

Minutes of the Regularly Scheduled Edgar County Highway Department Road and Bridge / MFT Committee Meeting

June 10, 2013

Attendance

Chris Patrick	Mike Heltsley
🔀 Karl Farnham	⊠ Jeff Voigt
Ben Jenness	🔀 Alan Zuber
Aaron Lawson	🛛 Dan Bruner

Agenda Discussion Items:

- 1. <u>MEETING CALLED TO ORDER:</u> by County Engineer.
- 2. <u>APPROVE MINUTES:</u> of 5/20/13.
- 3. <u>REVIEW CLAIMS</u> Presented.
- 4. <u>RESOLUTION</u> County Engineer informed the board that a resolution authorizing County Board Vice Chair to execute a contract with apparent low bidder for the following: Stratton Township Bridge, Section 01-13119-00-BR would be included on the 5/8/13 full board agenda and asked if there were any questions. Board had none.
- <u>RESOLUTION</u> County Engineer informed the board that a resolution authorizing County Board Vice Chair to execute Amendment #1 to the Intergovernmental Cooperative Agreement for the following: Village of Brocton IDRP PI Project #08-354051, Section 12-00108-01-DR. would be included on the 5/8/13 full board agenda and asked if there were any questions. Board had none.
- <u>RESOLUTION</u> County Engineer informed the board that a resolution authorizing County Board Vice Chair to execute Amendment #1 to the Intergovernmental Cooperative Agreement for the following: Village of Vermilion IDRP PI Project #08-354002, Section 12-00108-04-DR would be included on the 5/8/13 full board agenda and asked if there were any questions. Board had none.
- <u>RESOLUTION</u> County Engineer informed the board that a resolution authorizing County Board Chairman to sign BLR 05510 PE Agreement with McClintock Civil Engineering Service for new bridge and roadway approaches for the following: TR 141(1550th Road), Section 13-03133-00-BR, Edgar Township would be included on the 5/8/13 full board agenda and asked if there were any questions. Board had none.

- 8. <u>RESOLUTION</u> County Engineer informed the board that a resolution authorizing County Engineer to enter into an agreement with Newell Construction utilizing county bridge funds for contract work on the following: CH 4 (Lower Terre Haute Rd), Box culvert extension would be included on the 5/8/13 full board agenda and asked if there were any questions. Board had none.
- 9. <u>RESOLUTION</u> County Engineer informed the board that a resolution of Support to resubmit an application for Cycle 11 Illinois Transportation Enhancement Funds on the following: FAU 7560/FAS 684 (Clinton Road), Section 10-00103-03-RS would be included on the 5/8/13 full board agenda and asked if there were any questions. Board questioned the limits of the section. County engineer stated that the project started at Eads and ended at Durkee's Ferry Trace but that the overlay went 700' east of the railroad tracks. Board had no further questions.
- 10. RESOLUTION County Engineer informed the board that a resolution authorizing County Engineer to prepare and sign a letter of intent between the county and the City of Paris / Paris Township indicating the desire to transfer jurisdiction of multiple routes would be included on the 5/8/13 full board agenda and asked if there were any questions. County engineer stated that due to timing and funding issues, the Cherry Point for Prairies Edge JT would likely occur before the Clinton Road for High School Road swap. Board requested clarification on locations and questioned if the City was taking on any additional responsibilities. County engineer stated that the City would assume full jurisdiction of the routes with the Cherry Point as is and Clinton Road as improved. Board questioned if the county would be taking on the High School Road prior to any improvements. County engineer stated that a CATB agreement was already in place with Paris Township and that the county was deemed the logical lead when meeting with IDOT and local officials. Board member questioned why the county would want to do that and stated that the City likely had more resources than the county. County engineer stated that he was uncertain if the City had more resources than the county. County engineer explained that the constituency would likely not know who had jurisdiction but would expect the entities involved to be working towards a solution. County engineer stated that attendance at this meeting and being identified as the "logical lead" in a sense implicated the county to take an active role. County engineer referenced the county's involvement with getting the Township Road upgraded for the Work Camp. Board questioned the new Township Road commissioners' position. County engineer stated that to date, everyone seemed to be in agreement. County engineer recommended that the county continue to act in a lead role and that "the bar be set low" as to expectations. County engineer stated that the county did not have a million dollars to spend on the road and that an effort was being made to program a cost effective day labor project. Board had no further questions.

