.htm.

The library declines your request to speak via Skype or any other electronic means at the Library's Board meeting because it is not permitted by Library policy and not required by Illinois law.

Thank you.

Mary K. Weimar Library Director Orland Park Public Library 14921 Ravinia Avenue Orland Park, IL 60462 708-428-5203 708-428-5182 (Fax) mweimar@orlandparklibrary.org www.orlandparklibrary.org