- 11. <u>RESOLUTION</u> County Engineer informed the board that a resolution authorizing County Engineer to sign for all necessary construction / right of entry permits and agreements with CSX Railroad at the following: TR 195C (1200th Road), Section 12-09119-00-DR, Crossing #542447K, CATB #257 would be included on the 5/8/13 full board agenda and asked if there were any questions. Board questioned the funding source for the work. County engineer stated that an application was submitted for Highway Rail Crossing Safety funds but that the drainage and approach work would have to be locally funded. Board had no further questions.
- 12. <u>LOWER T LETTING 6/14/13</u> County Engineer informed the board that the project would open on Friday. Board questioned how long the project would take. County engineer stated that the project would likely take some time to get started but that it should be completed quickly after the contactor mobilizes. Board had no further questions.
- 13. <u>HSIP SIGNS PROJECT SUSPENDED</u> County Engineer informed the board that the sign project was suspended until all of the results from the material testing were approved by IDOT. Board questioned who suspended the job. County engineer stated that IDOT suggested that the county suspend the job until the material was approved as payment cannot be made without approved materials. County engineer stated that the issue was with IDOT and the vendor that sold the signs but that the county would need to wait for resolution prior to installing. Board had no further questions.
- 14. <u>CRESTWOOD</u> County Engineer informed the board that the second sealcoat for the Crestwood parking lot was requested and that the work was programmed to occur this summer. Board had no questions.
- 15. <u>IKE PROJECTS</u> County Engineer informed the board that the Brocton project was complete and that there were a few minor issues that needed to be resolved between the Village and the contractor. County engineer stated that the county was very pleased with the improvement. County engineer reminded the board that Vermilion was also complete and that Metcalf was going very well. Board questioned the status the Kansas project. County engineer stated that the Kansas project was still in environmental review and that environmental questions related to the project were being addressed as they came in. County engineer stated that there was concern that the project would not get clearance in time to get it built this season. County engineer reminded the board that the Kansas project scope and dollar amount was larger than the other three combined. Board had no further questions.
- 16. <u>CLINTON ROAD</u> County Engineer informed the board that the Clinton Road was complete other than reflective pavement markers and punch list items. County engineer stated that shoulder work is anticipated to help with drainage. Board had no questions.

- 17. <u>ONE O'CLOCK ROAD</u> County Engineer informed the board that traffic control would be in place to close the One O'Clock Road tomorrow. County engineer stated that the project was delayed due to longer than expected utility conflicts and property acquisition. Board had no questions.
- 18. <u>GRANDVIEW HPP</u> County Engineer stated that the weather has made it difficult to finish the project as seeding and sealcoat remained. County engineer stated that the completion date is June 15 and that if the work was not done by then, another extension would be needed since the project would move to outside the approved seeding dates. Board had no questions.
- 19. <u>TBP LAPSE POOL</u> County Engineer informed the board that Edgar County was not selected for the Grandview "400 Road" project. Board had no questions.
- 20. <u>VERMILION ROAD TARP PROJECT</u> County Engineer stated that the plans for the "lower half" of the project from Lower T to 500th Road were complete and that this section would likely be resurfaced this season. County engineer stated that the "lower half" was the same limits used on the federal stimulus section and that a late July letting was being sought. County engineer stated that the intersection of CH 1 and CH 4 would be improved with the project. County engineer stated that the "upper half" which starts at 500th and goes into Vermilion would likely not occur until next year as R.O.W. was needed. Board member asked if the project was going to be better than the stimulus project. County engineer reminded the board that the contractor paid a credit on the stimulus project and that this overlay would be the culmination of that effort by placing hot mix asphalt on a cement stabilized subbase. County engineer stated that phased work like this is not uncommon as financial restrictions delay fully completing roadway improvements. Board had no further questions.
- 21. <u>ECOTG MEETING</u> County Engineer informed the board that a meeting was held with City and School officials to look at opportunities near the proposed high school. County engineer stated that work continues in an effort to possibly secure outside dollars for multi-use trails. County engineer stated that an application for funding was under consideration for the 2014 cycle. Board had no questions.
- 22. <u>TOIA MEETING 6/12/13</u> County Engineer informed the board that a township officials meeting would be held at the 4H building and invited all to attend. Board had no questions.
- 23. <u>HMP MEETING 6/11/13</u> County Engineer informed the board that a hazard mitigation meeting would be held at the 4H building and invited all to attend. Board had no questions.

- 24. <u>CONCRETE FOR BOX CULVERT 6/17/13 START</u> County Engineer questioned the board if Zimmerly Concrete could be used on the box culvert for the Lower T project. County engineer stated that the county would be paying for the material and that he was nervous about it showing up on a claim that would need to be approved by the board. Board member thought that material could not be purchased from Zimmerly. County engineer responded that he felt the county would be safer not buying it from Zimmerly. Board member questioned if there were other vendors that it could be purchased from. County engineer responded that there were. Board member asked if the other vendors could meet the requirements. County engineer responded that they could. Board was uncertain if selling concrete to the county was fully addressed by the resolutions to avoid a conflict of interest. County engineer requested that the board be the entity to decide. Board member stated that he felt that it was determined that Zimmerly could not sell to the county. County engineer then asked if the contractor could use Zimmerly on the Stratton bridge project. Board members were unsure. County engineer stated that due to the timeliness of the upcoming Lower T project, he wanted to pose the question to the board and gain their direction. Board member asked that the state's attorney be consulted for a yes or no answer. Board member stated that the county board needed to get that question answered. County engineer stated that in the interest of protecting the county, that the highway department would buy the concrete somewhere other than Zimmerly until it is confirmed that it would be ok. Board member again stated that he felt the question had already been answered. County engineer stated that in his opinion, there were only two "broad" questions that were addressed; that the chairman cannot participate in meetings, and that the county engineer reports to the MFT/R&B committees. Board member stated that as a board, they would seek an answer to the question as to whether the county can buy from Zimmerly. There was no further discussion.
- 25. <u>CONCERNS RECENT LAWSUIT</u> County Engineer stated that he wanted to express concern for the what the most recent lawsuit could do to the upcoming highway department program. Board had no comment.
- 26. OLD BUSINESS None.
- 27. <u>NEW BUSINESS</u> None.
- 28. <u>PUBLIC COMMENT</u> None.
- 29. <u>MEETING ADJOURNED:</u> by County Engineer. Minutes submitted by County Engineer.

County Board Chairman was in attendance but did not participate in any discussions.

www.edgarcountyhighway.org